Cas	se 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Docum	ent 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 1 of 240 Pageid#. 1 24386						
	Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/5/2021							
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA							
2	CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION							
3	**************							
4	NOVEMBER 5, 2021, 8:59 AM							
5	Plaintiffs, vs.	JURY TRIAL, DAY 10						
7		Before:						
8		HONORABLE NORMAN K. MOON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE						
9	JASON KESSLER, ET AL.,	WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA						
10	Defendants.							
11	**************************************							
12								
13 14	For the Plaintiffs:	ALAN LEVINE, ESQUIRE AMANDA LIVERZANI, ESQUIRE COOLEY LLP 1114 Avenue of the Americas, 46th Floor						
15								
16		New York, NY 10036 212.479.6260						
17		DAVID E. MILLS, ESQUIRE						
18		COOLEY LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW,						
19		Suite 700 Washington, DC 20004 202.842.7800						
20		202.042.7000						
21								
22	Court Reporter: Lisa M. Bl							
23	255 West Main Street, Suite 304 Charlottesville, Virginia 22902 434.296.9284							
24								
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY; TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER.							

Cincinnati, OH 45249

513.444.2150

23

24

Cas	e 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 4 of 240 24389	Pageid#:						
1	INDEX OF WITNESSES							
2	WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:							
3	RICHARD SPENCER							
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cantwell	12 58						
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. ReBrook Redirect Examination by Mr. Bloch							
6	ROBERT "IKE" BAKER (Video deposition played)							
7	MICHAEL HILL							
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Levine Cross-Examination by Mr. Jones	66 160						
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Spencer Cross-Examination by Mr. ReBrook	176 181						
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cantwell Cross-Examination by Mr. Kolenich	182 187						
11	THOMAS BAKER							
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Miller	190						
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Miller Cross-Examination by Mr. Spencer Cross-Examination by Mr. Cantwell							
14	oross Enaminación sy nir. cancucií	227						
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

2	EXHIBITS	ON	BEHALF	OF	THE	PLAINT	IFF:			
3		EXI	HIBIT:				Mark	red	Rece	eived
4		381	L 9					10		10
5		400	0 0					66		66
6		156	66A					67		67
7		156	64					70		70
8		189	99					72		74
9		192	21A					75		75
10		156	67					77		77
11		192	23					79		79
12		192	23F					79		79
13		198	3					82		82
14		155	51					84		85
15		153	37					86		87
16		154	15					87		88
17		191	18					91		92
18		155	5 4					97		97
19		285	58					102		103
20		156	60					104		105
21		156	52					106		107
22		380	01					107		109
23		155	53					110		110
24		380	A00					115		115
25		210	01					116		116

				7
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH	Document 1401	Eilod 11/05/21	Dago 7 of 240	Dagoid#: /
Case 3.11-CV-00012-INNIVI-JCH	DOCUMENT 1401	LIIGU TT/02/5T	raye / 01 240	rayelu#,
	24202			•
III	74.397			

1			INI	DEX OF EX	KHIBITS	
2	EXHIBITS	ON BEHALF	OF THE	DEFENDAN	ITS:	
3		EXHIBIT:		M	Marked	Received
4		LOS-020			171	172
5		CC-3239C			184	184
6		CC-73A			185	185
7		IE-1409			189	189
8		313			236	
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						

```
1
    (Proceedings commenced, 8:59 a.m.)
 2
             THE COURT: Good morning. Call the case, please.
 3
             THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. This is Civil Action
   Number 3:17-cv-00072, Elizabeth Sines and others versus Jason
 4
 5
   Kessler and others.
             THE COURT: Before we begin, I will remind everyone
 6
 7
   that under Standing Order 2020-12 and 2013-8, the Court's
 8
   prohibition against recording and broadcasting court
   proceedings remains in force. Attorneys, parties and their
 9
   staff, and members of the public or press accessing this
10
11
   proceeding today may not record or broadcast it. That means no
12
   photography, no use -- using any video or audio recording
   device, no rebroadcasting, livestreaming, or otherwise
13
14
   disseminating any live or recorded video or audio of this
15
   proceeding.
16
             Before we call the jury, I want to raise a few
17
            But first, are the plaintiffs ready?
   issues.
18
             MS. KAPLAN: We are, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: Defendants ready?
20
             MR. KOLENICH: Yes, Your Honor.
21
             MR. JONES: Yes.
22
             THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                 I would remind everyone that
23
   witnesses should be answering the questions presented directly
24
   and forthrightly. To the extent any witness wishes to raise an
25
   ancillary matter or go beyond the question asked, that matter
```

can be brought out in cross-examination or redirect or when it's that party's turn to present their affirmative case.

Counsel on either side have been asking to strike nonresponsive answers that go beyond the question asked, and in many instances the Court has done so, where the answer really went beyond the question.

I would also remind all parties that objections should be raised succinctly: Relevance, leading questions, et cetera; maybe a few words of description. The Court will ask for further elaboration, if necessary.

There are a number of exchanges -- there were a number of exchanges yesterday concerning objections to testimony, the method of impeachment, and -- and the most efficient manner to do so. The Court intends to remind the jury this morning that such exchanges should not distract them from their role to listen and to find the facts of the case; that statements, arguments, or questions by lawyers are not evidence; that is, it is counsel's responsibility to raise objections that the Court -- that the Court and that the Court's statements, except other instructions or sustained objections, should not be taken as expressing any view on the evidence.

The Court has considered plaintiffs' counsel's letter last night regarding efforts to streamline the trial, which include calling fewer witnesses and also limiting the amount of

1 time on direct. 2 This is just for information, what sort of goes on in 3 the background. The Court wants to advise the parties that one of the jurors has expressed concern about being able to drive 4 5 after daylight savings time based on concerns about driving in the dark. The Court, through our clerk's office and the 6 7 administrative office of the courts, has been able to offer 8 several options to assist the juror with respect to this. I'm 9 going to do everything we can to keep the jury together. just to let you know that's going on. 11 All right. Are there any other matters we need to 12 take up before the jury returns? 13 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we've been asked just to put on the record the introduction of the Kline deposition clips 14 15 that were played yesterday. It's Exhibit PX-3819. So we'd 16 just move that into evidence. 17 THE COURT: All right. I mean, that's done. 18 MR. KOLENICH: No objection. 19 MS. DUNN: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3819 admitted.) 21 MR. CANTWELL: Judge, I'd just point out there was 22 some concern about the limiting instruction yesterday with 23 regard to those exhibits. I brought it up and plaintiffs' 24 counsel seemed to be under the impression that they were

admissible against me for some other reason, and I think that

```
1
   that's just something I wanted to bring up before we move
 2
   forward.
 3
              THE COURT: Well, they may well be. I mean, if they
   are authenticated by somebody at court, I think --
 4
 5
             MR. CANTWELL: That would make perfect sense. I'm
   just perhaps unfamiliar with how these things are delineated
 6
 7
   for the jury when they go into their deliberations, if they've
   got a pile of evidence for everybody or a pile of evidence for
 8
   Christopher Cantwell, if these things are, for lack of a better
 9
   term, fungible.
10
11
                          They'll be handled by instructions as
              THE COURT:
12
   best we can.
13
             MR. CANTWELL: Okay.
14
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Call the jury back.
15
    (Jury in, 9:05 a.m.)
16
             THE COURT: All right. Good morning. Thank you for
17
   being here. Before we begin I'm just going to read an
18
   instruction to you. It's something you've already heard
19
   several times, but just to remind you.
20
              The evidence from which you will find the facts will
21
   consist of the testimony of witnesses, documents and other
22
    things received into the record as exhibits, and any facts that
23
   the lawyers agree to or stipulate to or may instruct you to
24
   find. Certain things are not evidence and must not be
25
   considered by you, including statements, arguments, and
```

questions by lawyers are not evidence. Objections to questions are not evidence. Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make objections when they believe evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence.

You should not be influenced by the objection or by the Court's ruling on it. If the objection is sustained, ignore the question. If it's overruled, treat the answer like any other.

If you are instructed that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction. Testimony or any matter that the Court has excluded or told you to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded.

Anything I may have said in instructing a witness or an attorney concerning anything should not be considered by you that I have an opinion on the evidence or anything else in the case. Except for my instructions to you on the law of the case, you should not consider anything else that I might say.

All right. You may proceed, Mr. Cantwell.

RICHARD SPENCER, CALLED BY THE PLAINTIFFS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

23 BY MR. CANTWELL:

- 24 Q Hello, Richard.
- 25 A Good morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CANTWELL: Could I ask plaintiffs' counsel again to bring up the text messages between myself and Mr. Spencer that we were looking at yesterday when we left off? THE COURT: Yes. MR. CANTWELL: Thank you. BY MR. CANTWELL: Let's see here. Could we scroll down a little bit more? Okay. I think that's where we want to be. All right. Mr. Spencer, when we left off yesterday we were looking at this text exchange between us where I said I was willing to risk violence and incarceration for our cause. Does that ring a bell to you? Yes. Mr. Spencer, are there many people in this country who would break the law to hurt you? MR. BLOCH: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. CANTWELL: I'm not sure what I did that was objectionable. If I could understand the objection I'll try to avoid doing it again. THE COURT: What are you trying to prove, I guess? MR. CANTWELL: I'm talking about risking violence and incarceration, and so I'm trying to -- and I think it was implied yesterday that this was some sort of statement of criminal intent. And I would like to show that --

1 THE COURT: You can ask him what he meant by that. 2 MR. CANTWELL: This is what I said to him. 3 THE COURT: What you said to him? 4 MR. CANTWELL: I said to him that I was willing to 5 risk violence and incarceration. This is part of a line of questioning where I'm going to get to a point real soon. 6 7 THE COURT: You can ask him about his own -- how he 8 felt about his personal security. BY MR. CANTWELL: 9 Mr. Spencer, do you sometimes fear for your safety? 10 11 Yes. 12 Why do you fear for your safety? 13 Well, beginning in 2017, I attended the presidential 14 inauguration, and I was actually giving an interview to a journalist, and we were near a counter-protest to the 15 16 inauguration. And someone jumped out of the crowd and first 17 punched me. It was a bit of a glancing blow. And then I 18 continued to talk to the journalist. I guess I'm like that. 19 But then he came out and landed a forearm on my head, gave 20 me a concussion. 21 THE COURT: Well, okay. Just in general express --22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I generally -- I'm sorry if I'm 23 storytelling here. 24 I -- throughout 2017 I received countless threats of 25 various kinds to my life, through the mail, on social media,

```
1
   and so on.
 2
             I think also what was implied, what you were saying
 3
   is, this is when the permit for the Unite the Right rally was
 4
   in some dispute. I believe the city wanted to withdraw it and
 5
   so on. And I think the idea is that --
 6
             THE COURT: I think you're going beyond.
 7
             THE WITNESS: Okay. Throughout the course of 2017 I
 8
   received countless threats to my life and some actual attacks
 9
   in broad daylight. So yes.
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
10
11
        Did some of those threats and attacks come from an
12
   identifiable group?
13
        Yes.
14
        What was that group?
15
        Antifa. The so-called Antifa, yes.
16
        Okay. And do you understand it to be a common Antifa
17
   tactic to fake victimhood after --
18
             MR. BLOCH: Objection. Foundation.
                                                   Leading.
19
             THE COURT: Well, it's leading.
20
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
21
        Mr. Spencer, do you recall any stories in the news in 2016
22
   and 2017 about people who got arrested after defending
23
   themselves against Antifa?
24
             MR. BLOCH: Objection.
25
             THE COURT: You're asking -- are you asking him to
```

```
1
   testify as to Antifa?
 2
             MR. CANTWELL: I'm asking him about his recollection
 3
   of what was going on at the time this exchange happened. I'm
   trying to establish the context --
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Is your ultimate goal to prove your
   actions or his? He can explain why he did something, but he
 6
 7
   cannot explain why you did something.
 8
             MR. CANTWELL: In this exchange Mr. Spencer does not
   seem to think it odd that I'm --
 9
10
             MR. BLOCH: Objection, Judge.
11
             MR. CANTWELL: I'm talking to the judge.
12
             MR. BLOCH:
                         Well, the jury is in the room so I would
13
   request a sidebar if Mr. Cantwell is going to make a
   presentation to the jury.
14
15
             THE COURT: No, I don't need a sidebar. You can ask
16
   him anything about Antifa that you and he experienced together.
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
17
18
        Mr. Spencer, did you think it at all odd that I mentioned
19
   violence and incarceration in this context to you?
20
             MR. BLOCH: Objection.
21
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
22
             THE WITNESS: No, I didn't think it was odd.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. That's the answer.
24
             THE WITNESS:
                            Okay.
25
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
```

1 Why did you not think it was odd? 2 Antifa was a very real thing during that point. 3 would often engage in violent tactics or sometimes passive-aggressive tactics. And it was something that any 5 person would have to fear. 6 I would add that at any controversial rally, really, of 7 any kind, I think you have to at least have in the back of your mind, you know, there are some people where emotions are really hot and there could be some kind of violence. 10 And in instances where violence takes place, does law 11 enforcement typically get involved afterwards? 12 MR. BLOCH: Objection to what law enforcement 13 typically does. Foundation. 14 MR. CANTWELL: I'll withdraw it. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 BY MR. CANTWELL: 17 I take it you understand risk then? 18 Yes. 19 And based on your understanding of risk, when one is 20 willing to risk something, are the risk and the desired outcome 21 typically the opposite? 22 MR. BLOCH: Objection. Objection, Judge. 23 BY MR. CANTWELL: 24 Richard, when you are willing to risk something, is that 25 the desired outcome?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 18 of 240 Pageid#.8
                           R. Spencer - Cross
         No. That's the undesired outcome.
 1
 2
         So if somebody is willing to risk violence and
 3
   incarceration, is that typically what they're trying to
   accomplish?
 4
 5
         No.
 6
              MR. BLOCH: Objection to what people are typically
 7
   trying to do, Judge.
 8
              THE COURT: All right.
 9
              MR. BLOCH: Judge, could I ask that the witness wait
    for a ruling on the objection before answering.
10
11
              THE COURT: Please do, Mr. Spencer.
12
              THE WITNESS: I will.
13
              MR. CANTWELL: I can move on.
14
              THE COURT: Move on, because you're getting about him
15
   and not you.
16
              MR. CANTWELL: Okay.
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
17
18
        Yesterday there was some testimony about -- whether it was
19
   you, or I think it was -- was it Paul Gottfried, founded the
20
   alt-right?
21
         Yes. There's a little bit of a controversy of who --
22
              THE COURT: Okay. You explained that yesterday.
```

THE WITNESS: I explained it yesterday. Yeah, Paul

23

24

25

Gottfried and myself.

Q Mr. Spencer, do you see yourself as the leader of the alt-right?

22

25

BY MR. CANTWELL:

A During 2017 I saw myself as the leader of the alt-right,

```
yes.
```

- 2 Q And as this figurehead for this alternative right
- 3 movement, did you get invited to all the big public events for
- 4 the big tent mainstream right?
- 5 A The mainstream Republican right? No. They have yet to
- 6 invite me to their events.
- 7 Q Did you desire in 2017 to make the alt-right a mainstream
- 8 movement?
- 9 A Absolutely. That was my fundamental goal.
- 10 Q And was this a desire you spoke of with others?
- 11 A Yes. I spoke about it publicly, for one thing, but yes,
- 12 that was my desire, to replace conservatives, to make it a
- 13 mainstream movement.
- 14 \parallel Q Mr. Spencer, are you under the impression that violent
- 15 crime is popular?
- 16 A I don't know how to answer.
- 17 Q You don't know if violent crime is popular?
- 18 A Could you rephrase that?
- 19 \parallel Q Do you think that violent crime would be a good way to
- 20 ∥take the alt-right mainstream?
- 21 A No.
- 22 MR. BLOCH: Objection.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Gosh, I'm sorry.
- 24 MR. CANTWELL: There's an objection.
- 25 THE WITNESS: We spoke at the same time.

several attempts to -- well, I should rephrase that.

1 Yesterday several references were made -- you were quoted 2 several times of making references to battles or wars or this 3 sort of thing. 4 Right. 5 And you had explained that that was rhetoric. Have you studied the subject of rhetoric? 6 7 Yes. 8 What books on the subject have you read? 9 On the subject of rhetoric? 10 Yeah. 11 Well, I'll have to go back. I've read quite a bit of 12 Jacques Barzun on how to write clearly and succinctly in terms 13 of rhetoric. I've read so much philosophy that I feel like 14 I've imbibed rhetorical techniques through reading. And I have attempted to use rhetoric, sometimes successfully, sometimes 15 16 not. You kind of learn --17 THE COURT: Is there any particular source you want 18 to question him about? 19 MR. CANTWELL: No. I genuinely didn't know the 20 answer to the question. So I asked it. 21 BY MR. CANTWELL: 22 Mr. Spencer, if you ask somebody a rhetorical question, do 23 you expect an answer? 24 No.

And when you discuss a rhetorical battle, fight or war,

```
what do you expect?
 2
        When I use a word like this is a battle, a great battle
 3
   for the soul of the West or things like that, I'm speaking in
              It is a spiritual battle or verbal battle or so on.
   metaphors.
   This is how almost every activist or politician uses language.
 5
        Ever watch GI Joe as a kid?
 6
 7
              MR. BLOCH: Objection.
 8
              THE WITNESS:
                            I did, yes.
 9
              MR. CANTWELL: There's an objection.
10
              MR. BLOCH: He answered it.
11
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
12
        Do you remember "Knowing is half the battle"?
13
        I remember that slogan, yes.
14
        Have you observed that hyperbole is a useful tool in the
   rhetorical toolbox?
15
16
        Hyperbole is definitely a tool that I reach for in my
17
   toolbox quite a bit. It's a way of being provocative and in a
18
   way changing somebody's mind to kind of shock them out of their
19
   original opinion and open up their mind to my message.
20
        What about metaphor?
21
        I mean, language itself is a metaphor on some level, but,
22
   you know, I -- yes, I think of myself as being poetic at times.
23
   So I absolutely use metaphor all the time.
24
        Have you ever heard the term "zombie apocalypse"?
25
        Yes.
```

1 And what do you understand the zombie apocalypse to be? 2 MR. BLOCH: Objection to what the zombie apocalypse 3 means, Judge. 4 THE COURT: Did that come up in his testimony? 5 MR. BLOCH: Absolutely not. MR. CANTWELL: This is leading to something else. 6 7 BY MR. CANTWELL: 8 Do you recall mention of RaHoWa being made during the 9 course of this trial, Mr. Spencer? 10 Yes. 11 Do you take RaHoWa to be a literal thing? 12 No. I think it was actually a rock band that coined that, 13 if I'm correct, but -- I think I'm correct about that. It's a 14 racial holy war. Again, this is -- I would chalk this up to being edgy and so on. It's obviously a metaphor. A holy war 15 16 is obviously a metaphor. 17 Does RaHoWa have any relationship to zombie apocalypse? 18 MR. BLOCH: Objection to zombie apocalypse and its 19 relationship to anything in this case. 20 THE COURT: Tell us what --21 MR. CANTWELL: Okay. I mean, the idea behind zombie 22 apocalypse is it's this chaotic, end-of-the-world type of 23 situation, and it's not -- it's a purely fantastical, fictional 24 scenario used frequently by people in Second Amendment circles

and whatnot. But I'll move on because now I've ruined the

25

Α

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Among the libertarians, did you ever find yourself debating something known as the non-aggression principle? Yes. What do you understand the non-aggression principle to be? MR. BLOCH: Objection, relevance. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: The non-aggression principle is -- it is a basis of libertarianism and liberalism. I certainly read much about this. And it is a notion that force is only justified in self-defense. So you do not use aggression against anyone; however, if someone aggresses against you, you are allowed, if not required -- allowed to fight back with all you've got. BY MR. CANTWELL: And do you understand the non-aggression principle to curtail what most people would -- withdrawn. In the libertarian lexicon do you understand normal functions like taxation and arresting drug dealers to count as violations of the non-aggression principle? I think for many libertarians they would consider a parking ticket to be an aggression. Again, I might have some libertarian leanings here and there. I'm not a libertarian. But I think serious libertarians believe that taxation, incarceration, preventing you from using drugs or something, that is in itself a kind of aggression by the government.

1 Again, these are not my views, but I understand them and 2 have respect for them. 3 But you would actually have to debate these terms, these ideas, if you're trying to bring people over from 4 5 libertarianism to the alt-right; would that be fair to say? 6 MR. BLOCH: Objection, Judge, to this line of 7 questioning. They're debating libertarianism which didn't come up in my cross yesterday, hasn't come up in this case, is not 8 9 relevant. 10 MR. CANTWELL: Judge, what came up in his 11 cross-examination was Mr. Spencer's use of strong language. 12 And I'm trying to get to the reasons that he would be speaking 13 in those terms. And to do that, I have to illustrate how he 14 gets to that language. This case involves ideology. There's no other way for me to do this. 15 16 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. 17 MR. CANTWELL: Thank you. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, when I had graduated from college, 19 I was actually part of -- to a limited degree, a part of the 20 anti-war movement, the opposition to the Iraq War. I read --21 THE COURT: Wait a minute. 22 THE WITNESS: I'm --23 THE COURT: Mr. Spencer. We don't need his history. 24 Now, you ask the question. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. So --

1 THE COURT: No, Mr. Spencer, let -- go ahead. 2 MR. CANTWELL: Allow me to ask a more succinct 3 question. BY MR. CANTWELL: 4 5 To bring people over to your side from the libertarian movement, Mr. Spencer, would you have to justify government 7 force, using the language as they understood it and used it 8 themselves? 9 Yes. 10 Was there any other political movement which served as a 11 more fruitful recruiting ground for the alt-right than 12 libertarianism? 13 I'm not sure. That certainly was -- many people are 14 libertarians who might be inclined towards the alt-right, but I'm not sure about if it's the most. 15 16 Okay. Up there, fair to say? 17 You could say that. 18 Okay. Did this influence the way you discussed the use of 19 force? 20 Perhaps to a small degree. 21 During your testimony yesterday you said that you were not 22 a white supremacist, but you're comfortable using the term 23 "white nationalist" as a sort of blanket term for all the 24 various groups that attended the Unite the Right rally.

Could you please describe what you perceive the difference

1

4

5

8

10

13

to be between white supremacy and white nationalism? 2 Right. I think we are using these terms as blanket terms 3 for convenience. I would say that something like the British Empire could be considered -- could be accurately considered white supremacist. I'm not sure there are really -- or slave owners could be considered white supremacists, I think, 6 7 accurately. I'm not sure we -- in the modern age or the 21st century we have anything like true white supremacy going on. think white nationalism is probably a better catch-all --9 THE COURT: The question, though, is what is your 11 opinion of what white supremacy is as opposed to white nationalism. 12 THE WITNESS: I would -- if I were to use the term 14 "white supremacist" accurately and not just as an insult, I would say that, yes, something like the British Empire control 15 16 of India or a slave owner would be a white supremacist. That's 17 how I would use that term accurately. BY MR. CANTWELL: 18 19 You were quoted yesterday saying something to the effect 20 that the ethnostate would come about as a result of a 21 geopolitical cataclysm. Yes. 22 23 Are you familiar with the term "accelerationism"? 24 Yes. 25 What is an accelerationist? Q

- 1 A I think it's someone who wants to bring about a cataclysm 2 faster.
- 3 Q Are you an accelerationist?
- 4 A No.
- 5 \mathbb{Q} When Mr. Heimbach said he did not consider you to be part
- 6 of the hard right, were you insulted?
- 7 A No.
- Q Do you see yourself in any sort of competition with accelerationists, the hard right, or both?
- 10 MR. BLOCH: Objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I think that's fair to say. I want my
- 13 version of the alt-right to succeed and to predominate, sure.
- 14 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- Q And in that competition, would you find it helpful to avoid appearing weak?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q When did you and I first meet?
- 19 A I believe we first met in Alexandria, Virginia when you
- 20 came down, and I think we ate lunch at a pub, if I'm correct.
- 21 Q Was that before the DC free speech rally event?
- 22 A It's the summer of 2017. Some of these things might blend
- 23 together. I was at a DC free speech rally, and you were -- we
- 24 chatted. We took a selfie. So, you know, my dates might not
- 25 be perfectly accurate, but we encountered each other a few

1 Itimes.

2 Q Would it be fair to say you had known me by reputation

3 previously?

A Yes.

4

7

5 Q And moving on to the DC free speech rally, whether that

6 was in proximity to that lunch or not, do you recall sort of a

security briefing drawn up on either a chalkboard or a

8 whiteboard in your apartment?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Who gave that briefing?

11 A I presume Greg Conte did.

12 Q Greg Conte.

13 A I'm not positive, though.

14 Q Was Kurt there?

15 A Kurt, yeah, there is a fellow named Kurt. I don't

16 ||remember him exactly. So I don't want to speculate too much

17 Where my memory is --

18 **□**Q What about Defendant Kline? Was he there?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Okay. Do you have any recollection of what plan was drawn

21 up on that chalkboard or whiteboard?

22 A I don't have any real accurate recollection of the exact

23 plan. It was just about getting in and out from the Mall, the

24 Washington Mall area, smoothly.

25 \parallel Q There was some -- was there some concern for our safety

I don't remember you at all.

18 At that afterparty, do you have some idea of how many

people were present?

The afterparty that I attended?

21 Yeah. I believe we watched a video of that yesterday.

22 Right. I would say there might have been 50 people around

23 and about.

19

20

24

Did you know all of them personally?

25 No.

```
1
        Did you have any reason to expect they would keep your
 2
   secrets?
 3
        Yeah, I -- no. I -- there are these parties and people
 4
   are doing all -- there's a lot of drinking. People are acting
 5
   like idiots, you know, to put it casually. I think I, probably
   naively, thought that it's a private event and this is not
 6
 7
   something you want to broadcast. But I ultimately had no real
 8
   reason to trust all of these people.
 9
        Earlier we were talking about violent humor, rhetoric,
   hyperbole, that sort of thing. But violence isn't just a
10
11
   theoretical exercise for you and me, is it?
12
        No.
13
        You mentioned earlier you had been punched in the face.
   Was that on Inauguration Day in Washington DC?
14
15
        Yes.
16
        And when you stated that we were entering a world of
17
   political violence and that nothing would be the same, did that
18
   interaction -- was that interaction fresh in your mind?
19
        Absolutely, yes.
20
        Not long before the events at the heart of this dispute,
21
   did you hear about a shooting at a baseball practice in
22
   Alexandria, Virginia?
23
             MR. BLOCH: Objection, relevance.
24
             THE COURT: Overruled.
25
             THE WITNESS: Yes. I remember hearing about this.
```

22

23

24

25

answer.

if you don't have --

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's --

THE COURT: If you don't have an answer to it, don't

```
THE WITNESS: I might not have an answer to that
 1
 2
   question. It's a difficult one.
 3
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
        Fair to say you didn't want to display your fear in
 4
 5
   public?
 6
             MR. BLOCH: Objection, leading.
 7
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 8
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
        Following the Unite the Right rally, you continued on
 9
   something of a speaking tour; is that right?
10
11
        Yes.
12
        And at some point did you call that tour off?
13
        Yes.
        Did that have something to do with Antifa violence?
14
15
              MR. BLOCH: Objection, Judge, relevance, leading.
16
              THE COURT: Sustained. It's leading.
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
17
        What was the reason that you called off the tour?
18
19
              MR. BLOCH: Object, Judge. Just to be clear, he's
20
   talking about events that post-dated Unite the Right.
21
              THE COURT: Well, did you not ask anything about
22
    things that post-dated Unite the Right?
23
              MR. BLOCH: I did. But he's talking --
24
              THE COURT: So overruled.
25
              Go ahead.
```

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yes. I did appear on colleges, I believe, twice after that, and particularly at the last event it seemed like scuffles outside of the event were overshadowing the event itself to the point where even, in the last one, I was not able to speak. So earlier, before Unite the Right, Texas A&M being an excellent example, I was able to give a speech for an hour. Very controversial, but I was able to deliver a message and take questions. At some point the conflicts made the speech just irrelevant, and I actually -- I publicly called it off for those reasons. BY MR. CANTWELL: And when you called it off, did you make a video about that? I did. In that video, did you state that Antifa was winning because they were willing to go further than anyone else? I did state that. What did you mean by that? They had successfully escalated to a point where the -they had escalated matters to a point where it's not about a speech and it's not about Richard Spencer talking about his views; it is about, let's just get into a fight. And it overshadowed me. It overshadowed my message. It made me look

- 1 bad, for lack of a better term. And I started to have a kind
- 2 of sick feeling about all of this, where it wasn't leading
- 3 anywhere good. I wanted to be a public figure, and instead I
- 4 was -- it was every -- you know, when I would speak someplace,
- 5 oh, there would be Antifa fights with supporters. It was
- 6 depressing.
- 7 Q Mr. Spencer, wasn't violence your desired outcome?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q We heard yesterday that you wanted to dominate the
- 10 streets. Why not just keep on going?
- 11 A Yeah, when I say that, that -- dominating the streets
- 12 | means that we would demonstrate that we would be out there in
- 13 space, standing tall, speaking our views. That is what I meant
- 14 by that.
- 15 $\|Q\|$ So you were unwilling to go to the same lengths as Antifa?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17 | Q You testified that part of your role in planning the Unite
- 18 the Right rally was to suggest speakers; is that right?
- 19 A Yes. I suggested a number of speakers.
- 20 Q Was I one of the speakers you suggested?
- 21 A No.
- 22 \mathbb{Q} Were you privy to a deliberation on my attendance?
- 23 \blacksquare A I wasn't privy to that.
- 24 Q As of August 10th, 2017, did you know me to have a
- 25 reputation for harming innocent people?

act of violence being attributed to any of my listeners?

- A I've never heard of any of that, no.
- 2 \mathbb{Q} Okay. As of August 10th, 2017, had you ever heard of any
- 3 political event I was involved in becoming violent?
- 4 A I had not heard of that, no.
- 5 Q Yesterday you testified that you had created a separate
- 6 Discord server for alt-right leaders. Please describe for the
- 7 jury what role, if any, I had on that server.
- 8 A To be accurate, I think I suggested that Eli create a
- 9 server for alt-right leaders. Things like that had been
- 10 | created in the past and they didn't go anywhere, like a Twitter
- 11 DM group that didn't go anywhere.
- I don't think he actually did that, at least to my
- 13 knowledge. So the alt-right leader server does not exist, to
- 14 my knowledge. I never took part in it, to my memory. But your
- 15 name did not come up in any of these suggestions.
- 16 \parallel Q Okay. Let's talk about August 11th. Did you attend the
- 17 | so-called "leadership meeting" at McIntire Park on August 11th?
- 18 **A** No.

- 19 ||Q Do you know about an itsgoingdown -- I'm sorry. Are you
- 20 | familiar with a website called itsgoingdown.org?
- 21 \blacksquare A I'm familiar with the website, yes.
- 22 Q Did you know of a post on itsgoingdown.org that had
- 23 exposed our plans for the torchlight march that evening?
- 24 \blacksquare A I did not know about that in particular, no.
- 25 Q Did somebody convey to you the substance of the McIntire

- 1 Park meeting?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q What time did you arrive at Nameless Field?
- 4 A I don't remember the exact time. Night had fallen by the
- 5 time that I arrived at Nameless Field.
- 6 **|**Q Were you aware of an instruction to cut the tiki torches
- 7 to a shorter length than is sold in stores?
- 8 A I was not aware of that instruction. I didn't carry a
- 9 tiki torch on August 11th, to my memory.
- 10 Q Okay. I think yesterday we saw a photograph of you
- 11 holding a tiki torch. Was that from the first event?
- 12 \blacksquare A That was from Charlottesville 1.0, yes.
- 13 Q Yesterday you illustrated a lengthy route that the torch
- 14 march took through the UVA campus.
- 15 A Uh-huh.
- 16 \parallel Q Do you know if that was the original plan or if the plan
- 17 | had changed at some point?
- 18 A I don't know the details of it. I remember everyone had
- 19 massed in Nameless Field and I remember telling Kessler, "Why
- 20 don't we walk up this alley?" I remember suggesting that. But
- 21 I don't know of the previous plan.
- 22 I am familiar with the campus. So I remember suggesting,
- 23 oh, let's -- let's -- this is a -- kind of immediate way.
- 24 Let's start walking up there.
- 25 \parallel Q Are you saying that the -- the route that we took, was

1 that your idea? 2 I don't think the whole route was my idea. But I remember 3 there was a little bit of a -- I don't think "dispute" is the right word with Mr. Bloch, where I -- I said, at least to my 5 memory, I think we actually walked by the bookstore. There's an alleyway by the bookstore. It's my recollection that I 6 7 remember I saw Kessler there and I was like, "Well, I think 8 this would be a good way." I do vaguely remember that, but... Before you made that suggestion, did you know of a planned 9 route? 10 11 I did not know of the planned route, no. 12 Okay. And were you up towards the front of the formation 13 in the torch march? 14 I was up towards the front at the beginning, but things 15 would kind of shift and change. So I'm not sure -- when we 16 came out of the Rotunda, I'm not sure if I was actually at the 17 lead at that point. But I remember simply telling Jason 18 when -- Mr. Kessler when he was there, "Okay, let's get this 19 going" kind of thing. So, you know, it was -- it was fluid. I 20 think that's a good way to describe it. 21 Okay. Before we started marching, were you up towards the 22 front? 23 Before we started marching, I was up towards the front, 24 yes.

Okay. And did your hear Defendant Kline giving people

```
instructions at the front of that formation?
        I don't remember seeing Mr. Kline -- I don't have strong
2
3
   memories of seeing Mr. Kline at all during that -- during the
   torchlight rally. And I don't remember him giving
4
5
   instructions, no.
 6
        If I were to play for you video of him giving those
7
   instructions, would it refresh your memory?
8
        It might.
        I'd like to show Mr. Spencer that video. That's my
9
   Exhibit 127.
10
11
             THE CLERK: Has this been admitted, Mr. Cantwell, or
12
   no?
13
             MR. CANTWELL: This has not been admitted yet.
              (Defendant Cantwell Exhibit 127 marked.)
14
15
             MR. CANTWELL: I'll mute this and see if he remembers
16
   the scene before we play the audio.
17
             THE CLERK: Mr. Cantwell, I'm not seeing your
18
   computer.
19
             MR. CANTWELL: Oh, I'm sorry. My screen is not
20
   connected. I apologize.
21
              (Video playing.)
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
22
23
        Mr. Spencer, I know this scene is kind of blurry, but does
24
   it look familiar to you?
25
        It looks familiar.
```

MR. CANTWELL: May I add this to evidence and show it 1 2 to the jury? 3 MR. BLOCH: Objection. There's absolutely no foundation, Judge. The fact that the video looks familiar to 4 5 him does not suggest that Mr. Spencer has personal knowledge of what's in the video. 6 7 MR. CANTWELL: You know, we raised this technical 8 issue earlier. I have no way of playing audio without playing it out loud. Should I turn the sound on and see if he heard it? 10 11 THE COURT: You're trying to get him to see Mr. Kline 12 making a speech, right? 13 MR. CANTWELL: Mr. Kline give some instructions, and 14 I want to ask Mr. Spencer about those instructions. He says he 15 doesn't recall. I asked him if I could refresh his memory by 16 showing him video. 17 THE COURT: All right. You can refresh his memory, 18 but don't show it to the -- beyond anyone but him. 19 MR. CANTWELL: The only way for me to do that --20 could I give him some headphones that he could hear this some 21 way? Because there's audio. 22 THE COURT: Maybe so. That would be --23 MR. CANTWELL: Sorry? 24 THE COURT: Maybe so. 25 MR. CANTWELL: Maybe so?

Q When we came down those stairs, was that all you saw at the bottom of the statue?

MR. BLOCH: Judge, I'm going to object. If I'm

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Right.

correct, I believe Mr. Cantwell has put a photograph on the

I was there in person, but I remember seeing evidence of that

Okay. And to the best of your knowledge, is Emily

23

24

on social media afterwards.

Gorcenski a UVA student?

A I have no idea.

- 2 Q Do you know Emily Gorcenski to be associated with Antifa?
- 3 A I -- I don't. Antifa is not a membership organization.
- 4 So it's kind of like asking "are you associated with white
- 5 nationalism" or something. I don't know that much about her.
- 6 Q Do you understand Emily Gorcenski to be sympathetic to
- 7 your political views?
- 8 A No. She's highly -- not at all sympathetic.
- 9 Q Do you know if Emily Gorcenski is transgender?
- 10 A That is my understanding.
- 11 Q Do you remember seeing this woman at the statue on
- 12 August 11th?
- 13 A I don't remember that woman.
- 14 Q I know we're looking at his back, but does this guy stand
- 15 out to you at all?
- 16 A He doesn't.
- 17 | Q Richard, did you expect counter-protesters to be waiting
- 18 | for us at the statue?
- 19 A No. My -- I mean, it's always a possibility in the back
- 20 | of your mind, of course, but my understanding was that it was a
- 21 | kind of flash mob where it was not previously announced. It
- 22 was just kind of a show and then you leave.
- 23 Q Was it your understanding that the torch march was
- 24 supposed to be a secret?
- 25 \blacksquare A Yes, that was my understanding.

1 Yesterday plaintiffs' counsel played for you a video clip 2 for you in which somebody said "we need more people to block these guys off," or something to that effect. Do you recall 3 that audio? 5 Could you repeat that, please? Somebody in the video was -- you were in the video, and 6 7 somebody said "we need more people over here to block these 8 guys in," or something to that --9 Oh, I remember that piece of evidence, yes. 10 And when Emily Gorcenski tweeted that the rally-goers 11 would not let them leave, you tweeted at Emily Gorcenski and 12 you said, "Fact check: True"; do you remember that? 13 I do remember that, yes. 14 Mr. Spencer, what would you have done if one of these counter-protesters said "excuse me" and tried to walk past you? 15 16 MR. BLOCH: Objection, speculation. 17 THE COURT: Well, he knows what he -- maybe he knows 18 what he would have done. 19 MR. CANTWELL: I'm asking Mr. Spencer about his 20 intent. 21 THE COURT: Answer the question. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I would have let them walk by. 23 There were actually a few moments that I remember distinctly 24 for whatever reason. I remember -- well, I was there with Greg

and some other people. There was a very burly, in my humble

1 opinion --2 THE COURT: I think he's answered the question. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. That actually happened. 4 were times when someone was clearly a counter-protester and 5 clearly hostile and we actually did get a little distance so that nothing happened. 6 7 MR. CANTWELL: As a matter of fact -- I'm looking for 8 this in my notes, Judge. I don't know if plaintiffs' counsel 9 can save me the time by telling me which exhibit of theirs that was when somebody said "we need more people to block them in." 10 11 I'd like to pull that video up, if I could. 12 Is that 2117? 13 MR. BLOCH: I think that's right. 14 MR. CANTWELL: Now, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2117 --MR. BLOCH: It's a clip of 2117. 15 16 MR. CANTWELL: Right. I am going to ask to play more 17 of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2117, which is also -- part of that 18 video is already in evidence as my exhibit -- that would be my 19 exhibit -- I apologize. I have that as my Exhibit 133. 20 This is the video that was recorded by Augustus 21 Invictus that night. That was his livestream video. 22 Would there be any objection to adding the entirety 23 of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2117 to evidence? 24 MR. BLOCH: There is, Judge. There's no foundation. 25 And I'd like to approach on this issue, if there's more.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 (Sidebar.) 3 MR. CANTWELL: The situation that Mr. Spencer just 4 described of a man walking past him trying to get out, the 5 so-called "counter-protesters" leaving the scene, there's actually a good bit of that at the end of Plaintiffs' 6 7 Exhibit 2117. I'd like to show that. 8 THE COURT: What's the problem? 9 MR. BLOCH: The problem is that -- I mean, he can 10 obviously -- Mr. Spencer can authenticate any portion of the 11 video that he's in. 12 THE COURT: Well, isn't the video authenticated? 13 MR. BLOCH: Only a small clip of it that Mr. Spencer is in. 14 15 Mr. Cantwell is now trying to put in a 30-minute 16 video. We put in about 40 seconds of it that Mr. Spencer is 17 in. There's all kinds of commentary. There's voiceovers. 18 There's --19 MR. CANTWELL: For one thing, there's actually two 20 portions of that video that have already been admitted. 21 admitted part of it under another exhibit number. I have it 22 and they have it. We have it in as --23 MR. BLOCH: It's about a minute and a half total 24 that's in. 25 MR. CANTWELL: So there's a couple of things here.

1 Now, to accomplish what I'm trying to accomplish 2 right now, I do not need to enter the entire 30-minute video. 3 MR. BLOCH: That's what you just offered in evidence, right? 4 5 MR. CANTWELL: I did, yes. Okay? So to accomplish 6 what I'm trying to accomplish in this moment, I can accomplish 7 it without the entire 30-minute video. I'm acknowledging that, I would like to introduce the entire 30-minute video for 8 okay? a few reasons. One is, it captures the entire thing, and I 9 think that that's helpful, but I anticipate this objection. 10 11 So Mr. Spencer identifies a portion of the video. 12 There is --13 THE COURT: Can he identify the portion you want to show? 14 15 MR. CANTWELL: I don't think that he's in the frame 16 when I'm going to show what I want to show. 17 MR. BLOCH: Judge, just to give a little more 18 context, this is a video filmed by a former defendant who has 19 defaulted. He is giving commentary the entire course of the 20 video. It's 30 minutes. There's all kinds of hearsay. 21 THE COURT: Well, the 30 minutes is off -- he's taken 22 that off the table. But what is -- I mean, what is it? 23 wants to show just the video of somebody --24 MR. BLOCH: My understanding is that he wants to show 25 a portion of the video that Mr. Spencer is not in, did not

1 observe in real time, has no personal knowledge of. He just wants -- so there is no foundation for it. 2 3 MR. CANTWELL: I'm actually not certain where Mr. Spencer is in that portion of the video. 4 5 I am aware of portions of the video where Emily Gorcenski, who is an open Antifa, is standing in the middle of 6 7 this crowd with no threats to her safety. I am aware of a portion of this video during the same portion where Paul Minton 8 9 and Thomas Keenan, who are both from Philadelphia Antifa, are just walking through the crowd nonchalantly with no concern for 10 11 their safety. 12 THE COURT: He doesn't know Emily, but does he know 13 the other people? 14 MR. CANTWELL: I don't know. 15 THE COURT: Well, okay. It's not authenticated. 16 You've got to put it in through some witness who can 17 authenticate that that's what happened. 18 MR. CANTWELL: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 19

(End sidebar.)

BY MR. CANTWELL:

- 21 Was the decision to circle the monument made before or 22 after we saw the counter-protesters?
- 23 It was made after. It seemed to be a kind of collective 24 movement around the monument.
- 25 Q Before we saw the counter-protesters, what did you

- 1 understand the plan to be once we got to the statue?
- 2 A To go to -- to the degree that I understood it, it was
- 3 pretty vague, but it was about going to the statue and being
- 4 around it and, you know, doing some kind of display, chant, and
- 5 then leave.
- 6 \parallel Q I'm sorry. Did you say that before we went to the statue,
- 7 the plan was to be around the statue?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And that was somehow --
- 10 A I guess both -- I mean, I don't know of any real specific
- 11 details about what was going to happen. It was a just organic
- 12 movement. But going to the statue, I mean, generally speaking,
- 13 yeah, it was about amassing around the statue and saying bold
- 14 stuff and then leaving.
- 15 Q Prior to seeing the counter-protesters, the plan was to be
- 16 around the statue?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Thank you.
- 19 Did you punch, kick, pepper-spray, or otherwise attempt to
- 20 | inflict pain upon anyone that night?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Did you personally witness any Unite the Right attendee
- 23 attack innocent students that night?
- 24 \blacksquare A I did not witness -- the answer is no.
- 25 Q Thank you.

1 At some point did you become aware that I had been 2 arrested for my role in the fighting? 3 I -- the fighting during the torch march? At the torch march did you become aware at some point that 4 5 I had been arrested for my role in that? I was not aware of that. 6 7 You never heard that I was arrested? MR. BLOCH: Objection, asked and answered. Leading. 8 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. 9 THE WITNESS: I did hear that you had been arrested. 10 11 I remember seeing that on social media, but I don't remember 12 that being in connection to the torchlight rally. But again, I 13 wasn't paying attention to you that much, to be honest. BY MR. CANTWELL: 14 Okay. Please describe for the jury what role, if any, I 15 16 played in the National Policy Institute. 17 No role. 18 Please list for the jury all of the organizations you 19 believe me to be a leader of in a white nationalist movement. 20 I would say you were the leader of the Radical Agenda 21 podcast. I can't name another. 22 Do you have any estimation of how many people are in the 23 organization Radical Agenda podcast? 24 Perhaps one --

25

Q

Okay.

```
-- maybe another.
 1
 2
        Thank you.
 3
              MR. BLOCH:
                          Judge, I would ask that the witness be
 4
   allowed to answer the questions.
 5
                          I think the witness has answered.
              THE COURT:
 6
              MR. BLOCH:
                         Well, Mr. Cantwell is consistently trying
 7
   to cut him off in the middle of his answers. So I would ask --
 8
              THE COURT: I don't perceive that to be the case.
 9
   But answer the -- let the witness answer.
10
              MR. CANTWELL: Fair enough, Judge. Thank you.
11
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
12
        Please describe for the jury what role, if any, I played
13
   in developing your plans for August 11th and 12th?
14
        You played no role.
15
        Do you recall getting a text message from me encouraging
16
   you to install an app called Signal?
17
        I don't recall that outside of I glanced at that on --
18
   when the full text message conversation in toto was produced, I
19
   remember seeing that out of the corner of my eye, but I don't
20
   recall that in the sense it's part of my memory of the event,
21
   just to be specific.
22
        Okay.
              Did you at some point install this app?
23
        At some point I installed the Signal app, yes.
24
        Approximately when was that?
```

25

I don't know when.

- 1 Q Have you since become familiar with how that app works?
- 2 A Yes. I'm familiar with how it works. I think it's an
- 3 end-to-end encryption.
- 4 Q In your experience does that app delete messages without
- 5 the user specifically instructing it to?
- 6 A I know that that's an option, but the answer is no. You
- 7 have to choose that option. So for instance, I have not chosen
- 8 that option. I didn't use that app with anyone involved with
- 9 this.
- 10 Q Okay. I guess that answers my next two questions.
- 11 In your leadership role in the alt-right and at NPI in
- 12 particular, did you care about your public image?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 \parallel Q Can you think of any political organization that does not
- 15 care about their public image?
- 16 A Of course not.
- 17 Q Could this concern be described as optics?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 **|**Q Are you familiar with the term "agree and amplify"?
- 20 A I'm -- yes, I'm familiar with it.
- 21 $\|Q\|$ Could you please describe what that phrase means to you?
- 22 \blacksquare A Well, it is what it is. You -- when someone says
- 23 something, you agree and then amplify it; that is, increase it
- 24 or make it more outrageous or outlandish.
- 25 \parallel Q Could this be described as a media strategy?

A Yes.

- 2 Q Based on what you know about jails and prisons, do you
- 3 think you'd like to spend a whole lot of time in one?
- 4 | A No.
- 5 Q At any time on August 11th or 12th did you hide your face
- 6 from any camera?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Did you speak to reporters?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Have you ever heard the phrase "nationalism for all
- 11 peoples" before I said it in the courtroom with Mr. Heimbach?
- 12 A Yes, I've heard that many times.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} What does that phrase mean to you?
- 14 \parallel A I think it's -- it means that yes, we are nationalistic.
- 15 We want our own place with language and borders and identity,
- 16 \parallel etc, but we actually support that cause for everyone.
- 17 Q Are you familiar with the name Marcus Garvey?
- 18 A Yes, I am.
- 19 Q How about Malcolm X?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21 | Q What do these two men have in common?
- 22 \blacksquare A They are black nationalists, you could say. I think
- 23 Marcus Garvey took it a step further by being a leader of a
- 24 back to Africa program, a kind of we're going to have a --
- 25 MR. BLOCH: Judge, I'm going to object. This is very

Was the text message the reason you made that video?

In any of your dealings with NPI or in your connection

with the events at the heart of this dispute, or in connection

knowingly acted at the behest of any government agency, foreign

with your activism for social and political change, have you

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

No.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 58 of 240 Pageid#.8
                           R. Spencer - Cross
 1
   or domestic?
 2
         No.
 3
         Is race your only problem with Antifa?
 4
         No, not at all.
         Is race your only concern regarding immigration?
 5
 6
         No.
 7
         Do you believe the fight involving DeAndre Harris was
 8
   motivated by the color of Harris's skin?
 9
              MR. BLOCH: Objection.
10
                           Sustained.
              THE COURT:
11
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
12
         Have you ever read Mein Kampf?
13
         No.
14
   Q
         No?
15
         No, I have not.
16
              MR. CANTWELL: No further questions.
17
              THE COURT: Anyone else?
18
              THE CLERK:
                           Judge?
19
              THE COURT:
                           Yes.
20
              THE CLERK: Mr. ReBrook by Zoom.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. ReBrook.
22
              MR. REBROOK: Your Honor, can you hear me?
23
              THE COURT: Yes.
24
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
25
    BY MR. REBROOK:
```

- Q Mr. Spencer, good morning.
- 2 A Good morning.
- 3 Q Mr. Spencer, was Jeff Schoep involved with any of the
- 4 planning with you for the Unite the Right rally?
- 5 A I have no idea about Jeff Schoep's involvement in any way.
- 6 So the answer is no, he was not involved in any of the planning
- 7 that I did for Unite the Right, to attend.
 - Q And would you be able to recognize Mr. Schoep if you saw
- 9 him?

8

- 10 A No.
- 11 MR. REBROOK: I have no further questions.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else on
- 13 | Zoom?
- 14 All right. Mr. Spencer, since you represent
- 15 yourself, you have a right to cross-examine yourself, but only
- 16 with regard to the direct examination.
- 17 THE WITNESS: As tantalizing as it is to have a
- 18 conversation with myself, I'm going to refrain and simply --
- 19 when I present my affirmative case.
- 20 THE COURT: You can testify later.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?
- MR. BLOCH: Judge, we do have very brief redirect.
- 24 It does require the pulling up of a video, which would take a
- 25 | few minutes. Is it possible to take our morning break?

being in connection to the torchlight rally."

Do you remember giving that testimony?

24

A Yes.

- 2 Q Isn't it true, Mr. Spencer -- and if we could just show
- 3 the text messages between Mr. Cantwell and Mr. Spencer.
- Do you agree with me, Mr. Spencer, that on August 16th,
- 5 four days after the torch march -- sorry, five days after the
- 6 torch march, Mr. Cantwell texted you and he said -- if we can
- 7 show the content; I don't think we can see the whole thing --
- 8 "I've got a warrant out for my arrest from UVA." And you
- 9 responded: "I'm very sorry to hear that. Stay strong. Is it
- 10 just in Virginia and for what?"
- 11 And Mr. Cantwell responded: "I figure it's for the torch
- 12 march brawl."
- Did you exchange those text messages with Mr. Cantwell
- 14 five days after the torch march?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 MR. BLOCH: We can take that down.
- 17 BY MR. BLOCH:
- 18 Q You also were just asked about whether you attended the
- 19 meeting in McIntire Park on August 11th, right?
- 20 A By Mr. Cantwell, yes.
- 21 Q Mr. Cantwell just asked you that. And --
- 22 A Could you just be specific about which meeting you're
- 23 | talking about?
- 24 Q So Mr. Cantwell asked you, did you attend the so-called
- 25 | leadership meeting in McIntire Park?

Answer: "I saw that in the park. I'm not sure I saw

anyone with a weapon in the march itself. That was in McIntire

22

23

24

25

them, correct?"

Park beforehand."

1 Did you give that testimony? 2 Could you -- could you -- you said 216? 3 269? I misunderstood you. 4 Oh, 269. 5 So the question, Mr. Spencer -- referring to 269, line 18, to 270, line 6, the question is: Did you give that testimony? 6 7 Yes. 8 MR. BLOCH: I have nothing further, Judge. 9 THE WITNESS: That was in reference to a different 10 meeting in the park. The security meeting is the one that I 11 did not attend. There was a gathering in the park on the 12 morning before August 12th that I have not disputed that I was 13 there. So you're conflating two different things, a mischaracterization. 14 BY MR. BLOCH: 15 16 So you're saying there was a -- an additional meeting that 17 you did attend in McIntire Park on August 11th; is that --18 No. Α 19 -- your testimony? 20 On August 12th, in the morning, people gathered at 21 McIntire Park. We've seen images of that. That's what I'm 22 referring to, unless I'm -- unless it's a different park and 23 I'm just making that mistake. But to the extent that I 24 understand it, there was a security meeting on August 11th at 25 McIntire Park that I did not attend. And then on August 12th,

MR. BLOCH: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Spencer, you may step down.

Call your next witness.

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KAPLAN: Our next witness will be a very short

not be considered by you in connection with Defendant

Christopher Cantwell because he was not at the deposition or otherwise notified. However, it may be considered by you in connection with the other defendants.

22

23

24

25

All right. You may proceed to play the deposition.

By 2016, the League had how many state chapters?

This would be off the top of my head, but I would say

24

25

Α

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 67 of 240 Pageid#. 7
                            M. Hill - Direct
   probably about 15 or so; most of the Southern states and a few
 2
   states outside of the South.
 3
         And the League of the South had a website, correct?
         That's correct.
 4
 5
         And you used that website to publish statements by you and
   about the work of the League of the South, correct?
 6
 7
        Largely me.
 8
              MR. LEVINE: Could we mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1566A,
 9
   show it to the witness?
10
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1566A marked.)
11
    BY MR. LEVINE:
12
         Is that the League of the South website?
13
        Yes, it is.
14
         And is that a statement that you published on it on
   August 18, 2016?
15
16
        Yes, it is.
17
              MR. LEVINE: We offer it in evidence, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
18
19
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1566A admitted.)
20
              MS. DUNN: We ask to publish it for the jury, Your
21
   Honor.
22
              THE COURT: You may.
```

Q Now, you published on the League of the South website on August 18, 2016 something you called "My Pledge of Allegiance,"

23

BY MR. LEVINE:

1 correct? 2 That is correct, sir. 3 And in that document -- which was for your 65th birthday, 4 correct? 5 I think that would be correct. And if we go down to third to the last paragraph, could 6 7 you read that, please, to the jury? 8 Yes. I'll be glad to. 9 "So whatever time the Lord of Hosts -- whom I gladly will serve with all my heart, mind, and strength -- may allot for me 10 11 from here to the end, I pledge to spend fighting to restore the South as White Man's Land. I do this because I believe in the 12 13 admonition in 1 Timothy 5:8. 'But if any provide not for his 14 own, and specially for those of his own house, he hath denied the faith, and he is worse than an infidel.'" 15 16 Would you please continue to this next paragraph? 17 "So, in direct contradiction to the politically correct 18 dictates of the current day, I pledge to be a white 19 supremacist, a racist, an anti-Semite, a homophobe, a 20 xenophobe, an Islamophobe, and any other sort of 'phobe that 21 benefits my people, so help me God!" 22 You can take that down. 23 So in the summer of 2016, you identified yourself as a 24 white supremacist, correct?

I identified myself as all the things I just read out,

- 1 including as a white supremacist, sir.
- 2 Q And you identified yourself as a racist, correct?
- 3 \blacksquare A I did identify myself as a racist, sir.
- 4 \parallel Q And you identified yourself as an anti-Semite; isn't that
- 5 ||right?
- 6 A I did. Yes, sir, that is correct.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} And you held these views the following year, in 2017,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A My views did not change.
- 10 Q And you held these views in 2018; is that correct?
- 11 A My views have not changed.
- 12 Q And you held -- hold these views today; is that right?
- 13 A I still hold the views. I do not deny any of these views.
- 14 \parallel I held them then; I held them now -- hold them now.
- 15 Q And the League of the South is dedicated to Southern/white
- 16 | nationalism; isn't it?
- 17 A The League of the South is a Southern nationalist
- 18 organization. We have been since the very beginning.
- 19 Q And Southern white nationalism seeks to create a white
- 20 | Aryan homeland; isn't that right?
- 21 A We seek to create a white homeland, right.
- 22 $\|Q\|$ And that means -- and that means, in your vision,
- 23 returning black people to their status under Jim Crow; is that
- 24 | right?
- 25 A I've never said that.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 70 of 240 Pageid#:0
                            M. Hill - Direct
 1
              MR. LEVINE: Please mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1564.
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1564 marked.)
 2
 3
   BY MR. LEVINE:
         Is your email address during 2017 jmichhill@cs.com?
 4
 5
         It is.
         And do you recognize this as an email you sent on
 6
 7
   April 22, 2017?
 8
         Yes, I do.
 9
              MR. LEVINE: We offer it, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
10
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1564 admitted.)
11
12
              MR. LEVINE: We ask that it be published to the jury,
13
   Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: You may.
15
    BY MR. LEVINE:
16
        Did you state on April 22nd, 2017, "Negroes are incapable
17
   of creating or sustaining anything resembling Western
   civilization"?
18
19
        Yes, I did.
20
        And later on in that paragraph you say, quote, "However,
21
   when the civil rights revolution undermined white resolve and
22
    the Negro was freed from white oversight and domination, he
23
   became a menace to himself, to whites, and to civilization in
24
   general"?
25
        Yes, I did.
```

- 1 Q "The solution? Some degree of segregation -- perhaps
- 2 total -- and the restoration of white dominance through various
- 3 Jim Crow-type laws."
- 4 Did you write that?
- 5 A I did. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q Does that refresh your recollection that you have said
- 7 | that?
- 8 A Yes. I said Jim Crow-type laws. I did not say Jim Crow
- 9 laws. Words are important, sir.
- 10 Q Now, you actually -- take that down, please.
- 11 You actually believe that black people are inferior to
- 12 white people, don't you?
- 13 A I believe that there is an innate difference that's
- 14 | reflected by IQ, and I believe history shows that white
- 15 civilization is clearly superior in its entirety to any other
- 16 | civilizations created by any other races, yes.
- 17 | Q I asked you, sir, if, in fact, you believe that black
- 18 people are inferior to white people.
- 19 $\|A\|$ Not in every case, sir. In some cases, yes; in some
- 20 cases, no.
- 21 Q I asked you, sir, if you have taken the position that
- 22 black people are inferior to white people.
- 23 And I answered your question, sir. In some cases, yes; in
- 24 some cases, no.
- 25 MR. LEVINE: Mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1564.

BY MR. LEVINE: 1 2 I'm showing you an Exhibit 1564, the same exhibit that we 3 just read from. It's a different paragraph in the same email. 4 Do you see it, the top paragraph? 5 Yes, I do. 6 It says -- were these your words: "Negroes are incapable 7 of creating or sustaining anything resembling Western civilization and they know this"? 8 9 Yes, they are. 10 It's your view that those words don't mean that you 11 believe that black people are inferior to white people? 12 In creating civilizations, yes. In other areas of life, no. 13 14 So in your words, sir, the League of the South is firm on the Negro question and the Jew question; isn't that right? 15 Please define the term "firm." 16 17 I'm quoting you, sir. 18 Thank you for telling me that. Would you please put up 19 the place where I said that? 20 MR. LEVINE: Let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1899. 21 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1899 marked.) 22 BY MR. LEVINE: 23 Is this a League of the South website statement by you 24 from August 13, 2018, on the one-year anniversary of Unite the 25 Right?

- A Yes. I'll correct that. It's the one year and one day anniversary.
- 3 Q Can we just go back to the last exhibit before we proceed
- 4 here? The top paragraph, the last sentence I failed to read
- 5 said, "some degree of segregation -- perhaps total -- and the
- 6 restoration of white dominance through various Jim Crow-type
- 7 laws. Otherwise, there will be escalating conflict that likely
- 8 will end in race war."
- 9 Did you say that?
- 10 A Yes, I did, sir.
- 11 Q Let's go back to the League of the South website. This
- 12 was on the anniversary of the Charlottesville 2.0; is that
- 13 ||right?
- 14 \blacksquare A The one year and one day anniversary, sir.
- 15 \mathbb{Q} And can we go down to -- the jury will have this to read
- 16 | at their deliberations, but I want to go down to the fourth
- 17 paragraph where it says "some on both the left and the right."
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 **A** I do.
- 20 Q In the middle there, does it say, "Rather, we" -- and
- 21 you're referring to the League there, correct?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 MS. KAPLAN: I don't think this document is
- 24 published. I apologize.
- 25 THE CLERK: It has not been moved for admission.

what we believe or what we seek to accomplish."

Did you say that to the League on August 18, 2018?

Yes, I did.

Now -- you can take that down.

22

23

24

25

For you, Dr. Hill, you not only consider yourself an antisemite, but for you the Jewish person and the Jewish people are your enemies; is that right?

20 Is that you speaking? 21 That is me. 22 MR. LEVINE: I offer Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1921A. THE COURT: It will be admitted. 23 24 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1921A admitted.) 25 MR. LEVINE: I ask that it be published to the jury.

Now, you also believe that violence is necessary to

achieve the Southern/white nationalist society that you

23

24

25

That's correct.

```
1
   envision; isn't that right?
 2
        It might be. I'm not sure that it would be in all cases.
 3
              MR. LEVINE: Let's put up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1567.
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1567 marked.)
 4
 5
   BY MR. LEVINE:
        Is this an email from you to the League of the South board
 6
 7
   of directors in June of 2016?
 8
        Yes, it is.
 9
              MR. LEVINE: I offer it, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Admitted.
10
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1567 admitted.)
11
12
             MR. LEVINE: Can we publish it for the jury, Your
13
   Honor?
14
              THE COURT:
                         You may.
    BY MR. LEVINE:
15
16
        In the third paragraph of this email, do you say, quote,
17
   We Southern nationalists, white Southerners, will have no part
18
   of any of this. In fact, we are willing to fight, kill, and
19
   die to stop it. We see the future of our posterity at stake.
20
   To do nothing, or to stop with half measures, is to assure the
21
   destruction of their future."
22
        You said that, correct?
23
        I said that, yes.
24
        And these -- take that down, please. These are not just
25
   words to you; isn't that right, Dr. Hill?
```

- 1 A Repeat the question, please.
- 2 Q These words are not just words to you?
- 3 A I'm not sure I understand the meaning of that question.
- fill They are the words that I wrote, sir.
- 5 Q And by those words, you mean what those words say; isn't
- 6 that right?
- 7 A Normally, sir, I do mean what I say.
- 8 Q And your view is that you and your League members are
- 9 going to have to use violence or have to be prepared to use
- 10 violence to achieve the white nationalist society that you
- 11 envision?
- 12 A We are prepared to do whatever is necessary to preserve
- 13 our civilization, which the previous paragraph that you didn't
- 14 read explained.
- 15 $\|Q\|$ Well, you also gave a speech at the Florida state
- 16 conference of League of the South in 2018, correct?
- 17 A I do believe that's correct.
- 18 Q And you were invited there to speak by your co-defendant
- 19 Michael Tubbs, correct?
- 20 A That is correct.
- 21 \parallel Q And you were making reference to Charlottesville 2.0,
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A I'm not sure. You'll have to show me what you're speaking
- 24 of here.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} Let's please put Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1923 on the screen.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 79 of 240 Pageid#:9
                            M. Hill - Direct
 1
         Can you identify yourself at the League conference there?
 2
         Yes.
 3
              MR. LEVINE: We offer it in evidence, Your Honor,
   Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1923C.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
 6
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1923C marked.)
 7
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1923C admitted.)
 8
              MR. LEVINE: I ask that it be published for the jury.
 9
              (Video playing.)
    BY MR. LEVINE:
10
         When you used the word "warrior" there, you meant it both
11
12
   literally and figuratively; isn't that right?
13
         I meant it in both ways, yes, sir.
         Thank you. When you spoke to the members of the League of
14
15
   the South in Florida, the street battle and fighting at
16
   Charlottesville 2.0 was exactly the kind of battle that you
17
   planned for; isn't that right?
18
         No, it isn't right.
19
              MR. LEVINE: Please play for the jury 1923F. May I
20
   publish that for the jury, Your Honor?
21
              THE COURT: You may.
22
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1923F marked.)
23
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1923F admitted.)
24
              MR. LEVINE: Yes, the Court said "you may."
25
              (Video playing.)
```

25 Q You may answer.

BY MR. LEVINE:

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 81 of 240 Pageid#.1
                            M. Hill - Direct
 1
         Okay. Would you repeat the question, please.
 2
              MR. LEVINE: Would the reporter please read back the
 3
   question.
         (The requested portion of the transcript was read back.)
 4
 5
              THE WITNESS: He asked me specifically about helping
   him get some speakers. I don't recall any real details about
 6
 7
   the planning. He seemed to have that pretty well at hand at
    the time he invited me.
 8
    BY MR. LEVINE:
 9
10
         You accepted the invitation to speak, correct?
11
        Yes, I did.
12
         You accepted the invitation for the League to participate;
13
   is that correct?
14
         That's correct.
15
         You announced to the membership your participation,
16
   correct?
17
         That's right.
18
         Your role as a speaker at the event on Saturday was
19
   announced on a flier, correct?
20
         It was.
21
         Could we show the jury -- or first have Dr. Hill identify
22
   Plaintiffs' Exhibit 198. Do you recognize that?
```

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 198 marked.)

Yes, I do.

23

25

MR. LEVINE: We offer it in evidence, Your Honor. We

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 82 of 240 Pageid#.2
                            M. Hill - Direct
 1
   ask it be published to the jury.
 2
              THE COURT: I thought it was already in.
 3
              MR. LEVINE: I'm not sure this one is in.
              THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. Admit it. It may be
 4
 5
   published.
 6
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 198 admitted.)
 7
   BY MR. LEVINE:
 8
         That identifies Dr. Michael Hill, correct?
 9
   Α
         That's right.
10
         Along with Richard Spencer, defendant here, correct?
11
         That's right.
12
         Defendant Jason Kessler, correct?
   Q
13
   Α
         Yes.
14
   Q
         Defendant Chris Cantwell, correct?
15
   Α
         Yes.
16
         Defendant Matt Heimbach, correct?
17
   Α
         Yes.
18
         Now, you assigned roles to various League members to lead
19
   different aspects of the League's participation in
20
   Charlottesville 2.0, didn't you?
21
   Α
         That's correct.
22
         And you asked Michael Tubbs to be your chief of
23
   operations, correct?
24
        No, that's not correct. Michael Tubbs is my chief of
25
   staff.
```

- 1 Q And you asked him to be involved in the operation that
- 2 day?
- 3 A Yes, I did.
- 4 Q And you asked Brad Griffin, a member of the League, to
- 5 handle public relations, correct?
- 6 A That is correct.
- 7 Q And you knew that planning for Charlottesville 2.0 was
- 8 taking place on the Discord server; is that right?
- 9 A Yes, I knew there was a Discord server.
- 10 Q And you knew that access to the Discord server was for
- 11 those that would be involved in planning for Charlottesville
- 12 **2.0**, correct?
- 13 A As I understood it, yes.
- 14 | Q And you were invited to designate someone from the League
- 15 to be -- to have access to the Discord server to participate in
- 16 | the planning, correct?
- 17 A I was actually asked myself to be on the Discord server,
- 18 but I was not. And somebody took my place to monitor it.
- 19 Q And monitor it and participate, correct?
- 20 \blacksquare A To monitor mainly. After we found out about the Discord
- 21 server, I think I asked Mr. Baker, Ike Baker, to be our monitor
- 22 \parallel there. I don't recall if I asked him to be an actual
- 23 participant.
- 24 Q And Ike Baker was the gentleman that the jury just saw in
- 25 the deposition, correct?

```
1
        That's correct.
 2
        And you asked him to be your point of contact on the
 3
   Discord server, correct?
        I'm not sure if I used that term, "point of contact," but
 4
 5
   he was asked by me to monitor the Discord server for our
  benefit.
 6
 7
        And you stayed involved in general strategy, and everyone
 8
   reported to you; isn't that right?
 9
        Could you be a little bit more clear when you say
   "everyone," sir?
10
11
        All of the people that you had asked to do -- to take
12
   assignments on behalf of the League reported back to you; isn't
13
   that right?
14
        Within the League, yes. Everybody who I asked reported
15
   back to me. I'm the president.
16
             MR. LEVINE: And let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1551.
17
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1551 marked.)
18
    BY MR. LEVINE:
19
        Can you identify this as an email you sent on July 12th to
20
   Michael Tubbs?
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
              MR. LEVINE: We offer Exhibit 1551, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
24
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1551 admitted.)
25
              MR. LEVINE: We ask that it be published to the jury.
```

```
1
             THE COURT:
                          It may.
 2
    BY MR. LEVINE:
 3
        Does this say, "Had a good talk with Ike Baker tonight.
   On-the-ground planning for Charlottesville is coming along
 4
 5
   nicely. Still a lot to do, but the Pikeville template on a
   larger scale. Looks like it will work well there. He will be
 7
   in touch with us both as things progress"?
 8
        Did you report that to Tubbs?
 9
        Yes, I wrote that.
10
        And that was based on Ike Baker's reporting to you,
11
   correct?
        It was based on Ike Baker's planning for the League of the
12
13
   South's part in this operation. I don't think it had to do
14
   with anything external to the League of the South.
15
        When it came to discussing plans with Kessler, you didn't
16
   have any intermediary, did you?
17
        Not as I recall. I think my discussions with Mr. Kessler
18
   were done one on one between him and myself.
19
        And in the spring of 2017, you called on members of the
20
   League to stand up in resistance; isn't that right?
21
        If you have something that you can refer me to that you're
22
   speaking of, I can confirm or deny it, sir.
             MR. LEVINE: Let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1537.
23
24
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1537 marked.)
25
    BY MR. LEVINE:
```

```
1
        Is this an email from you to members of the board of
 2
   directors of League of the South from May 2017?
 3
        Yes, it is.
 4
        And did you say in the middle of this message: "This is
 5
   an official call for resistance. I have spoken with many of
   you at length, face to face, about the nature of such
 6
 7
   resistance. You know what it means. That is all I will say
   specifically in this matter. Obviously, Facebook and other
 8
 9
   Internet platforms are either controlled by the enemy or
10
   monitored by authorities that care not what happens to us and
11
   our civilization. Therefore, I want no discussion here or
12
   elsewhere online of any resistance strategies, tactics,
13
   logistics, plans, operations, or other action reports.
                                                            Those
14
   will all be handled through secure channels."
        Did you say that to the board?
15
16
        Yes, I did.
17
             MS. KAPLAN: Mr. Levine, this is not published to the
18
   jury.
19
             MR. LEVINE: Withdrawn. Your Honor, can we publish
20
   that for the jury just so it's before them. I've read it.
21
   I'm not going to go back through it, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: You may publish it. I thought we had
   ruled.
23
24
             THE CLERK: He didn't move to admit, Your Honor.
25
         (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1537 admitted.)
```

```
BY MR. LEVINE:
 2
        Did I just read from it to the jury, Dr. Hill, correctly?
 3
   Did I just read from it correctly to the jury?
        Oh, yes, as far as I could tell, you did.
 4
 5
        And that was a warning to the League members to be careful
   what they said on Facebook and other Internet channels,
 7
   correct?
 8
        Yes, that's correct.
 9
        Now, you continued to talk with Kessler about plans for
   Charlottesville 2.0; is that right?
11
       Can you give me a time frame that you're speaking of here,
   sir?
12
13
        July.
   Q
14
        I'm not -- I can't recall. I may have spoken with
   Mr. Kessler in July. I don't have any records before me to
15
16
   show that and it's been four years ago. So I can't remember.
17
        Please put up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1545 and show it to
   Dr. Hill.
18
19
        Is this an email message from you to Ike Baker?
20
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1545 marked.)
21
             THE WITNESS: Well, actually, no, the one at the top
22
   I think is from Ike Baker to me.
23
   BY MR. LEVINE:
24
       Yeah, but I think -- go down further there. All the way
25
   at the bottom. Right there.
```

And you did speak with Duke and arrange for his

24

25

attendance, correct?

- 1 A I did speak with Mr. Duke and to the best of my knowledge
- 2 I put him in contact with Mr. Kessler, and they made the
- 3 arrangements.
- 4 Q Now, you also worked with other white nationalist
- 5 organizations to achieve a big turnout at Charlottesville 2.0,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A No, that's not correct, sir. That's not the reason that
- 8 we worked with those groups.
- 9 Q Well, in early 2017 Matthew Heimbach invited you, for
- 10 League of the South, to join a group he proposed to call the
- 11 Nationalist Front; is that right?
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 Q And the Nationalist Front was Heimbach's brainchild,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A I don't know that for a fact, sir.
- 16 Q Were you deposed in this case?
- 17 A Yes, I was.
- 18 \mathbb{Q} And was that on June 18, 2020?
- 19 A I suppose it was. Yeah, that's what it says on my copy
- 20 here.
- 21 Q And were you asked this question and did you give this
- 22 | answer at page 65: "Okay. Mr. Hill, there is a question
- 23 pending. I asked you, do I understand from your answer to the
- 24 interrogatories that the Nationalist Front was, in your words,
- 25 ∥the brainchild of Matthew Heimbach and that it was Matthew

- Heimbach that asked you to participate in that organization; is that right?"
- Answer: "To the best of my recollection, sir, that is correct."
- 5 Were you asked that question and did you give that answer?
 - A Yeah, that was my answer, and it was to the best of my recollection.
 - I have no direct evidence that Mr. Heimbach was the originator of the Nationalist Front. That is what I heard.
- 10 | That's why I gave my answer that I did.
- 11 Q And Heimbach told you that the Nationalist Front would
- 12 \parallel bring together the Traditionalist Worker Party, correct?
- 13 A Yes, the Traditionalist Worker Party would be a part of that.
- 15 Q And that was his organization, correct?
- 16 A That is correct.
- 17 Q And that would also include the Defendant David Matthew
- 18 Parrott from the Traditionalist Worker Party, correct?
- 19 A Yes.

6

7

8

- 20 Q And he also told you that it would include the National
- 21 | Socialist Movement, correct?
- 22 A That is right.
- 23 Q And that's led by Jeffrey Schoep, correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 \parallel Q And he also told you that it would include the Defendant

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 91 of 240 Pageid#:1
                            M. Hill - Direct
 1
   Vanguard America, correct?
 2
        That's right.
 3
        And so the Nationalist Front and Vanguard America was led
   by Dillon Hopper; is that right, at that time?
 4
 5
        As best I understood.
 6
        And the Nationalist Front was an alliance of these groups,
 7
   all that shared a similar white nationalist belief and goals,
 8
   correct?
 9
         Some of the same goals. I wouldn't say all.
10
        And they shared the same common enemy, correct?
11
        I'm not sure that that's correct, sir. I can't speak of
   who all the enemies of these other groups are; only mine.
13
         Those enemies included the Jew, correct?
   Q
14
        As far as I could tell, yes.
15
        And black people, correct?
16
        I'm not sure -- I'm not sure about that.
17
        Well, let's look at -- and you announced this alliance to
18
    the League of the South, correct?
19
        I -- I'm sure I did. I don't recall the occasion.
20
              MR. LEVINE: Let's take a look at Plaintiffs'
21
   Exhibit 1918.
22
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1918 marked.)
23
   BY MR. LEVINE:
24
        Is this the League of the South website from May 15th,
```

25

2017?

```
1
        Yes, it is.
 2
        And this is a statement by you on the website?
 3
        It appears to be such.
             MR. LEVINE: We offer Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1918.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Be admitted.
 6
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1918 admitted.)
 7
             MR. LEVINE: And we'd ask it be published for the
8
   jury, Your Honor.
9
              THE COURT: You may.
   BY MR. LEVINE:
10
11
        Did you say here, "Working with our nationalist allies"?
12
        Yes, I did.
13
        And does it say in the first paragraph, "I am very happy
14
   that The League is now working with several other nationalist
   groups on the right. We have the same common enemy, and we
15
16
   agree on many points -- political, economic, and social.
17
   look forward to forging even stronger bonds with this
   alliance"?
18
19
        Did you say that?
20
        I did.
21
        And in fact, the League wouldn't have attended
22
   Charlottesville 2.0 unless you understood that all of the
23
   participants and speakers shared the same white nationalist
24
   beliefs; isn't that right?
25
        No, that's not right. We all didn't share the exact same
```

1 beliefs. Some we shared; some we differed on. 2 In the deposition on June 18, 2020, at page 109, I'm 3 asking you, Dr. Hill, whether you were asked this question, and did you give this answer? 5 Question: "But did you ever -- would you have gone and asked the League of the South members to go if you didn't 6 7 understand that the participants and the speakers were going to 8 share your white nationalist philosophy?" 9 Answer: "I would not have gone had I thought that they would have been in opposition to it." 10 11 Were you asked that question and did you give that answer? 12 Yes, I did. 13 Now, you knew from Kessler that Heimbach was involved in planning Charlottesville 2.0, correct? I was told that he would be a participant and a speaker. 15 16 I was not told the degree of his planning in this event. 17 You knew that Schoep was involved in planning 18 Charlottesville 2.0, correct? 19 I knew that he was involved. I knew he was going to be a 20 speaker, or I knew his -- excuse me. I knew his group was 21 involved. I did not know the extent of his planning in this 22 event. 23 Were you asked these questions and did you give these 24 answers at page 72 of the deposition?

Question, at page 72: "Now, during August of 2017 --

during June, July, and August, did you work with the 2 organizations in the Nationalist Front to participate in the 3 Unite the Right rally? Isn't that right?" Answer: "That is correct." 4 5 Question: "And you coordinated certain operational matters with Mr. Schoep on behalf of the National Socialist 6 7 Movement, correct?" Answer: "That is correct." 8 9 "And you coordinated and participated with Mr. Heimbach on behalf of the Traditionalist Worker Party; is that correct?" 10 11 "That is correct." Answer: 12 "And you understood and you had your own contacts with 13 Mr. Kessler; is that right?" "Yes, I was -- I was in personal contact with Mr. Kessler 14 on several occasions." 15 16 Were you asked those questions and did you give those 17 answers, sir? 18 I did. I was asked those questions and I gave those 19 answers, yes. 20 Now, you had a conversation with Ike Baker, who suggested 21 that he, Ike Baker, talk with Jeff Schoep, so about the 22 National Socialist Movement's participation in 23 Charlottesville 2.0, correct? 24 That is correct, to discuss logistics of getting to the event, getting out safely, getting in safely, things like that. 25

- Q And participating with them, correct?
- 2 A Well, yes, participating with them in getting in and
- 3 getting out of the event, the logistics of it.
- 4 Q And participating with the National Socialist Movement in
- 5 the events of the day; isn't that right?
- 6 A That's a very general statement. That's what I'm saying,
- 7 sir. The events of the day included getting into the park and
- 8 getting out of the park. So yes, in that context.
- 9 Q Now, let's go -- and Baker -- you told Baker to be sure
- 10 that Jeff Schoep was on board, correct?
- 11 A Can you show me? I can't remember that. If you can show
- 12 me something I can confirm or deny it, sir.
- 13 Q And didn't Baker report back to you that Schoep was
- 14 100 percent on board?

- 15 \parallel A I don't recall that exact language, but I do recall him
- 16 Itelling me that Schoep and the National Socialist group would
- 17 be participating.
- 18 MR. LEVINE: Let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1545.
- 19 This is already in evidence.
- 20 THE COURT: If you're going to -- trying to impeach
- 21 | him, just -- he -- if you ask him a question and if it's not
- 22 | precisely what's in the record, then you go back and read it as
- 23 | if it's inconsistent. Ask him -- if you're going to do that,
- 24 pose the question and answer to him first so we can avoid that
- 25 | kind of implication.

1 MR. LEVINE: Well, Your Honor -- I hear the 2 instruction, Your Honor. 3 I'm marking Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1545, which is 4 already in evidence, and ask that this portion be published for 5 the jury in the middle, from July 13. BY MR. LEVINE: 6 7 It's a message from Ike Baker to you? 8 Yes, it appears to be so. 9 MR. LEVINE: Could we publish this to the jury? 10 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 11 MR. LEVINE: Thank you. 12 BY MR. LEVINE: 13 Ike Baker says to you: "Jeff Schoep is 100 percent on 14 board, sir. He accepted our terms unequivocally and without 15 hesitation. he also asked to be kept abreast and that I 16 coordinate NSM participation with two of his people, one of 17 whom I'm well acquainted with, the other whom I have met. I 18 will of course be circumspect in what information is passed 19 along." 20 Is that what Ike Baker said to you? 21 Yes, sir. 22 And in fact, when the time got closer to the date for 23 Charlottesville 2.0, you sent a written speech for NSM 24 encouraging their participation and asked Ike Baker to read for 25 you at their general meeting; isn't that right?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 97 of 240 Pageid#:7
                            M. Hill - Direct
 1
         That is correct.
 2
         Let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1554.
 3
         Is this a copy of the speech that you wrote and sent to
 4
   Ike Baker to deliver for you?
 5
         (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1554 marked.)
 6
         It appears to be.
 7
         So this was a speech by you as head of the League of the
 8
   South to be delivered to the membership of the National
 9
   Socialist Movement encouraging their participation in Unite the
   Right; is that right?
10
11
         I'm not sure that it was just to encourage their
12
   participation, but yes, it was sent to them at this meeting
   that Mr. Baker attended.
13
              MS. KAPLAN: Mr. Levine, did you --
14
15
              MR. LEVINE: I'm about to.
16
              I'm offering Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1545 [sic].
17
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
18
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1554 admitted.)
19
              MR. LEVINE: And I ask that it be published to the
20
   jury now.
21
              THE COURT: You may.
22
    BY MR. LEVINE:
23
         And this is a speech in your words, correct?
```

But let's go to the third paragraph. Next paragraph --

24

25

Yes, it is.

1 I'm sorry -- third. Third. 2 It says: "Such a sublime civilization is a great blessing 3 that we as men of the West are morally obligated to defend and nourish for the benefit of generations of our people to come. As it is said, 'We must secure the existence of our people and 5 a future for white children.'" 6 7 What you put in quote there and repeated for the speech 8 are 14 Words; isn't that right? 9 Yes, sir. That is correct. 10 And those 14 Words are code words for white supremacists like you; isn't that right? 11 12 No. 13 Well, these 14 Words were written by a gentleman, Lane; 14 isn't that right? As best I understood, they were written by a man named 15 16 David Lane. 17 And they are used by people throughout the white 18 nationalist movement; isn't that right? 19 That is correct. 20 And they mean to preserve the culture of white people to 21 the exclusion of Jews and black people; isn't that right? 22 That's not what it says. It says --23 But that's what you understand it means; isn't that right? 24 Oh, I understand it to mean what it says, sir. Words have

meanings. I'm looking at the words here and I see nothing

about the Jews or blacks in that. I see about preserving white civilization and white children. So let's be precise about the 2 3 words, sir. Is it your testimony, Dr. Hill, that these 14 Words are 4 5 not known code words for antisemitism in America? Known by whom? 6 7 Answer my question. 8 MR. JONES: Objection, asked and answered, about a 9 minute ago. We already went through this. BY MR. LEVINE: 10 11 Is it your testimony, Dr. Hill, that these 14 Words are not 14 code words known by white nationalists and white 13 supremacists like yourself? 14 I can't define these terms for anyone but myself, sir. know what you're getting at and I know where this came from. 15 16 You're asking me to give you a definition of this based on 17 somebody else's perception of it. I can only give you my 18 perception of what these words mean. 19 David Lane wrote these words? 20 Yes, that's what I understand, that David Lane wrote the 21 words. 22 And what did you understand he wrote these words about? 23 MR. JONES: Objection, calls for speculation. 24 THE COURT: Well, his understanding. He's speaking 25 for himself. If he has an understanding of what the words

meant other than what the words say, he may answer the 2 question. Not what Mr. Lane meant, but what he perceives the 3 words mean. BY MR. LEVINE: 4 5 Is it your testimony to this jury, Dr. Hill, that when you used those 14 Words you weren't making reference to the 14 code words that are known in the United States by white supremacists like yourself as standing for hatred of Jews and black people? 9 In the context I wrote these words in this piece that you have up on the screen. I wrote this. And the words mean what the words say. And there is no mention in here of anything 11 12 that you have just said. I have white children myself and I am 13 defending them. And that's basically what I mean when I define this term. 14 The whole point, Dr. Hill, is that these words are code 15 16 words --17 MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to object at this 18 point. He's asked this question five times, same question. 19 Michael Hill has provided his answer. 20 MR. LEVINE: I'll move on, Your Honor. 21 BY MR. LEVINE: 22 Now, isn't it a fact, Dr. Hill, that you wanted a public 23 confrontation with any protesters that would be there to speak 24 out at Charlottesville 2.0?

Would you repeat that question? I didn't hear the last

- 1 part of it.
- 2 MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, I'm going to just go back
- 3 one second before I do that.
- 4 Please put the exhibit back up.
- 5 BY MR. LEVINE:
- 6 Q Right after the paragraph that we just read that I asked
- 7 you about that has the 14 Words, do you see that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Would you please read to the jury what you wrote for the
- 10 speech in the next sentence -- next paragraph?
- 11 A The next paragraph?
- 12 Q Yes.
- 13 A Beginning with the word "but"?
- 14 **Q** Yes.
- 15 A "But we are compassed around with enemies who seek our
- 16 destruction. From above, in the form of the international Jew
- 17 and his white gentile traitor allies, to below, in the dark
- 18 | shape of the Negro, mestizo, and Muslim street thug, we are
- 19 beset by those who despise us and all we hold dear."
- 20 Q Continue, please.
- 21 A The next one?
- 22 Q Yes.
- 23 A "The time has come when white men of the West must put
- 24 aside their petty differences and unite for our very survival
- 25 | and well-being. It is in that spirit of cooperation and mutual

```
respect that I bring these words to you today through the voice
 2
   of my trusted brother and compatriot, Ike Baker."
 3
              MR. LEVINE: Thank you. Take that down.
 4
    BY MR. LEVINE:
 5
        So now going to the next subject, sir, isn't it a fact
   that you wanted a public confrontation with any protesters that
 6
 7
   would be there to speak out at Charlottesville 2.0?
 8
        No.
        You expected counter-protesters, including BLM supporters,
 9
   at 2.0, didn't you?
10
11
        We expected counter-protesters, yes.
12
        And you thought a street confrontation with them would be
13
   fun, didn't you?
14
        I don't recall having ever said that anything like that
15
   would be fun.
16
             MR. LEVINE: Let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2858.
17
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2858 marked.)
18
    BY MR. LEVINE:
19
        Is this a message you sent out to the League of the South
20
   on June 9th, 2017?
21
   Α
        Yes, it is.
22
              MR. LEVINE: We offer it in evidence, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
24
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2858 admitted.)
25
              MR. LEVINE: We ask that it be published for the
```

```
1
   jury, Your Honor.
 2
             THE COURT: You may.
 3
    BY MR. LEVINE:
        Please read the first paragraph of that message that you
 4
 5
   wrote to the membership.
        All right. "The League of the South will be operating in
 6
   the Unite the Right rally in Charlottesville, Virginia on
   12 August. Below is the initial announcement of the event.
   want an excellent turnout of Southern Nationalists for this
   event. Antifa, BLM, and others will be there to greet us.
10
11
   Don't miss out on the fun."
12
        Now, you didn't mean fun like tailgating at a game.
   meant a sick kind of fun like street violence; isn't that
14
   right?
15
             MR. JONES: Objection, argumentative.
16
             THE COURT: Sustained.
17
    BY MR. LEVINE:
18
        Or when you were talking about fun, you were talking about
19
   mixing it up with the counter-protesters; isn't that right?
20
        No, it isn't right.
21
        Didn't you actually use those words shortly after
```

23 A What words, sir? Be clear.

Charlottesville 2.0, boasting about 2.0?

24 Q "Public confrontation."

22

25 A I don't know if I used that -- those particular words. If

```
you can show me, I can either confirm it or deny it.
 1
 2
              MR. LEVINE: Let's mark Plaintiffs' 1560.
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1560 marked.)
 3
    BY MR. LEVINE:
 4
 5
         This was in September of 2017, correct?
        Yes.
 6
 7
        This was in connection with talking about a rally or
 8
   demonstration in Tennessee or Kentucky, correct?
 9
        Yes, it appears to be.
10
        And in the second paragraph you said: "For instance, we
11
   wanted a public confrontation in Charlottesville for the world
12
   to see, and we got it. Do we want another or do we want a
13
   carefully arranged event mainly for staged optics?"
        Did you make that statement?
14
15
        Yes, I did make that statement.
16
        And isn't it a fact, Dr. Hill, that staged optics included
17
   baiting Antifa and BLM?
18
        No.
19
        Wasn't baiting Black Lives Matter and Antifa part of
20
   precipitating the public confrontation?
21
   Α
        No.
22
        Didn't you say in this email right in the paragraph above
23
   it, about going to Tennessee or Kentucky, "we will invite" --
24
              MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, could we publish this for
25
   the jury?
```

1 THE COURT: I said that you may. 2 MR. LEVINE: I keep forgetting, Your Honor. It's not 3 the habit for my court. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1560 admitted.) 4 5 BY MR. LEVINE: 6 You said there: "For instance, we wanted a public 7 confrontation in Charlottesville for the world to see, and we 8 got it." Did you use those words, sir? 9 Α Yes, I did. 10 Those are your words, right? 11 Oh, yes, they're my words, sir. Absolutely. 12 And isn't it a fact that the public confrontation that you 13 were looking for would come from baiting Antifa and Black Lives 14 Matter supporters? No. It was not. We wanted to face our political 15 16 opponents. 17 Well, didn't you say to your people on September 12th, 18 right in the paragraph above that: "We will invite our 19 Nationalist Front allies to participate and will use this as 20 'bait' to draw Antifa, BLM, etc. out as public opposition"? 21 Didn't you say that? 22 Yes. Yes, I said that. We want public opposition to our 23 political rallies. 24 And isn't it the fact that the "staged optics" that you 25 were talking about was baiting Antifa and Black Lives Matter to

```
1
   come out to have a public confrontation with you?
 2
        Would you repeat that question, please?
 3
              MR. LEVINE: Please read it back.
 4
              (The requested portion of the record was read back.)
 5
              THE WITNESS: We wanted to have a political rally
   where we had opposition because it makes good optics.
 6
 7
   BY MR. LEVINE:
 8
        But the opposition wasn't to talk back and forth; it was
 9
   to engage in street violence with them. Isn't that right?
10
        That is incorrect, sir.
11
        In fact, you praised Michael Tubbs, your chief of staff,
   for engaging in that very street fighting; isn't that right, at
13
   Charlottesville?
14
         If you have evidence, I can deny it or confirm it, sir.
15
   Show me the evidence.
16
              MR. LEVINE: Let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1562.
17
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1562 marked.)
18
    BY MR. LEVINE:
19
        Is this an email to you at webmaster@dixienet.org?
20
        Yes, it is.
21
              MR. LEVINE: I offer it in evidence, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
23
              MR. LEVINE: I ask that it be published for the jury,
24
   Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT:
                          It may be.
```

```
1
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1562 admitted.)
 2
             MR. LEVINE: Let's go to the comment that he's
 3
   responding to.
   BY MR. LEVINE:
 5
        This is from someone who writes to you: "Mr. Michael
   Tubbs was on Fox News leading the troops into battle and
 7
   coordinating attack. The presence of League of the South at
   Virginia was both impressive and inspiring. I want to thank
   Mr. Tubbs and those who made the trip to Virginia to engage
   with the enemy face-to-face on ground of our own choosing.
10
11
   Thank you for defending the honor of the Southern people."
12
        And you wrote back in response to that: "Mr. Tubbs indeed
13
   was much the warrior, as were all of our men who joined us at
14
   Charlottesville. I could not have been prouder of them."
        That's what you wrote, correct?
15
16
        That is correct.
17
        And take a look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3801.
18
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3801 marked.)
19
   BY MR. LEVINE:
20
        Is this tweets by you?
21
        I presume they are. I don't have access to that Twitter
22
   account anymore.
23
        But you used a Twitter account?
24
        I did, yeah. I did use a Twitter account at that time.
25
        And you used the address @michaelhill51?
```

- A Yes, I believe so.
- 2 Q And, by the way, @occdissent, that was Brad Griffin,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A I believe it was. I can't say for sure, but I think it
- 5 Was.

- 6 \parallel Q And did you say here: "Will do, sir. But Mr. Tubbs will
- 7 be the first to say that he fought beside many brave warriors
- 8 that day"?
- 9 Did you say that?
- 10 A As best I remember, I did.
- 11 Q And you also said to Brad Griffin: "Yes, Mr. Tubbs was
- 12 everywhere the chaos was"?
- 13 A Yes. There was a lot of chaos that day.
- 14 \parallel Q And you delegated the lead role, the role of leading the
- 15 League members on Saturday, to Mr. Tubbs because you knew you
- 16 | could count on him to lead the League soldiers into battle;
- 17 ||isn't that right?
- 18 A I appointed Mr. Tubbs to take care of our on-the-ground
- 19 activities that day. I did.
- 20 Q You actually had -- you actually had Mr. Tubbs leading the
- 21 | group because he was 6'5" and was very intimidating, right?
- 22 A No. I had Mr. Tubbs leading the group because he's my
- 23 ||chief of staff.
- 24 MR. LEVINE: Let's put that last exhibit back up,
- 25 please, this Twitter. I offer those in evidence, Your Honor.

Q You know that Mr. Tubbs was hoping for a violent encounter that day?

MR. JONES: Same objection, Your Honor, on what

Mr. Tubbs was hoping.

21

BY MR. LEVINE:

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 110 of 240 Page 1#.0
                            M. Hill - Direct
              THE COURT: You can ask him if he knows.
 1
 2
     BY MR. LEVINE:
 3
         Didn't Mr. Tubbs tell you that he was hoping for violence
 4
    that day?
 5
         I don't recall him ever using the terms that he was hoping
    for violence that day.
 6
 7
              MR. LEVINE: Let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1553.
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1553 marked.)
 8
 9
              MR. LEVINE: Your Honor -- I'm sorry.
     BY MR. LEVINE:
10
11
        Dr. Hill, is this an exchange of emails between you and
12
    Mr. Tubbs from July 7?
13
        Yes, it is.
    Α
14
              MR. LEVINE: I offer it, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1553 admitted.)
16
    BY MR. LEVINE:
17
18
         And did you write -- can we publish it for the jury, Your
19
    Honor?
20
              THE COURT: You may.
21
    BY MR. LEVINE:
22
         And did you write to Mr. Tubbs and others that you
    received a call -- it's published to the jury -- that you
23
```

received a call from the organizer of the upcoming

Charlottesville event, that he heard -- "yesterday he heard

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from what I consider to be a reputable source, one with whom I've had direct dealings, on an Antifa strategy meeting held very recently in DC. According to the info I received, that meeting was a hot and contentious one between those who want to continue to back off in order to fool the opposition by no-showing, as Antifa had recently done in Houston and Gettysburg, and those who would want to ramp up the violence to a hot and heavy level. The latter, a majority, won, and reportedly will have its way." Then did Mr. Tubbs -- "The upshot is this: Antifa indeed will show up in large numbers in Charlottesville. And they, according to what I've been told, will have explosives, firearms, and various other weapons with the intent to use them against us." And what did Mr. Tubbs respond to you, Mr. Hill? He said to you -- publishing this for the jury, the last paragraph, please -- no, the first paragraph. This is Mr. Tubbs to you, Dr. Hill: "Even though we haven't had any hard intel so far to make us believe there was going to be violence, I think we all assumed there would be and maybe even hoped for it." That's what he said to you, right? Yes, that's what he said. So he did tell you that he was hoping for violence, didn't he?

No, he didn't tell me he was hoping for anything.

- 1 even hoped for it" doesn't define anyone in particular, sir.
- 2 It could be anybody.
- 3 Q You prepared your League warriors for violence that day,
- 4 didn't you?
- 5 A We prepared to defend ourselves, sir, because we knew the
- 6 | nature of Antifa.
- 7 Q You directed shields to be fabricated for use by the
- 8 League's soldiers; isn't that right?
- 9 A Shields were offered to me by one of our members and I
- 10 accepted the offer.
- 11 Q You knew shields could be used on offense; isn't that
- 12 || right?
- 13 A Pretty much anything can be used defensively, sir.
- 14 Q I said offensively.
- 15 A Oh, I'm sorry. Anything can be used offensively.
- 16 \parallel Q You knew shields could be used offensively and
- 17 | defensively, right?
- 18 A Say the last part again.
- 19 \parallel Q You knew the shields could be used offensively, as well as
- 20 defensively, right?
- 21 A Yes. As a historian I'm very much aware of how shields
- 22 have been used in the past.
- 23 Q Now, the shields you had made to order by someone in the
- 24 League, right?
- 25 \blacksquare A No. I didn't have them made to order. He made them and

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 113 of 240 Page 1#.
                            M. Hill - Direct
    presented them to me by his own volition.
 2
         And you talked to -- that's Danny Hembree, right?
 3
         That's correct.
         And you talked to him about making those shields before
 4
 5
    you ever got this notice in July about Antifa coming; isn't
    that right?
 6
 7
         Yes, that is correct.
 8
         Because you thought shields would make the League members
    look formidable; isn't that right?
 9
10
         No.
              They were for defensive purposes, sir.
11
              MR. LEVINE: Can we show the witness a document from
12
    June 29, 2017.
13
              THE CLERK: Does this document --
14
              MR. LEVINE: I'm not offering it.
     BY MR. LEVINE:
15
16
         Would you please read that last sentence to yourself,
17
    Dr. Hill?
18
         Yes, I did.
19
         Does that refresh your recollection --
20
    Α
         Yes, it was.
21
         -- that you believed that the shields would be a
```

And you also wanted your League of the South warriors to

22

23

24

25

formidable sight?

Yes, I said that.

wear helmets; isn't that right?

1 I didn't require it and I didn't wear one myself, but we 2 recommended it if they felt like it would be a defensive 3 measure they wanted to do, yes. And you wanted the League of the South men to carry flags 4 5 so flagpoles could be used as weapons; isn't that right? Anything, pretty much, sir, can be used as a weapon, 6 7 including a flagpole. 8 And you wanted League of the South men to wear the same 9 uniform to present as organization strength and discipline; isn't that right? 10 11 That's correct. 12 And that included khaki pants, black shirt with embroidered League emblem, and cargo boots; isn't that right? 13 That is correct. 14 15 And you even carried a concealed weapon; isn't that right? 16 That is correct. Α 17 And you carried a stick pole yourself, didn't you? Q 18 I carried a walking stick. 19 And you used that walking stick to attack 20 counter-protesters; isn't that --21 I did not use that walking stick to attack 22 counter-protesters. 23 MR. LEVINE: Let's put up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3800A. 24 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3800A marked.)

```
BY MR. LEVINE:
 1
 2
        Is that a picture of you?
 3
        Yes. It is.
        At Charlottesville 2.0 on Market Street?
 4
 5
        Yes, it's a picture of me.
              MR. LEVINE: I offer it in evidence, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: It will be admitted.
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3800A admitted.)
 8
 9
              MR. LEVINE: I ask that it be published for the jury,
   Your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: It may be.
12
   BY MR. LEVINE:
13
        Is that a picture of you sticking your walking stick into
   a counter-protester?
14
15
        No, it's a picture of me trying to wrest my walking stick
16
   away from somebody who grabbed it from me. If you look
17
   closely, you can see his hand on my walking stick and I'm
18
   trying to get it back from him.
19
        It wasn't because you were sticking your walking stick
20
   into him and then he was trying to grab the stick away so it
21
   wouldn't be inflicting pain on him?
22
        No. My walking stick was grabbed by him first and I
23
   wrested it away from him.
24
        Now, as you got closer to August 11th and 12th you called
   out for your members to join you in Charlottesville; isn't that
```

```
right?
1
2
        Repeat that question, sir.
 3
        As you got closer to August 11th and 12th, you called out
4
   for your League of the South members to join you in
5
   Charlottesville; isn't that right?
        If you can show me evidence, I can deny or confirm it.
 6
 7
        Well, take a look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2101.
8
        Yes. I issued that statement.
9
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2101 marked.)
   BY MR. LEVINE:
10
11
        2101 is a tweet that you put out, correct?
12
        I guess that's a tweet.
13
              MR. LEVINE: We offer it, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: It will be admitted.
14
15
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2101 admitted.)
16
              MR. LEVINE: We ask that it be published for the
17
   jury, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT:
                          It may be.
19
    BY MR. LEVINE:
20
        And you put out this message on your Twitter account;
21
   isn't that right?
22
        I presume. As I said, I don't have access to that account
23
   anymore, but I do remember making that statement.
24
        And the statement is, for the jury to see, "If you want to
25
   defend the South and Western civilization from the Jew and his
```

1 dark-skinned allies, be at Charlottesville on 12 August." 2 That's what you said, right? 3 That is correct. You were actually hoping to recruit people with that 4 5 message; isn't that right? No, I don't remember that being necessarily. I could be 6 7 mistaken. If you have evidence, I can confirm or deny it, sir. Were you asked this question and did you give this answer 8 at page 121 of your deposition, a question referring to this document: "And you were calling for all people if they agreed 10 11 with you to defend the South and Western civilization from the 12 Jew and his dark-skinned allies to go to Charlottesville on 12 13 August, correct?" 14 Answer: "Yes. That was a call to the general public. had hoped to have people contact us and maybe they would, 15 16 before they went to Charlottesville, become a member of the 17 League of the South." 18 Okay. 19 "And that happened on a number of occasions. We got new 20 members from calls like that." 21 Were you asked that question and did you give that answer? 22 Yes, that's -- I did, and I just simply had forgotten 23 about the reason for putting this statement out. 24 Now, when you put that statement out, Dr. Hill, to the

general public, you didn't say, if you want to defend democracy

25

- 1 from Antifa, did you?
- 2 A No. It says what it says.
- 3 Q You said defend the South from the Jew and his
- 4 dark-skinned allies; isn't that right?
- 5 A That is correct, sir.
- 6 Q And there's nothing political about that tweet; isn't that
- 7 | right, Dr. Hill?
- 8 A It's all political as far as I'm concerned, sir.
- 9 Q That's a call -- a racial call to come to Charlottesville
- 10 | 2.0, isn't it?
- 11 A That's a political call in my opinion, sir. You may
- 12 disagree, but my opinion is it's a political call. And it was
- 13 made to not the general public, sir, but to my Twitter
- 14 \parallel followers, which at that time I'm not sure how many there were.
- 15 Q This was a call to battle to advance your racially
- 16 motivated objectives; isn't that right?
- 17 \parallel A No, it's not, sir. It was a political call to join us at
- 18 Charlottesville for a Unite the Right rally to defend our
- 19 ||civilization.
- 20 \parallel Q It's defending the civilization from the Jew, your enemy,
- 21 || correct?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q And his dark-skinned allies, black people, correct?
- 24 A Not just black people but any non-whites that oppose us.
- 25 Q And it says nothing about Antifa, does it?

```
1
             MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to object as asked
 2
   and answered.
 3
             THE COURT:
                          It is, and it speaks for itself.
                         It's also misleading because counsel
 4
             MR. JONES:
 5
   knows --
 6
             MR. LEVINE: Your Honor --
 7
             MR. JONES: -- this is Twitter and there's only 123
 8
   characters allowed on Twitter. So he can't possibly say
 9
   everything he wants to say in a Twitter post.
             MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, object to that --
10
11
             THE COURT: Sustained.
12
             MR. LEVINE: -- argument to the jury.
13
             THE COURT: Sustained. Disregard that statement.
             MR. LEVINE: Now, Friday -- you can take that down.
14
    BY MR. LEVINE:
15
16
        Friday, the League -- Friday the League made arrangements
17
   to stay outside Charlottesville at a compound, correct?
18
        Yes. We stayed at the Sevenoaks Retreat outside of
19
   Charlottesville.
20
        And you arrived at that compound on Friday night, correct?
21
        No, sir. We arrived at different times. I think I got
22
   there sometime, to the best of my recollection, the early
23
   afternoon, maybe, just to make sure everything was in order
24
   there for us.
25
        And you attended a planning meeting on Friday night at the
```

- 1 League's compound?
- 2 A I not only attended it, sir, I directed it.
- 3 Q And Heimbach was present with you?
- 4 A I don't recall Mr. Heimbach being there. It's possible
- 5 that he was, but it was mainly League people and I think it may
- 6 have been exclusively League people. I don't recall
- 7 Mr. Heimbach having been there. He could have come onto the
- 8 premises later on during the meeting. I don't recall it. If
- 9 you have evidence of what you're saying, I can confirm or deny
- 10 lit, sir.
- 11 Q And Cesar Ortiz, Mr. Heimbach's security man, was there?
- 12 A I don't recall.
- 13 Q And you had that planning meeting on Friday night and
- 14 | that's why you couldn't go to the torch march; isn't that
- 15 || right?
- 16 A Well, obviously, sir, you can't be two places at one time.
- 17 | Q I asked you, sir, you had the planning meeting, and that's
- 18 why you didn't go to the torch march --
- 19 A And I'm trying to answer --
- 20 $\|Q$ -- isn't that right?
- 21 \blacksquare A I'm trying to answer you, sir, if you give me a moment.
- 22 You can't be two places at one time. But another thing, we had
- 23 never planned on going to a torch march because to my knowledge
- 24 I didn't find out about the torch march until sometime very
- 25 \parallel close to the time that the torch march took place. And the

torch march was not a permitted event. We went up to 2 participate in the event of August the 12th, the Unite the 3 Right rally. 4 MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, I move to strike the answer 5 as not responsive. THE COURT: I don't see the problem with the answer. 6 7 He's saying why he doesn't agree with you. That's all. BY MR. LEVINE: 8 9 Were you asked this question at page 129 of the deposition and did you give this answer? 10 11 What page, sir? 12 129. "You didn't decide not to participate in the 13 torchlight rally for tactical reasons; you decided not to participate because you had a group meeting regarding the next 14 day; isn't that right? 15 16 "Yes, that's exactly right. We wanted to make sure that 17 our plans for getting in and out of Charlottesville safely were 18 what they should be. And that's why we met the previous night 19 and did not attend the torchlight rally." 20 Were you asked that question and did you give that answer? 21 Yes, I did give that answer and I was asked that question. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Levine, that's exactly what I was 23 talking about earlier. If you're planning to do all that, that 24 is not even hardly material. And to waste time trying to impeach somebody over that -- if you're going to read it 25

```
anyway, read it to him to begin with and we could have avoided
 2
   a page of --
 3
             MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, with respect, if there
 4
   wasn't the continued answer to the first question, I wouldn't
 5
   have read it, but I felt that it was --
 6
             THE COURT: Well, you've had the time to read, and
 7
   it's like all the others. Go ahead.
    BY MR. LEVINE:
 8
 9
        You weren't opposed to torchlight rallies for tactical
10
   reasons; isn't that right?
11
        I'm not opposed to torchlight rallies for tactical
12
   reasons, no.
13
        And in fact, you appointed a couple of people to go to the
14
   torchlight rally for you as observers, right?
        That is correct.
15
16
        And other members of the League attended the torchlight
17
   rally, right?
18
        I don't know there were. There possibly could have been
19
   some there, but they were not there in their official capacity
20
   as League members.
21
             MR. LEVINE: Let's look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2857.
22
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2857 marked.)
23
    BY MR. LEVINE:
24
        Is that a picture of Brad Griffin?
25
        As best I can tell, it is, yes.
```

```
1
              MR. LEVINE: We offer it, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
 3
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2857 admitted.)
 4
              MR. LEVINE: Ask that it be published for the jury.
 5
              THE COURT: You may.
 6
    BY MR. LEVINE:
 7
        That's Brad Griffin in his League of the South black polo
 8
   with emblem; isn't that right?
        Yes, it is.
 9
   Α
10
        And you know a man by the name of Tyler Davis?
11
        Do I know a man by the name of Tyler Davis? Yes, I do.
12
        And he's a League member, correct?
13
        He was at the time of the Unite the Right rally.
        Let's look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2883A.
14
15
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2883A marked.)
16
   BY MR. LEVINE:
17
        Do you recognize this Twitter from Tyler Davis of the
18
   Florida League?
19
        No, I've never seen it before, as far as I can remember.
20
              MR. LEVINE: We offer -- we offer 2883A, Your Honor,
21
   as a picture from the torchlight rally.
22
              THE COURT: Is that enough to authenticate a
23
   photograph?
24
             MR. LEVINE: Well, it's a screenshot, Your Honor, of
25
   all of the videos that have been admitted in evidence here.
```

1 Is it already in evidence? 2 MR. LEVINE: This particular one is not, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Well --MR. LEVINE: I'll ask the question differently, Your 4 5 Honor. BY MR. LEVINE: 6 7 Did you know, Dr. Hill, that the Florida League was there 8 that night? 9 No, I didn't. 10 You knew that the torchlight -- torch march was planned before it happened; isn't that right? 11 12 Yes, technically it is. I knew beforehand, not long 13 beforehand. You knew early enough to ask some members to go there for 14 you; isn't that right? 15 16 Yes, I did. Α 17 And you never disavowed the torch march; is that right? 18 Oh, no, I never disavowed it. 19 In fact, you were impressed with the torch march; is that 20 right? 21 It was very impressive looking on video, sir, it was. 22 And you went on the League of the South podcast and 23 praised the torch march; isn't that right? 24 I praised the optics of it, sir. I said it looked very 25 good and we might even want to do one in the future.

- Q And one of the things that was a big part of the optics was the chanting; isn't that right?
- A No. The optics for me were the torches and the darkness there, sir. I mean, I'd use different chants.
- 5 MR. LEVINE: What was the last answer, please? Could 6 the reporter read it back?
- 7 (The requested portion of the transcript was read back.)
 8 BY MR. LEVINE:
- 9 Q But you do agree that chanting in unison was a big part of the particular event; isn't that right?
- 11 A Yes, I do agree that chanting in unison has a tremendous 12 impact on the optics of a torch march.
- Q And you knew when you said and praised the rally that one of those chants was "Jews will not replace us"; isn't that right?
- 16 A I can't remember exactly when I found out that was part of
- 17 it. So I couldn't say. If you have evidence that would show
- 18 that I did, I can confirm or deny it, sir.
- 19 Q And you knew that another chant was "blood and soil"; 20 isn't that right?
- 21 A I'll give you the same answer I just gave. If you can
- 22 show me -- I don't know if I knew that until sometime
- 23 | afterwards.
- Q Well, if you praised the torch march, you must have watched a video of it; isn't that right?

```
1
        Yes, I had seen some videos. I'm not sure that I listened
 2
   to the sound of them. I think somebody played one for me or I
 3
   played it. But I didn't pay that much attention to what the
   chants were. I was impressed by the visual optics of it, sir.
 5
        Is it your testimony, Dr. Hill, that in watching a video
   of the torch march, you didn't take notice of the chant, "Jews
 6
 7
   will not replace us"?
 8
        I can't remember exactly when I looked at the entire torch
 9
   march video and audio, sir. I can't remember if it's before
   the 23rd of August at the time you're talking about the podcast
10
11
   where I discussed this with Mr. Harold Crews.
12
       Now, Saturday morning --
13
             MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, I'm about to start another
14
   area.
15
             THE COURT: All right. We'll recess for lunch now.
16
   It's 12:30. We'll recess until 1:30.
17
             Do not discuss the case with anyone or allow anyone
18
   to discuss it with you. Do not remain within hearing of anyone
19
   discussing the case. We'll see you back at 1:30.
20
    (Jury out, 12:28 p.m.)
21
              (Recess.)
22
             THE COURT: Ready to call the jury?
23
             MS. KAPLAN: We are, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: Call the jury.
25
    (Jury in, 1:35 p.m.)
```

THE COURT: All right. Be seated, please. 1 2 And you may resume, Mr. Levine. 3 MR. LEVINE: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 BY MR. LEVINE: 5 Dr. Hill, I want to turn to Saturday, August 12th, 2017. Is it correct that you and your League of the South convoy 6 7 departed the campgrounds and drove to a meeting place with others from the Nationalist Front? 8 9 Yes, that's correct. 10 And going back, when you understood from Jason Kessler 11 what the plans were for the day, the only part of the plans 12 that you didn't like for League of the South were the 13 transportation piece: How you were getting into town, how you 14 were getting into the park, and how you were getting out of town. Isn't that right? 15 16 We were concerned about the safety -- safety issues 17 involved in the logistics of getting in and out. 18 And you asked Ike Baker to take care of that? 19 Yes, Ike Baker took care of the logistics in that -- in 20 that sense, the getting in and getting out of town, yes. 21 And your conversation with Ike Baker was, go talk to the 22 Nationalist Front people and make sure that all of them are 23 100 percent on board with how you were going to take League of 24 the South in and out, right?

Yes. We needed one coordinated plan for everybody to meet

25

- and get in together and get out together. So yes, that's true.
- 2 Q And so when you said in Exhibit -- I think it was 1545,
- 3 your exchange with Ike Baker at the bottom -- and we published
- 4 this for the jury. It's in evidence. Go down farther.
- 5 Yeah. He told you -- Ike said, "I'm arranging a
- 6 conversation with Schoep and upon his acceptance of the
- 7 conditions you laid out last night, planning of Operation Shoo
- 8 ||Fly begins in earnest," right? And that's what Baker told you
- 9 he would do, correct?
- 10 A Hold on just one minute. Let me read this.
- 11 Yes. From what I understand this was an operation
- 12 regarding the logistics of getting in and out of the --
- 13 Q Right. And by the way, in that email you had told -- you
- 14 | had talked to Ike Baker about David Duke, right?
- MR. LEVINE: Scroll down, Mr. Spalding.
- 16 | THE WITNESS: Yes. I did talk to Mr. Kessler and
- 17 Mr. Baker about the -- about getting in touch with Mr. Duke.
- 18 Mr. Duke is a friend of mine. So...
- 19 BY MR. LEVINE:
- 20 \parallel Q And Mr. Duke, that's David Duke, who was formerly Grand
- 21 Wizard of the KKK?
- 22 A One and the same.
- 23 \mathbb{Q} And you knew him from your activities in the movement?
- 24 A Yes. I first met David back in the 1990s.
- 25 \parallel Q Okay. And now going back, Baker reports to you that the

Nationalist Front people are 100 percent on board, right? 2 Yes. With the logistics plan of getting in and out, yes. 3 And so you then meet all together as planned that morning, 4 correct? 5 That is correct. And you're at the Market Street garage, and before that, 6 7 at another location with the other organizations that are in the Nationalist Front, correct? 9 That's correct. We met on the outskirts of the town of 10 Charlottesville at a -- I think there was a Walmart and a JoAnn 11 Fabrics there, a large parking lot. Early Saturday morning, we 12 met there, convoyed into the parking garage by the police 13 station on East Market Street. Now, you knew that morning from talking to Ike Baker that 14 Baker had actually never come to Charlottesville before that 15 16 day to figure out the logistics, right? 17 Yes. It was too far for him to come. He did a lot of 18 Google Maps studies, aerial map studies, and devised a plan 19 there from that. 20 But the Friday that we got in, we came -- we drove around 21 town several times. 22 MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, this will go a lot faster if 23 I can ask the questions and he just answers the questions. 24 THE COURT: All right. Just answer his questions

25

directly, please.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 2 BY MR. LEVINE: 3 You learned from Ike Baker, that Saturday morning or before, that he had never visited Charlottesville physically to 4 make those logistics plans; isn't that right? 5 Yes. I learned before that Saturday morning. 6 7 And you learned that Ike Baker worked from aerial 8 photographs, correct? 9 Yes. That is correct. Largely from aerial photographs. 10 And you knew that Ike Baker was talking with Heimbach and 11 Schoep, others in the Nationalist Front, to coordinate all of 12 this, right? 13 That is correct. 14 So you knew that Baker knew Heimbach and was talking to Heimbach and was reporting to everybody so that he, Baker, 15 16 would coordinate all this, right? 17 Yes. Baker was coordinating the logistics. 18 And he selected the garage -- Baker selected the Market 19 Street garage because it was easy to get in and out of town 20 with lots of cars, right? 21 Not the only reason. Another reason, it was by the police 22 station. We thought that would be security for the cars.

Q Now, Ike Baker told you before that Saturday morning that he had tried to contact the local police, the Charlottesville Police Department, and they were not cooperative at all and

23

24

25

- gave him no advice; isn't that right?
- 2 A Well, no. He told me -- I think he managed to talk to one
- 3 person there. I don't remember the person's name, and I don't
- 4 \parallel think he did, either, but he generally said they were not
- 5 | cooperative.
- 6 Q Didn't he tell you that none of the calls were productive
- 7 | in nature?
- 8 A Yeah, basically so.
- 9 Q And you actually filed an answer in this case where you
- 10 said, quote: "In all, according to Mr. Baker, he made around
- 11 half a dozen calls to these agencies. No written or other
- 12 || records were kept, and he cannot recall the names of anyone
- 13 with whom he spoke. None of the calls, according to Baker,
- 14 were productive in nature," closed quote.
- 15 You filed that document in connection with this case?
- 16 \blacksquare A Yes. I filed it based on what Mr. Baker had told me.
- 17 Q So when you, on behalf of the League and the Nationalist
- 18 ||Front, decided to march down Market Street, it wasn't because
- 19 the police told you to; isn't that right?
- 20 A No, they didn't tell us to march down East Market Street.
- 21 Q And in fact, it wasn't because the police recommended that
- 22 you march down Market Street; isn't that right?
- 23 \blacksquare A Well, they didn't say one thing or another.
- 24 Q You could have gone into Emancipation Park from the
- 25 southwest entrance that Kessler was arranging; isn't that

- 1 ||right?
- 2 A Well, we could have, but we made a decision not to because
- 3 we didn't like the security plan that Mr. Kessler had.
- 4 Q So you decided, with no influence from the police, that
- 5 you would park the convoy in the Market Street garage, get in
- 6 | formation, and march the couple of blocks down Market Street to
- 7 Emancipation Park, correct?
- 8 A Yes. And the police knew we were going to do that.
- 9 Q How did the police know you were going to do that?
- 10 A Because Mr. Baker called and told them. He simply never
- 11 got a response.
- 12 Q That doesn't -- that doesn't -- that's not reflected in
- 13 the document you filed.
- 14 A Mr. Baker said that he talked to one policeman and told
- 15 | him our plans.
- 16 \parallel Q He didn't say that to you that you filed with this Court.
- 17 A He said in his deposition this morning that he called a --
- 18 I think it was a Newberry or a Dewberry and spoke to him, and
- 19 what Mr. Baker told me was he let them know that we were
- 20 | planning on parking at the Market -- East Market Street garage.
- I don't know if they responded to that or not.
- 22 Q You don't even --
- 23 A But that's what Mr. Baker told me.
- 24 \parallel Q The jury heard the deposition. I'm not going to repeat
- 25 \parallel what Ike Baker said in his deposition, but you, Dr. Hill, have

```
filed a document in this court that doesn't say anything about
 2
   what Ike Baker supposedly said to the police; isn't that right?
 3
        I did, because it's not something that I had any personal
 4
   knowledge or personal -- I did not talk to the police myself.
 5
   I don't know what they said. I'm merely going by what
   Mr. Baker said.
 6
 7
              MR. LEVINE: Can we put up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3842?
 8
   Let's go to the very end.
 9
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3842 marked.)
   BY MR. LEVINE:
10
11
        Are you looking there, Dr. Hill?
12
        Yes.
   Α
13
        Does that say "Second Set of Interrogatories, 8 March
   2020, for Michael Hill"? Does it, sir?
15
        Yes.
16
             MR. LEVINE: Go to the end, please, Mr. Spalding.
   BY MR. LEVINE:
17
18
        Is that your signature?
19
       Yes, it is. Yes.
20
              MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, we offer Plaintiffs'
21
   Exhibit 3842 and ask it be published to the jury.
22
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3842 admitted.)
23
              MR. LEVINE: Please, Mr. Spalding, go to the answer
24
   to Question 12.
25
              THE COURT: Read the question.
```

```
1
              MR. LEVINE: Pardon?
 2
              THE COURT: Read the question, too.
 3
              MR. LEVINE: The interrogatory question?
              THE COURT: Yeah, if that's what he's answering.
 4
 5
   mean --
 6
              MR. LEVINE: Okay.
 7
              That's definitions. Keep going.
              I think it's actually number 5 or 6. The witness
 8
 9
   numbered his answers that weren't consistent with the
   questions.
10
11
              Okay. Yes.
12
    BY MR. LEVINE:
13
        So were you asked to identify each communication
   concerning the events that you had with each member of law
14
15
   enforcement, whether before, after, or during the events,
16
   including the name of the member of law enforcement, when,
17
   where, and how each communication took place, and the nature or
   content of that communication?
18
19
        Do you recall that was the question?
20
        Yes, I do.
21
        Okay. So let's go to your answer, which is identified as
22
   paragraph 12.
23
        And you signed this on behalf of League of the South,
24
   correct?
25
        Yes, I did.
```

1 And you also signed it on behalf of yourself? 2 That is correct. That is correct. 3 And do you -- did you say in that document at the last 4 sentence: "In all, according to Mr. Baker, he made around half 5 a dozen calls to these agencies" -- referring above, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, to Charlottesville Police Department, Albemarle County Sheriff's Department, and Virginia State Police. "No written or other records were kept, and he cannot 9 recall the names of anyone with whom he spoke. None of the calls, according to Baker, were productive in nature." 10 11 That's what you swore to? 12 Yes, absolutely. And they weren't productive in nature. 13 And in that statement you don't have anything about what Mr. Baker said in that one call; isn't that correct? Can we agree to that? 15 16 Yeah, in this statement. In this statement I don't have 17 any of that. 18 And whether Mr. Baker testified this morning to what he 19 said or not is for the jury's recollection, but isn't it a 20 fact -- isn't it a fact, Dr. Hill, that you didn't walk down 21 Market Street because the police told you to? 22 No, they didn't tell us to. They didn't tell us not to. 23 And you didn't walk down Market Street because you told 24 them you were and they winked at you; isn't that right?

Mr. Baker -- yes. We never made contact with the police

25

Α

- and got a response from them after Mr. Baker called to let them 2 know that's where we were going to be parking. 3 But you don't know what Mr. Baker said to the police; 4 isn't that right? 5 All I know is what Mr. Baker told me that he told the police. 6 7 But that's not in the answer to number 12, is it? 8 Yes, it isn't, but none of the calls, according to Mr. Baker, were productive. And that's simply what I meant. 10 We never got the response from the police that we wanted; that is, "Yeah, we'll make sure that, you know, your cars are safe," 11 and all that kind of stuff. So we made the decision on our 13 own. 14 I'm asking you a different question, Dr. Hill. Isn't it a fact that you did not say --15 16 MR. JONES: Objection, asked and answered. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 BY MR. LEVINE: 19 There's no evidence, other than your say-so right here 20 today, what Mr. Baker purportedly said to the police about the 21 march that day; isn't that right? 22 Yes, that's absolutely right. So I think we should 23 probably drop the subject, since we have no evidence one way or 24 the other.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. That will be decided by

- 1 the -- well, go ahead. Go ahead.
- 2 BY MR. LEVINE:
- 3 Q You knew, Dr. Hill, that the permit for Saturday's rally
- 4 was for Emancipation Park, correct?
- 5 A Yes, I knew that the rally was going to be at Emancipation
- 6 Park, and the permit was for the rally.
- 7 Q And you knew that the permit did not include a march on
- 8 Market Street, didn't you?
- 9 A Let's see. There seems to be a way of getting into the
- 10 park, sir, that includes going in one of the four entrances.
- 11 How do you get to the entrances, sir, if you don't walk down
- 12 the street to get there?
- 13 Q I'm asking you, Dr. Hill, if League of the South and
- 14 | Nationalist Front had a permit to march as if it were a parade
- 15 down Market Street as part of the permit for the rally. Yes or
- 16 no?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q And you could have gone into Emancipation Park in the way
- 19 | that Kessler had worked out, in the southwest corner; isn't
- 20 | that right?
- 21 A I don't know what way he worked out in the southwestern
- 22 | corner.
- 23 \parallel Q Because you wanted to bring the League, its members, its
- 24 shields, its flags, down Market Street in a column as if these
- 25 were soldiers going to war; isn't that right?

- A That is incorrect, sir.
- 2 Q What you wanted was the public confrontation --
- 3 MR. JONES: Your Honor, this is getting
- 4 argumentative. I'm going to object.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. Don't -- ask a question.
- 6 BY MR. LEVINE:

1

- 7 Q What you wanted, Dr. Hill, was the public confrontation
- 8 that would come about from the League of the South column
- 9 marching down Market Street; isn't that right?
- 10 \blacksquare A That is completely wrong, sir. We wanted to get into the
- 11 park safely.
- 12 Q As you started that march down Market Street, you knew
- 13 there was going to be that public confrontation; isn't that
- 14 ||right?
- 15 \blacksquare A That is incorrect, sir.
- 16 Q Couldn't you see ahead of you counter-protesters
- 17 arm-in-arm in the street in the place where you were expecting
- 18 to walk through?
- 19 \blacksquare A Once we got down the street a block or so, we could see
- 20 | that opposition described just as you said, sir, locked
- 21 | arm-in-arm barring a public street that was open for foot
- 22 traffic.
- 23 \parallel Q And you don't dispute that when you got to them
- 24 | face-to-face, Mr. Tubbs just kept barreling right through them
- 25 with the shields to get into the park?

1 We made our way into the park, sir, through that group who 2 would not move aside. They locked arms. They wouldn't move. 3 We made our way into the park. And you made -- you made your way into the park by 4 5 initiating a violent encounter with them; isn't that right? We walked through them and there was violence on both 6 7 sides, sir. There were fights on both sides. Let's look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3239A. 8 9 We'll run it a little, Dr. Hill, and then see if you 10 identify your group before we offer it. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3239A marked.) 11 12 MR. LEVINE: Wait a couple of seconds. Come back. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's us. 14 MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, we offer Plaintiffs' Exhibit 15 3239A into evidence and ask that it be published for the jury. 16 THE COURT: Okay. It will be admitted and you may 17 publish. 18 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3239A admitted.) 19 MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, could we play this? In the 20 interest of time, we only did a certain segment. (Video playing.) 21 22 MR. LEVINE: Stop it right there. 23 BY MR. LEVINE: 24 Now, that's Michael Tubbs in the middle, correct? 25 Yes. The gentleman that you circled is Michael Tubbs.

- 1 Q And next in with the white cap, that's you, correct?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q And right before he went behind the body was Matthew
- 4 Heimbach, correct?
- 5 A I didn't see that.
- 6 Q And this -- the League of the South and Nationalist Front
- 7 people are spread out down the street behind you, correct?
- 8 A That is correct.
- 9 Q And these are the shields that you had made?
- 10 A That's right.
- 11 Q And there were a dozen of them given to men up front,
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A There were a dozen of them given to men in the front, yes.
- 14 Q And this is a League banner, flag, correct?
- 15 A Yes, that's the Southern Nationalist flag.
- 16 Q And this flag?
- 17 A Confederate battle flag.
- 18 Q Now, we stopped the video right here, Dr. Hill, but am I
- 19 ∥correct that you and Mr. Tubbs didn't stop walking at any
- 20 point? Isn't that right?
- 21 A I'm not sure, sir.
- 22 Q Well, let's --
- 23 A I would have to see the video to confirm or deny that.
- 24 Q We'll roll the videotape.
- 25 (Video playing.)

1 MR. LEVINE: Okay. Stop it, Mr. Spalding, please, 2 and go back. 3 BY MR. LEVINE: Dr. Hill, I'm going to play it one more time from the 4 5 beginning so you can see as much of the march as is on the video. 6 7 (Video playing.) 8 Thank you. Do you agree with me, Dr. Hill, that the evidence shows 9 that you and Michael Tubbs led the Nationalist Front column up 10 11 Market Street to those counter-protesters without stopping? 12 The certain -- I saw certain people in the column stop. 13 There were certain others in the column who did not stop. 14 But isn't it a fact that Mr. Tubbs and you did not stop? 15 I would have to -- I'd have to see it again, because I was 16 just trying to focus on a certain part of it. Could you have 17 it replayed? 18 Absolutely, sir. 19 (Video playing.) 20 Yes, from what I saw, some -- there were some who stopped. 21 Some with the shields went ahead, but the main body of the 22 column stopped. 23 Stopped where? 24 Stopped about 30 feet or so behind the initial 25 confrontation.

1 But Mr. Tubbs and you, in the front of the column, did not 2 stop; isn't that right? 3 I think we -- I lost sight of myself. I know that part of our folks there did not stop. They slowed down. But the main 4 5 column did stop. And the purpose of that was to --Dr. Hill, I'll play it one more time, sir. 6 7 (Video playing.) 8 And please look for this fact so that you can answer this 9 question: Isn't it a fact that you and Michael Tubbs, leading 10 the column, did not stop marching down the street until they started to push into the counter-protesters? Isn't that right? 11 12 No one is stopping there, correct? 13 Right. Α 14 Okay. And Tubbs is continuing, correct? 15 Yes. There are people behind that main group, though, 16 that stopped. 17 But Tubbs isn't, correct? Q 18 According to this video, no. 19 Okay. And was there any policemen in those 30 feet that 20 you saw that you spoke to? 21 I saw a policeman -- no, not in that 30 feet. But behind 22 that, on the approach, there was a policeman that I looked over 23 at and he just simply gave me the: We're not going to do 24 anything. We're standing aside.

25

That was your impression?

- 1 A That was my impression. Exactly.
- 2 Q Said nothing?
- 3 A No, said nothing.
- 4 Q And you kept walking and Tubbs kept walking?
- 5 A Yes. Yes, we kept walking.
- 6 \mathbb{Q} And the fact is that the counter-protesters were standing
- 7 still, correct?
- 8 A Yes, the counter-protesters were standing still.
- 9 Q And Tubbs led the column behind him with shields and
- 10 helmets into those counter-protesters; isn't that right?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 Q And used the shields for offense, just like you said in
- 13 the podcast you would use them for; isn't that right?
- 14 | A The shields were used to push through the crowd to protect
- 15 |our people behind them from objects that were being thrown and
- 16 objects that were being swung at our people.
- 17 Q Now, you actually testified -- on your deposition, were
- 18 you were asked this question and did you give this answer at
- 19 page 180?
- 20 A What page?
- 21 Q 180. Question: "So it's your testimony that the way you
- 22 | proceeded unilaterally moving into the protesters' shields was
- 23 an act of self-defense for" --
- 24 And you answer, interrupting the question: "It was an act
- 25 of self-defense for our liberty to exercise our First Amendment

- 1 ||rights."
- 2 So you were asked that question and you gave that answer;
- 3 is that right?
- 4 A Yes, that's correct.
- 5 Q Now, after Mr. Tubbs and you and the others push your way
- 6 into the crowd, you come back, you push again, you end up
- 7 getting up the steps into Emancipation Park, correct?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q And shortly after that happens, unlawful assembly is
- 10 declared, correct?
- 11 A I don't know if "shortly," how long it was. It seemed
- 12 like it was maybe an hour and a half.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} And -- an hour and a half?
- 14 A I'm guessing. I don't know exactly.
- 15 \mathbb{Q} And during that time there was a lot of fighting on the
- 16 street, right?
- 17 A Yes, there was quite a few scuffles that took place out in
- 18 the street.
- 19 Q And you and the League of the South members were up in the
- 20 park where you had wanted to be, right?
- 21 A Yes, we were in the park at that time and I had assigned
- 22 \parallel Mr. Tubbs and the shield-bearers to guard the entrance to the
- 23 park where Antifa could not get in.
- 24 Q Now, you never ended up setting up in Emancipation Park
- 25 | that day, right?

- 1 A Excuse me, rephrase that. Setting up, did you say?
- 2 Q You never set up for the rally in Emancipation Park that
- 3 day, did you?
- 4 A I can't speak to that. I don't know --
- 5 Q You never?
- 6 \blacksquare A Well, I never. I never found Mr. Kessler to ask where I
- 7 was supposed to speak.
- 8 Q And you never spoke that day; is that right?
- 9 A No, I never spoke that day. You know that.
- 10 Q Dr. Hill, I'm asking you questions.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Let's go on. Don't make comments,
- 12 Dr. Hill. Answer his questions. Go ahead.
- 13 | BY MR. LEVINE:
- 14 ||Q You never spoke that day and no one gave any speech that
- 15 day in Emancipation Park where the rally was supposed to be;
- 16 isn't that right?
- 17 A That's right.
- 18 Q Just a lot of violence, right?
- 19 \blacksquare A There was a good deal of violence that day, yes.
- 20 \parallel Q And when unlawful assembly was declared, you went to
- 21 McIntire Park, correct?
- 22 A Yes. I did.
- 23 Q And you saw Richard Spencer there?
- 24 \blacksquare A No, I did not see Mr. Spencer there, to my knowledge.
- 25 Q Did you see Mr. Heimbach there?

- A I don't recall.
- 2 Q Who do you recall seeing there?
- 3 A I recall seeing Mr. Spencer Borum, who escorted me there
- 4 when the unlawful assembly was declared. I can't remember
- 5 exactly who I saw there because once I got there my objective
- 6 was to find a ride back to the East Market Street garage to get
- 7 back to my automobile.
- 8 THE COURT: Did you say Mr. Spencer escorted you
- 9 there?

- 10 MR. LEVINE: No, it's Spencer Borum, Your Honor.
- 11 BY MR. LEVINE:
- 12 Q And that's a member of League of the South. Correct?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 15 BY MR. LEVINE:
- 16 \parallel Q And that's the gentleman next to you in the video, right?
- 17 \blacksquare A I'd have to identify him. There were several people next
- 18 to me.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ But the course of that morning, just lots of violence,
- 20 ||right?
- 21 \blacksquare A There was a lot of violence that morning, sir.
- 22 Q In and around Market Street, correct?
- 23 A In and around the entire area of the park.
- 24 Q And in and around McIntire Park, correct?
- 25 A I didn't see any at McIntire Park.

- 1 0 In and around McIntire Park?
- 2 A Not that I saw. I was not at McIntire Park for very long.
- 3 Q And there was violence all day; isn't that right?
- 4 A I don't know about all day. I know that the time that we
- 5 were there, from the time we marched down Market Street until
- 6 we left, there was violence pretty much that whole time.
- 7 Q Well, there was the violent death caused by James Fields
- 8 driving his car into the people on Fourth Street; isn't that
- 9 ||right?
- 10 A That was after the rally. That was after Unite the Right.
- 11 Q I'm asking you whether that was during the course of the
- 12 day.
- 13 A It was during the course of the day, but not the course of
- 14 | the rally.
- 15 Q There wasn't a rally, was there, Dr. Hill?
- $16 \parallel A$ Well, there was a permitted gathering in Emancipation or
- 17 Lee Park.
- 18 Q But the permit was for an event that we just established
- 19 never took place; isn't that right?
- 20 A Well, now, it depends on how you define the event. If the
- 21 Vevent was only the speeches. But the event was the gathering
- 22 |of people in the park as well.
- 23 Q Most of the people didn't end up gathering in Emancipation
- 24 Park; isn't that right?
- 25 A No, absolutely not. That park was full. When we got

- 1 \parallel there, half the park was full of people that had come from
- 2 McIntire Park.
- 3 Q Mingling around, correct?
- 4 A Mainly where?
- 5 Q Mingling. No speeches were made, correct?
- 6 A No. There were no speeches made.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} So there were violence out on the street, correct?
- 8 A Yes. There was violence out on the street.
- 9 Q By participants from the alt-right in the rally, right?
- 10 🛮 A By participants of the alt-right and by participants of
- 11 Antifa and Black Lives Matter.
- 12 Q Exactly. Exactly the public confrontation that you were
- 13 looking forward to; isn't that right?
- 14 \blacksquare A That is absolutely wrong, sir.
- 15 \parallel Q And there was the violent death for the woman by James
- 16 ||Fields's accident and the injuries to lots of plaintiffs from
- 17 I the activities that day, some of whom are plaintiffs in this
- 18 case, right?
- 19 \blacksquare MR. JONES: Object to the form of the question.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I don't know the extent of anybody's
- 22 linjuries.
- 23 BY MR. LEVINE:
- 24 Q And violence Friday night against college students from
- 25 UVA; isn't that right?

- 1 A I have no idea, sir. I was not at the torchlight march.
- 2 Q You know some of them are plaintiffs in this case?
- 3 A So they say. I'm just talking about things I witnessed,
- 4 ∥sir. I was not a witness to that.
- 5 Q Isn't it a fact, Dr. Hill, that the League of the South
- 6 message that you wanted to communicate on Saturday was that the
- 7 League and its allies would own the streets, and anyone who
- 8 sought to demonstrate against them would be met with violence?
- 9 Wasn't that your message?
- 10 A No. That was not my message.
- 11 Q And isn't it a fact that this was exactly what you were
- 12 | looking forward to when you sent that Twitter that said if you
- 13 want to defend Southern nationalism and Western civilization
- 14 from the Jew and his dark-skinned allies, come 12 August?
- 15 A Absolutely not.
- 16 $\|Q\|$ You agree with me, don't you, that League members
- 17 initiated some of this violence, don't you?
- 18 A Yes, there were some League members that engaged in some
- 19 | violence that they initiated.
- 20 Q Let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1888.
- 21 (Video playing.)
- 22 Q Is that you with Mr. Heimbach?
- 23 A Yes. Right here? Yes.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} Is that from the march that day?
- 25 \blacksquare A It appears to be.

And there are several of them, correct?

I was not sure if that was a woman or not.

And they're surrounding a woman dressed in black, correct?

22

23

24

25

Α

Quite a few.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 151 of 240 Page 10^{-5}
                             M. Hill - Direct
 1
         They're surrounding a person dressed in black?
 2
         Okay. That's better.
 3
         Let's just roll back a little, Mr. Spalding, because I
 4
    want to ask a question.
 5
               (Video playing.)
 6
         So those are all League members, correct, the three black
 7
    helmets and the three black polo shirts with the emblem?
         As far as I can tell, the three in the middle there are
 8
 9
    League members.
10
         And this person, that's the woman I'm talking about,
11
    correct?
12
         Okay. I gotcha.
13
         Okay.
14
         I can't --
15
         I would like you to focus, sir, on what the League of the
16
    South members are doing to that woman. And then I'm going to
17
    ask you a question, if we can get the technology.
18
         (Video playing.)
19
         That was a League member macing her in the face, correct?
20
         It happened so quickly, I was not focused there. Could
21
   you run that back, please.
```

22

23

24

25

Q

Yes.

(Video playing.)

Hold it right there.

Who is this, Dr. Hill?

- 1 A I'm not sure. I can't get a good look.
- 2 Q Isn't that Ike Baker?
- 3 A No, that's not Ike Baker.
- $4 \parallel Q$ No? Who is it?
- 5 A I don't know.
- 6 Q That's a League member, correct?
- 7 A Yes, it is a League member. At least he has a League
- 8 shirt on.
- 9 Q And this fellow with his hand stretched out, he's a League
- 10 member?
- 11 A I think so.
- 12 Q And this guy is a League member?
- 13 A I don't know about that. That guy, I don't recognize and
- 14 ∥that doesn't look like anybody that I recognize with a League
- 15 helmet or he does haven't a League emblem on his shirt.
- 16 Q And the one right behind him?
- 17 A I believe that was a League member.
- 18 Q Okay. And they pushed the woman down. And then when she
- 19 got up, maced her, correct?
- 20 $\|A\|$ Yes, that's correct. I presume that's mace.
- 21 Q That wasn't self-defense, was it?
- 22 \blacksquare A I didn't see the context of what happened before it. I
- 23 don't know what started the fight. I don't know what started
- 24 the pushing and shoving.
- 25 THE COURT: Didn't you see the little before that?

25 A I don't -- I don't know if that's what happened or not.

side of Market Street when one of the League members pulled

her, turned her around and threw her to the ground; isn't that

22

23

24

what happened?

```
Well, let's look.
 1
 2
         (Video playing.)
 3
         It appears the gentleman -- well, that approached her
 4
   pushed her down on the ground.
 5
        And isn't it a fact, Dr. Hill, in your deposition you
   admitted this was not an act of self-defense by a League
 7
   member?
 8
        I think I admitted that the spraying of the mace or pepper
 9
   spray or whatever it was was not an act of self-defense.
   can't remember about the other.
10
11
        Now -- thank you. Take that down.
12
        Now, as I asked you just before, the violence that day on
13
   August 12 included the killing of a woman by the name of
14
   Heather Heyer, correct, by James Fields?
15
        Yes.
16
        And after the events, you never condemned that killing
17
   publicly for the League; isn't that right?
18
        I think that is correct.
19
        You didn't even express remorse for that killing on behalf
20
   of the League; is that correct?
21
         I think that is correct, sir.
22
        In fact, when Mr. Fields was convicted by a jury in
23
   Charlottesville for that killing, you criticized that verdict
24
   as -- saying, quote, "There is no justice for the white man in
```

these damnable Jew-run courts. And if a man cannot get justice

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 155 of 240 Page 14.5
                             M. Hill - Direct
 1
    in a corrupt legal system, what recourse does he have?"
 2
         Didn't you say that?
 3
         I'd like to see the document where you allege that I say
    that to see whether I can confirm or deny it.
 4
 5
         I'm showing you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1568.
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1568 marked.)
 6
 7
    BY MR. LEVINE:
 8
         Is this an email from you on December 8th, 2018?
         Yes, it is.
 9
              MR. LEVINE: We offer it, Your Honor, and seek to
10
11
    publish it for the jury.
12
              THE COURT: Be admissible.
13
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1568 admitted.)
     BY MR. LEVINE:
14
         Did you not say to -- who is that? Sam Dickson?
15
16
         Yes.
    Α
17
         He's a white nationalist down in Georgia, right?
    Q
18
         That's correct.
19
         He was at Charlottesville 1.0, right?
20
         I'm not sure.
21
         Did you say to Sam, quote, "Was not surprised at the
22
    Fields verdict. There is no justice for the white man in these
    damnable Jew-run courts"? Did you say that?
23
24
         I wrote that, yes.
```

So you were actually telling Sam Dickson that the local

And you said that -- can we mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1904.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1904 marked.)

24 BY MR. LEVINE:

23

25 Is that your Twitter account, @71Rhodie? Q

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 157 of 240 Page 14.
                            M. Hill - Direct
 1
         I did have a Twitter account at one time by that name.
 2
         And is that your message?
 3
         That's my message.
              MR. LEVINE: We offer it and ask that it be published
 4
 5
    to the jury.
 6
              THE COURT: Be admitted, may be published.
 7
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1904 admitted.)
    BY MR. LEVINE:
 8
         And in fact, Dr. Hill, you also said that you couldn't be
 9
    happier with the outcome of Charlottesville 2.0 for the League;
10
11
    isn't that right?
12
         Can you show me where I said that?
13
              MR. LEVINE: Let's mark Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1379B.
14
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1379B marked.)
15
    BY MR. LEVINE:
16
         Actually you go on a podcast with Howard -- Harold Crews,
17
    right, regularly?
18
         For a while it was regular.
19
         And Harold Crews is also a member of League of the South?
20
         Was at that time.
21
         And the podcast was offered for the membership, correct?
22
         Well, not just the membership. Anyone who wanted to
23
   listen.
24
         General public?
```

25

Α

Right.

warriors -- warriors -- had acquitted themselves as men, didn't

I certainly did, sir, and I'd say it again. They

certainly acquitted themselves as men.

22

23

24

25

you?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 159 of 240 Page 14.
                             M. Hill - Direct
 1
         Let's show Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2063. Is that your Twitter
 2
    from that day?
 3
         Yes, it is.
         August 12th, 2017?
 4
 5
         Yes.
 6
              MR. LEVINE: We offer it in evidence and ask that it
 7
    be published.
 8
              THE COURT: Be admitted and may be published.
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2063 marked.)
 9
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2063 admitted.)
10
11
     BY MR. LEVINE:
12
         That was your statement at 2:30 on Saturday afternoon,
13
    correct?
14
         2:25 to be exact, but yes.
15
         "The League of the South had a good day in
16
    Charlottesville. Our warriors acquitted themselves as men.
17
    God be praised."
18
         You never gave a speech, right?
19
         That is correct.
20
         There was no rally, correct?
21
         I would dispute that.
22
              THE COURT: Wait. Wait. This dispute has taken
   \parallelplace a little while ago. So don't do it again.
23
24
              MR. LEVINE: No further questions on direct, Your
25
    Honor.
```

1 MR. KOLENICH: Your Honor, we're going to depart from 2 the usual order so Mr. Jones can question his client. 3 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jones. CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. JONES: Now, you obviously know this, but I'm just going to say 6 this for the purposes of the record. I represent Michael Hill, Michael Tubbs and the League of the South. 9 Sir, how old are you? 10 I'm 69 years old. 11 And how old were you when the League was founded in 1994? 12 I think I would have been 42. 13 And does the League have a position on the removal of historical Confederate monuments? Yes, we have from our entire existence been willing to go 15 16 wherever we needed to go, and I've given many, many speeches in 17 defense of Confederate monuments, flags, different things. So 18 yes, we've had a policy since the beginning that we will defend 19 these and we'll go different places to do so. 20 Was the League engaging in those types of activities in 21 the year leading up to Charlottesville 2.0? 22 We had been to New Orleans in, I believe, the first 23 weekend in May to defend the Robert E. Lee statue in New 24 Orleans. We did so without any violent events taking place. 25 We came to Charlottesville to do the same thing.

Q Now, I'm going to ask if we can publish what's been previously admitted as PX-1537.

I've got it on the screen there in front of you, Dr. Hill.

In this email you say, "I want no discussion here or elsewhere

of any resistance strategies, etc. Those will be handled

through secure channels."

A Yes.

Q Why did you say that?

A Basically because everywhere that we went to defend monuments or statues or flags or whatever, if there were public information given out, we knew that Antifa and maybe Black Lives Matter would be there to oppose us. And we wanted to try and keep that from happening because the purpose of our going to places like New Orleans and Charlottesville was to be seen publicly opposing our enemies politically because it helped us with recruiting.

- Q So this is an email discussing how you would like the League of the South to communicate; is that correct?
- 19 A Yes. Absolutely. That's it.
- 20 Q If you can review it, do you see any mention of using code words or code language?
- **A** No.
- Q Do you see any mention of using front-stage/backstage communication?
- \parallel A No. Until I heard that term yesterday, I never -- I've

South -- "is in attendance, be cautious. Gordy Lockerbie."

My response was: "Thanks, but this is not our game. We

And what was your response to that email?

are sending two observers."

23

24

- Q Was this a private email?
- 2 A As far as I knew, yes.
- 3 Q Did you ever expect this to see the light of day?
- 4 A No, I didn't.
- 5 Q This was before you knew about any violence occurring at
- 6 the torch march; is that right?
- 7 A Oh, yes, absolutely.
- 8 Q I'm going to ask you about the next day, the 12th of
- 9 August. What was the purpose of the League attending the
- 10 rally?

- 11 A We came to defend the statue of Robert E. Lee. We came
- 12 to -- I obviously came to give a speech, which never occurred,
- 13 of course.
- 14 ₩ we came -- largely, we do these things in part as
- 15 recruiting efforts. When we face our political enemies and we
- 16 \parallel do it effectively, we tend to gain membership. So we enjoy
- 17 political events like this, and, you know, cultural events, and
- 18 | it was obviously focused on the Lee statue.
- 19 Q Was this your first time attending or participating in an
- 20 | event organized by Jason Kessler?
- 21 A Yes, it was.
- 22 Q And was it the last time?
- 23 **|** A Yes.
- 24 Q I'm going to pull up what's been previously admitted as
- 25 PX-1551.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 164 of 240 Page 14 Page 164 of 240 Page 164 of 24
                                                                                            M. Hill - Cross
     1
                              Sir, do you recognize this email?
     2
                             Yes, I do.
     3
                              Is it an email about the planning for Charlottesville?
     4
                             Yes.
     5
                              So it appears to say that: "On the ground planning for
             Charlottesville is coming along nicely. Still a lot to do, but
     6
     7
             the Pikeville template, on a larger scale, looks like it will
             work well there."
     8
     9
                              Do you see that?
                             Yes, I do.
  10
  11
                             Was "the Pikeville template" some kind of a code word?
  12
                             No. It was the logistics plan that was developed by
           Mr. Ike Baker to get us into the protest area and out of it
             safely where there wouldn't be any conflict with Antifa or any
  14
  15
             other counter-protesters who showed up.
  16
                             So at Pikeville was there -- were any protesters hit by
 17
             any vehicles?
  18
                             No.
 19
                             Were any, as far as you know --
  20
                                              MR. LEVINE: Objection, relevance, Your Honor, and
```

21

22

23

24

25

beyond the scope.

BY MR. JONES:

THE COURT: Well --

MR. JONES: Your Honor, this email --

THE COURT: Pikeville came up. Overruled.

- 1 Q So it was your intention to participate in Charlottesville
- 2 | in the same manner you had in Pikeville; is that right?
- 3 \blacksquare A Yes. That is true.
- 4 Q If you know, was there a conspiracy to commit racial
- 5 | violence in Pikeville?
- 6 A None that I knew of.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} Again, was this a private email?
- 8 A Yes. As far as I can -- yes, it was a private email.
- 9 Yes.
- 10 Q Did you ask any members of the League to get in touch with
- 11 the Charlottesville police in advance of the rally?
- 12 A I asked Mr. Ike Baker if he would attempt to do that.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} And why did you do that?
- 14 \blacksquare A We wanted to let them know that our plans were to park in
- 15 the parking garage on East Market Street for security of our
- 16 \parallel automobiles and also because it was a fairly close location to
- 17 the park, only a couple of blocks away, and we would not have
- 18 that long a walk to get into the park.
- 19 | Q I'm going to ask that we publish what's been previously
- 20 admitted as PX-1553.
- 21 Sir, do you see this email on the screen?
- 22 A Yes, I can.
- 23 | Q It references some intelligence you received about an
- 24 | Antifa strategy meeting in DC; is that right?
- 25 A That's right.

1 And it appears that this email was sent on July 7th, 2017; 2 is that right? 3 That's correct. If we could go to the second page of this, that paragraph 4 5 beginning with "the upshot is this," do you see that? Right. 6 7 It says: "And they, according to what I've been told, will have explosives, firearms, and various other weapons"; is 8 9 that right? That's correct. 10 11 Now, Mr. Levine asked if this was the email that prompted 12 you to make shields; do you remember that? 13 I remember him asking me that, yes. MR. LEVINE: Objection, Your Honor. Misstates the 14 testimony. 15 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Levine, I didn't hear 17 you. 18 MR. LEVINE: I said, objection, Your Honor, it 19 misstates the testimony. 20 THE COURT: Oh. Well, the jury has to remember what 21 the testimony was. I can't recall it verbatim at this point. 22 So go ahead. 23 BY MR. JONES: 24 Sir, was this the first time in your life that you had

heard that Antifa was a potentially violent organization?

(Defendant LOS Exhibit 035 admitted.)

BY MR. JONES:

Q Now, in this post on the League website, what are you advising the League members to do?

A I'm advising them, first and foremost, to obey all the authorities that are charged with keeping public order at Lee

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

Park, or Emancipation Park, and the surrounding areas. If you believe an order or command of a public official violates your rights to free speech, assembly, or some other matter, report this to our League leaders and we will in turn report to our attorneys on the scene. Secondly, obey all applicable laws and statutes regarding the possession and bearing of firearms and other weapons, clothing, and other accoutrements and accessories. Three, be respectful of all public and private property. Four, do not verbally incite illegal behavior. Five, engage in violence and at the proper level, only in defense of your own person, that of your compatriots, and your property. Stand your ground, speak your mind, and proclaim your message, but do not initiate physical contact with anyone who opposes you. Six, be honorable in all your dealings. And why did you post that? Because I wanted our League members who were going to know up front how they were to conduct themselves, what they were to do, and specifically what they were not to do.

21 Q Now, in the lead-up to Charlottesville, were you aware of the use of a Discord server to communicate?

A Yes, I was aware of the existence of a Discord server.
Yes.

Q Did you delegate to somebody the use of Discord for

- 1 | monitoring purposes?
- 2 A I asked Mr. Ike Baker to do that. He had created a
- 3 Discord server for the League for the Pikeville event, so I
- 4 knew he was familiar with the platform. So I asked him to
- 5 monitor what was going on on the Charlottesville Discord
- 6 server.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} Now, where did you and other members of League of the
- 8 South stay the Friday night before the rally?
- 9 A We stayed at a place called Sevenoaks outside of
- 10 Charlottesville.
- 11 ||Q Why did you stay there?
- 12 A Our logistics officer who I charged with finding lodging
- 13 for us found the place, recommended it to me. I checked into
- 14 \parallel it, and it was big enough for the number of people that we had,
- 15 \parallel which was, like, 70, 75 people, I think, coming with us. So we
- 16 got it on the recommendations of our logistics officer.
- 17 Q I'll talk to you about the actual rally that Saturday.
- 18 When you arrived at the Market Street parking garage --
- 19 A Right.
- 20 \parallel Q -- do you know whether the streets leading up to
- 21 Emancipation Park were open for vehicle traffic?
- 22 A We didn't know the status of that -- of that street until
- 23 we got over to the parking garage.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} So when you got there, what was the status of that street?
- 25 \parallel A It was closed to automobile traffic and available only to

```
foot traffic.
 1
 2
        Was there some other rally happening that day?
 3
        Well, I've heard -- I don't know anything in particular,
   but I've heard that some of the counter-protesters had rallies
 4
 5
   planned in some of the other close-by parks.
        So what you're saying is, based on the fact that there was
 6
 7
   a permit for Emancipation Park, the streets around Emancipation
   Park were blocked off; is that right?
 8
 9
        Yes, they were.
10
        Who blocked off the streets? Do you know?
11
        Well, from what we were able to see -- oh, you mean
12
   officially blocked the streets to automobiles?
13
        Yeah.
   Q
14
        I suppose the local law enforcement did.
15
         I'm going to show you --
16
              MR. JONES: I believe it's been previously admitted
17
   as Defense 001. Please correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Wheeler.
18
              THE CLERK: Let me look real quick.
19
              It has.
20
              MR. JONES: Did you say it has?
21
              THE CLERK: Yes, it has.
22
              MR. JONES:
                          If we could publish that, please.
23
   BY MR. JONES:
24
        Sir, do you recognize what's in that picture?
25
        Yes, I do.
```

```
1
         It appears that there are both Caucasian and African
 2
   Americans standing in that line; is that correct?
 3
        That is correct.
        Can you point out the African Americans in that line?
 4
 5
        (Witness complies.)
 6
        Thank you.
 7
        Unless I missed something, I see two.
 8
        Thank you.
 9
              MR. JONES: I'm going to pull up what's been marked
   as Defense Exhibit 020.
10
              (Defendant LOS Exhibit 020 marked.)
11
12
   BY MR. JONES:
13
         Sir, can you see what's on the screen there?
        Yes, I can.
14
15
        And what is that?
16
        I see our people meeting with the counter-protesters, and
17
   I see Michael Tubbs. And I see --
18
        Do you recognize what's displayed in that video?
19
         I do.
20
              MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'll introduce this.
21
              MR. LEVINE: No objection.
22
              THE COURT: Be admitted. Be published.
23
              (Defendant LOS Exhibit 020 admitted.)
24
   BY MR. JONES:
25
        Sir, do you recognize this person right here?
```

Well, I just circled that person a moment ago in the 1 2 previous picture. 3 That's the same person you just circled? Yes, one of the two African Americans that I circled in 4 5 that front line. Can you explain why, in a conspiracy to engage in racially 6 motivated violence, Mr. Borum would have pushed through the line where the Caucasians were standing and not the African Americans? 9 10 No, I can't explain that. 11 So you said -- approximately how long did you stay in the park? 12 13 You know, everything was kind of hectic and chaotic. I said earlier about an hour and a half. It may not have been 14 quite that long. It was at least -- you know, I think at least 15 16 an hour or so, but maybe a little bit longer. 17 What were you doing while you were in the park waiting? 18 Well, the first thing I did was try to locate Mr. Kessler, 19 because I wanted to know where the platform would be for the 20 speeches to be made and what time I needed to speak, how long I 21 needed to speak, and, you know, things that you would normally 22 want to find out if you were a speaker at a rally. So... 23 Now, in what was introduced as PX-1904 --24 MR. JONES: Why don't we go ahead and pull that up? 25 BY MR. JONES:

- 1 Q Sir, do you recognize what's on the screen there?
- 2 A Yes, I do.
- 3 Q You state: "Sir, I was there."
- 4 What are you referring to there?
- 5 A That we were on East Market Street trying to get into the
- 6 park on Saturday, August the 12th.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} Are you referring to the rally?
- 8 A I was referring to, basically, the attempt for us to get
- 9 into the park and into the rally.
- 10 Q Were you referring to the James Fields car attack that
- 11 occurred two hours after the rally?
- 12 A No, sir. I'm not.
- 13 Q Were you referring to the torch march that you did not
- 14 leven attend?
- 15 A No.
- 16 \parallel Q Did you attend any afterparties with Mr. Spencer, Identity
- 17 | Evropa, Samantha Froelich?
- 18 A No, sir. We had -- we had a little afterparty at
- 19 Sevenoaks, but it was mainly -- it was for League members.
- 20 Q I'm going to ask you some questions about the other
- 21 defendants in this case.
- 22 Do you consider yourself a follower of Richard Spencer?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Do you consider yourself a follower of Jason Kessler?
- 25 A No, sir.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 174 of 240 Page 10^{14}
                              M. Hill - Cross
 1
         What about Eli Mosley?
 2
         No, sir.
 3
         Chris Cantwell?
 4
         No, sir.
 5
         Jeff Schoep?
 6
         No.
 7
         Nathan Damigo?
 8
         No, sir.
    Α
 9
         Matt Heimbach?
10
         No, sir.
11
         David Parrott?
12
         No, sir.
    Α
13
    Q
         James Fields?
         No, sir.
14
15
         Have you ever spoken to James Fields?
16
         I did not know who James Fields was until I heard his name
   in the media. Never met him. Never even knew he existed.
         You don't know his Twitter handle?
18
19
         No, I had no idea.
20
         You're not a follower of his on Twitter?
21
    Α
         No.
```

Thank you. That's all the questions I

THE COURT: We'll take about a 20-minute recess now

22

23

24

25

have.

MR. JONES:

and then come back.

```
1
    (Jury out, 2:54 p.m.)
 2
              (Recess.)
 3
              THE COURT: Do you all have any estimate of how long
 4
   this is going to continue?
 5
             MR. SPENCER: I'm going to be fairly brief.
 6
             THE COURT: No, I mean the whole trial.
 7
             MR. SPENCER: Oh.
 8
             MS. KAPLAN: Your Honor, we were actually just
 9
   discussing that with Mr. Campbell. We do think it may make
   sense for the plaintiffs' side and the defendants' side to try
10
11
   to have some kind of meet and confer over the weekend about how
12
   many trial days defense counsel thinks they will need and we
13
   certainly have been -- if you saw, trying to cut things down
14
   and can try to cut things down more, and maybe report to you
15
   back on Monday, if that makes sense.
16
             THE COURT: Yes.
                                The marshals service needs to
17
   schedule all the people coming and going, and they're anxious
   to know.
18
19
             MS. KAPLAN: I can imagine they are, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: Okay. As soon as you know something, let
21
   me know.
22
             MS. KAPLAN:
                          Sure.
23
             THE COURT: Call the jury.
24
    (Jury in, 3:19 p.m.)
25
                          All right. Be seated. And you may
             THE COURT:
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 176 of 240 Page 176
                             M. Hill - Cross
 1
    proceed, Mr. Spencer.
 2
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
 3
     BY MR. SPENCER:
         Hello. I'm Richard Spencer and I'm acting on my own
 4
 5
    behalf.
         Hello, Mr. Spencer.
 6
 7
         So you've testified, Dr. Hill, that you are the founder
 8
    and long-time president of the League of the South.
 9
         Correct.
10
         In that capacity, would you handle, say, applications for
11
    the League, people who wanted to join or support you in some
12
    way?
13
         No. I have an office manager who deals with that issue.
14
         But she keeps you abreast of matters?
15
         Oh, yeah.
16
         Over the past quarter century have I ever attempted -- has
17
    Richard Spencer ever attempted to become a member of the League
    of the South?
18
19
         No.
20
         Are you aware of my donating or supporting your
```

Okay. At one point during your testimony there -- it was

made mention of a fellow named Spencer Borum?

21

22

23

24

25

organization?

No.

Correct. Right.

- 1 Q And he is a League member?
- 2 \blacksquare A He was a League member at the time of Charlottesville 2.0.
- 3 Q Okay. Is it safe to say that his first name is my
- 4 surname?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And we are different individuals?
- 7 A Completely different individuals.
- 8 Q I just wanted to make that clear. Thank you.
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q I want to go a little bit on the long term now. Have you
- 11 ever been in communication with Richard Spencer over the past,
- 12 say, five to seven years?
- 13 A Yes, I have. You extended an invitation to me to speak at
- 14 \parallel the National Policy Institute, I guess maybe five or six years
- 15 ago, and I was unable to speak.
- 16 Q Right. That was around five years ago?
- 17 A I would say, yeah, around five years ago.
- 18 Q Do you remember why you decided not to speak?
- 19 A Yes, I do. I'm a Christian. There was an avowed
- 20 \parallel homosexual on the list of speakers. I didn't know that when I
- 21 \blacksquare accepted the invitation. When I found it out I called you up
- 22 and I declined.
- 23 Q Okay. So it wasn't your cup of tea?
- 24 A No, it just wasn't my cup of tea.
- 25 Q That's fair.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So during your initial testimony and examination, there was discussion about why speeches weren't given during the Unite the Right rally. To your understanding, when was the rally proper supposed to begin? I believe, if memory serves me well, it was to be sometime around noon. Right. And was it your -- under your understanding, what would that event be like beginning around noon? Well, you know, we'd have -- I don't know how many speakers were scheduled, but all the people who were gathered in the park would turn their attention toward wherever the speaker's platform was and we would have a number of speeches. People would enjoy the speeches, enjoy the fellowship, whatever. But of course, that never happened. So why -- did you plan on giving a speech? Yes, I had the notes for a speech in my pocket. The notes for your speech. What were some of the themes you were going to talk about? I was --MR. LEVINE: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I was going to talk about the necessity of defending our Southern cultural heritage. And the example I would have used that day, of course, would have been the statue of Robert E. Lee in what at the time I think was called Lee

- 1 Park. That was going to be my main focus. It was going to be
- 2 \parallel a short speech, probably ten minutes, or maybe 15 at the most.
- 3 BY MR. SPENCER:
- 4 Q Why didn't you deliver the speech?
- 5 A Because the rally was declared an unlawful assembly and we
- 6 were driven out of the park by the authorities.
- 7 Q Thank you. I want to focus on a time period between
- 8 Charlottesville 1 in May and Charlottesville 2 or the Unite the
- 9 Right rally in August.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q Do you remember having any communication with Richard
- 12 | Spencer in that time period?
- 13 A No, sir, I don't.
- 14 \parallel Q When you attended the Unite the Right rally, did you ever
- 15 see Richard Spencer in person, up close?
- 16 \parallel A If I did, I don't remember it. I don't think that I did.
- 17 Q Did you ever have a conversation with Richard Spencer at
- 18 ∥any point in August of 2017?
- 19 A No.
- 20 | Q When you were marching down Market Street towards the
- 21 park, did you happen to see Richard Spencer?
- 22 A No, I didn't.
- 23 \mathbb{Q} Were you -- well, you answered that.
- 24 To your understanding, who was the chief organizer of the
- 25 Unite the Right rally?

```
1
        Jason Kessler.
 2
        Were you in conversation with him at some point?
 3
        I had several conversations with Mr. Kessler, yes.
        Who invited you to speak at the rally?
 4
 5
        Jason Kessler.
 6
              MR. SPENCER: No further questions. Thank you.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay.
 8
             MR. CAMPBELL: I don't have any questions for this
 9
   witness, Your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Mr. ReBrook -- is Mr. ReBrook on the
11
   phone?
12
              THE CLERK: He is.
13
              THE COURT: Does he have questions?
14
              All right. If he doesn't answer, we'll have
15
   Mr. Cantwell.
16
              MR. CANTWELL: Do you want me to get started while we
17
   wait for Mr. ReBrook?
18
              THE COURT: Well, I don't know that he's coming back.
19
   I'll let you finish.
20
             MR. CANTWELL: I'm sorry?
21
              THE COURT: I'm saying if you start, I'm going to let
22
   you finish. I'm not going to interrupt you for Mr. ReBrook.
23
              MR. CANTWELL: Does it look like we're going to get
24
   Mr. ReBrook soon?
25
              THE COURT: Is he on the line?
```

with any aspects of planning for the Unite the Right rally with

city and in and out of the rally, I guess you might say, with

Mr. Schoep and others who were in the Nationalist Front.

Yes, we coordinated logistics of getting in and out of the

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Jeff Schoep?

- 19 Q When you were questioned by plaintiffs' counsel there was
- 20 some mention of the Pikeville model; do you recall that?
- 21 **|** A I do.
- 22 Q And this was what might be described as a white
- 23 | nationalist event in Pikeville, Kentucky in 2017?
- 24 A I'd say so, yes.
- 25 Q Do you recall seeing me at that event?

- 1 A No, sir, I don't.
- 2 Q Okay. Well, it's nice to meet you, then.
- 3 A Nice to meet you.
- 4 \parallel Q Had you heard of me before that event?
- 5 A I think I had.
- 6 Q Okay. Suffice it to say you didn't invite me to that
- 7 | event?
- 8 A No, sir, I didn't.
- 9 Q To the best of your knowledge, am I a member of the League
- 10 of the South?
- 11 A No, sir, you're not.
- 12 Q Did I have anything to do with your plans on August 11th
- 13 or 12th?
- 14 A No, sir, you did not.
- 15 Q Okay. I'd like to play -- we've looked at Plaintiffs'
- 16 \parallel Exhibit 3239A a few times. That's cut up into three clips.
- 17 \parallel One of them is 3239C, and I'd like to -- I'll play that for
- 18 Mr. Hill as soon as I get this hard drive back on. Sorry.
- 19 While I wait to get that back up, Mr. Hill, from what you
- 20 | know about jails and prisons, do you think you'd like to spend
- 21 | a great deal of time in one?
- 22 A No, sir, I would not.
- 23 Q Did you hide your face from any cameras on August 11th and
- 24 12th?
- 25 A I did not.

And while you were formed up over there, did you smell

24

25

pepper spray?

```
1
        Yes, I did.
 2
         I'm going to show Mr. Hill what I have as CCEX073A.
 3
   would be my Exhibit 73 on the list that I initially offered the
   plaintiffs before the Court's order on filenames.
 4
 5
        Do you recognize this scene, Mr. Hill?
 6
         I don't think I witnessed it in person, but I certainly
 7
   can tell where it is.
 8
        Okay. Is that -- are those League of the South shields?
 9
        Yes, they are.
10
        And do you recognize the scene, the background, the area
11
   that that's happening in?
12
        Yes, I do.
13
        And you said that you smelled pepper spray, right?
14
        Yes.
15
              MR. CANTWELL: I'd like to add CCEX73A to evidence
16
   and publish it to the jury.
17
              MR. LEVINE: No objection.
18
              THE COURT: You may do so.
19
              (Defendant Cantwell Exhibit 73A marked.)
20
              (Defendant Cantwell Exhibit 73A admitted.)
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
21
22
        What's this look like to you, Mr. Hill?
23
        Looks like pepper spray.
24
        And does this appear to be a backpack on this man here?
25
        It does.
   Α
```

- 1 Q I'm going to play this video. It's only 21 seconds.
- 2 (Video playing.)
- 3 Do you see he's wearing an orange hat and sunglasses
- 4 there?

- A Yes.
- 6 \parallel Q Okay. The man who sprayed the pepper spray, he walks off
- 7 into the sunset there.
- 8 All right. Have you ever read Mein Kampf?
- 9 A Yes. I've read it as a graduate student in a German
- 10 history class that I took at the University of Alabama.
- 11 Q Do you know the circumstances under which Mein Kampf was
- 12 written?
- 13 A I think so, yes.
- 14 Q Could you describe those circumstances?
- 15 A Well, I think that it was written while Adolf Hitler was
- 16 | in Landsberg Prison after having been put there for his part in
- 17 the Putsch of 1923, I believe.
- 18 Q And that would be -- commonly be referred to as the Beer
- 19 | Hall Putsch?
- 20 A Yes, the Beer Hall Putsch in Munich.
- 21 | Q Following that, to the best of your knowledge, did Adolf
- 22 Hitler advocate for the violent overthrow of government?
- 23 A Not that I know of. I think he was elected by a
- 24 democratic process.
- 25 \parallel Q And did Adolf Hitler advocate for violent criminality in

```
1
   the streets?
 2
        Not that I'm aware of.
 3
              MR. CANTWELL: No further questions, sir. Thank you.
 4
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
 5
              THE COURT: All right.
 6
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
 7
    BY MR. KOLENICH:
 8
        Good afternoon, sir.
 9
        Good afternoon, sir.
10
        I'm one of the attorneys who represents Jason Kessler.
11
        You recall when Mr. Levine was questioning you he showed
12
   you some emails wherein it stated that Mr. Kessler had agreed
13
   for David Duke to come to the rally?
14
        Right.
15
        Were those emails entirely accurate, sir?
16
        I'm not sure. The Duke thing got kind of confused.
17
   recommended him to Jason, and after that happened I don't know
18
   what happened with bringing Duke to speak at that afterparty.
19
         In fact, sir, didn't your member Ike Baker have a problem
20
   with Mr. Kessler because Mr. Kessler did not want David Duke to
21
   speak at the rally?
22
         I do remember that there was some disagreement over that
23
   with Mr. Baker.
24
        Do you recall, sir, on August 12th in the parking garage,
   before your group began to march, Mr. Baker giving a speech?
```

```
1
        I think Mr. Baker did -- I'm not sure if it was in the
2
   parking garage. I can't remember if it was there or at the
 3
   place that we assembled to go to the parking garage.
 4
        I know he gave a speech. I'm not sure exactly where it
 5
   was.
        Very well.
 6
 7
              MR. KOLENICH: Could I get Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1409?
8
              Judge, I'd like to play a section of this audio, see
   if it refreshes the witness's recollection.
9
10
              THE COURT: All right.
11
              (Video playing.)
12
    BY MR. KOLENICH:
        Is that Ike Baker?
13
        Yes.
14
15
              (Video playing.)
16
        That's good enough. Thank you.
17
        That was Ike Baker speaking?
18
        That was Ike Baker speaking.
19
        Does that refresh your recollection that Ike Baker had a
20
   problem with Jason Kessler?
21
   Α
        Yes, it does.
22
        In fact, sir, you already told Mr. Levine that you were
23
   not following Mr. Kessler's plan for how and when to enter the
24
   park; isn't that right?
25
        That's exactly right.
```

1 So when you left the parking garage and marched toward the 2 park and you saw all those people lined up on the street, you 3 did not make an effort to go to where Mr. Kessler thought you should be; you just proceeded straight ahead. Is that right? 5 Yes. We proceeded into the southeast entrance to the 6 park. 7 Very well. At any time during the Unite the Right event 8 or its preparation were you taking instructions from 9 Mr. Kessler? 10 No. 11 Did you ever enter into an agreement with Mr. Kessler for the perpetration of any race-based violence? 13 No. Α 14 To your knowledge, did Mr. Kessler have an agreement with 15 any member of the Nationalist Front? 16 No. 17 MR. KOLENICH: Thank you, sir. No further questions. 18 We would move to admit 1409 as Kessler Exhibit A. 19 THE COURT: Be admitted. 20 (Defendant Kessler Exhibit 1409 admitted.) 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You may step 22 down, unless there is some redirect. 23 MR. LEVINE: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 25 Who is the next witness?

I went to university at the State University of New York

23 College of Environmental Science and Forestry in Syracuse, New

24 York.

25 What did you -- so you studied conservation biology. What

- 1 year did you graduate?
- 2 A My bachelor's degree, I graduated in 2012.
- 3 Q And did you get a graduate degree there?
- 4 A I did, yes. I received that in 2017.
- 5 Q And what was that in?
- 6 A That was in landscape architecture.
- 7 Q And what do you do for a living here in Charlottesville?
- 8 A Here, I own my own practice as an ecological consultant.
- 9 I work on large landscape planning, really integrating
- 10 agricultural practices with the ecological health of
- 11 | properties.
- 12 Q And how long have you been doing that?
- 13 A About five years now, almost five years.
- 14 Q Mr. Baker, are you married?
- 15 A I am married, yes.
- 16 Q How long have you been married?
- 17 A Four years.
- 18 \mathbb{Q} I'd like to turn to the events in question here.
- 19 Where were you living in August of 2017?
- 20 A I was living in Charlottesville.
- 21 Q How long had you lived in Charlottesville at that point?
- 22 A Just since that previous May.
- 23 | Q Did you become aware of an event called Unite the Right?
- 24 A Yes. At some point I would have -- I would have become
- 25 aware of it. I don't recall exactly when.

Q How would you have become aware of Unite the Right?

A It was really kind of the buzz around town. Everyone was talking about it.

Q What did you believe at that time Unite the Right was about?

A You know, we had heard that it was -- there was a discussion of removal of the statues in town, specifically the Lee statue; but being a resident here, starting to build friends here, it was very clear that that discussion, what was happening about Unite the Right, very far transcended the removal of the statues.

Q What do you mean by that?

A It was clear some of the groups that were coming in and some of the individuals that were coming and -- I knew of these individuals, the town knew of these individuals and groups, as being racist, antisemitic, homophobic, violent.

I also had discussions with a lot of friends about the removal of the statues. A lot of this was civil. And what was -- the individuals and groups coming to town, it was just very clear and obvious that that was not what this was about.

21 Q Mr. Baker, did you have a view yourself about the removal of the statue, the Lee statue?

A At the time I was undecided. I think it's a really important discussion to have about public space, how we tell history in public space, how people experience public space,

- and I thought there was a really intelligent discussion to have about that. But at the time I was undecided.
 - Q Had you ever been to an event like Unite the Right in the past?
 - A No.

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q Why did you decide to go downtown to Charlottesville for this one?
 - A few reasons.
 - One, so -- my wife and I, we had just moved here. Didn't have friends here. Didn't have family. So being a new member of this city, I want to show support and be present for them and with them.
 - It was also very clear that the people that were coming -this is not going to be the right word; I don't mean to
 minimize it, but they were bullies, and it was incredibly
 important to -- for me to, one, be present for my new community
 that I was a part of, but also to stand up to these bullies
 that were intentionally trying to instill fear to this new city
 and my community.
- Q Was there a particular reason why you felt like you needed to stand up for this?
- 22 A Yes. One, yes, to be -- you know, to be present for my new community, to show support to them.
- But also, importantly, really importantly, to -- when you know something is right and you might be fearful to stand up

for it, that's the time you actually need to. And so it was 2 incredibly important to me, because of some fear, to be present 3 and to be counted, and to stand up to that. What made you believe that they were bringing violence 4 5 into Charlottesville? Just knowing some of the groups that were coming in, some 6 7 of the individuals that were participating, I knew that they had a history of violence, specifically racist, antisemitic 9 violence. MR. JONES: I'm going to object to the lack of 10 foundation on that. 11 12 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. MILLS: 13 14 Just tell us what you believe. Why did you go that day? I specifically went that day to be a community member, and 15 16 to be counted in support of my community and to stand up to 17 these outsiders, these bullies that were coming in. 18 You say they were outsiders. How did you know they were 19 coming from out of town? 20 Again, it was kind of the buzz around town. 21 I did know at least one of the individuals -- Jason 22 Kessler, I knew he was local. He was the only one that I knew 23 was local. But these other groups, I knew that they weren't 24 Charlottesville-based groups. And it was -- yeah, just kind of 25 the buzz around town. Everyone was talking about it, and it

- \mathbb{I} was clear that they weren't locals.
- 2 Q You mentioned a couple of people that you knew were
- 3 coming. Who else did you know was coming?
- 4 A The only other person I would have known would have been
- 5 Richard Spencer.
- 6 \parallel Q At this time, in August of 2017, did you know about
- 7 something called Antifa?
- 8 A No, I can't -- at that time, I may have, but I can't
- 9 recall any -- I don't think that I did. I don't think I knew
- 10 anything specific about Antifa at that time.
- 11 Q Were you ever a member of Antifa or an adherent to its
- 12 | ideology, to the extent you understand what it is?
- 13 A No.
- 14 ∥Q Did you belong to any groups or social justice
- 15 organizations at that time?
- 16 **A** No.
- 17 Q Have you ever?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Before you went on that Saturday, which was August 12th,
- 20 | had you heard about the events of August 11th, that Friday
- 21 | night?
- 22 A I would have heard about them. I wouldn't have known
- 23 details, but I would have known that there was a large group of
- 24 | white supremacists and neo-Nazis that went to the University of
- 25 **|** Virginia and had instigated violent encounters on students --

- 1 on students there around the Jefferson statue. I would have
- 2 | known general details about that, but nothing -- nothing
- 3 specific.
- 4 Q Knowing that, were you worried about violence when you
- 5 ∥went on Saturday?
- 6 A Yes, I was.
- 7 Q Did you do anything to prepare for violence or potential
- 8 | violence that Saturday?
- 9 A No, except to -- except to be committed to stay away
- 10 from -- physically stay away from any of that or any potential
- 11 | confrontations.
- 12 \mathbb{Q} How did you plan to stay away from it if you were going?
- 13 A Just physically. I didn't want to have any of my near
- 14 proximate adjacencies be near anyone that was fighting or near
- 15 anyone that I thought was going to be confrontational. So it
- 16 was to maintain physical distance.
- 17 Q Did you bring any weapons with you when you went downtown?
- 18 **A** No.
- 19 Q At some point you did go downtown on August 12th?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21 Q About what time did you go?
- 22 A It would have been around 10:30 to 11, somewhere around
- 23 there.
- 24 Q Where did you come from?
- 25 A I had immediately come from work. I was a

- 1 horticulturalist at the time.
- 2 Q And what were you wearing?
- 3 A Would have been wearing cargo shorts, a plain colored
- 4 green or gray shirt, Merrell hiking shoes; again, normal attire
- 5 Ifor work.
- 6 Q Did you go by yourself or with others?
- 7 A I went alone. I was dropped off by my wife that morning.
- 8 Q Your wife dropped you off?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q She didn't go with you to the event?
- 11 A She did not.
- 12 Q And why is that?
- 13 A Similar to me, she was -- she was fearful of the potential
- 14 | violence that was -- that could have occurred.
- 15 Q Mr. Baker, she let you go anyway?
- 16 \parallel A It was a really serious point of contention with her, but
- 17 | I had convinced her that I would stay away from any potential
- 18 violence. When she dropped me off, you know, she had told me
- 19 just to be safe and to not get hurt.
- 20 Q Did you have any understanding when you went who the
- 21 | counter-protesters would be?
- 22 $\|A\|$ The City of Charlottesville. Just the community.
- 23 | Q Were you aware of any groups that were planning to go as
- 24 | counter-protesters that day?
- 25 A I knew that there would be some church groups. I don't

- 1 know specifically -- I don't recall specifically which ones,
- 2 but aside from just general community members, a few church
- 3 groups I would have known.
- 4 Q So your wife dropped you off. Did she say anything before
- 5 she dropped you off?
- 6 A Yeah, she did. Yeah, and it was -- she was not happy that
- 7 I was going, but she did -- she did tell me that I needed to be
- 8 safe and to not get hurt.
- 9 Q Where did your wife take you?
- 10 A I don't remember the name of the street, but it was
- 11 somewhere near where the juvenile court is.
- 12 Q And where did you go from there?
- 13 A From there, I started walking -- it would have been west
- 14 towards what is now Emancipation Park.
- 15 Q And where did you go once you got close to what's now
- 16 ∥known as Emancipation Park?
- 17 A There's a library very close to Emancipation Park, just
- 18 across the street. There's a good vantage point there. It's
- 19 | kind of up on a little knoll and it felt like a secure place.
- 20 | I had the library behind me, and you could kind of see
- 21 Veverything in front of you and you were a good distance from
- 22 | any potential conflict.
- 23 MR. MILLS: Matt, can we put up the demonstrative
- 24 exhibit?
- 25 BY MR. MILLS:

- 1 \mathbb{Q} Is this a map that you recognize of Charlottesville and
- 2 the area you were in?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Can you just put a small X on Emancipation Park?
- 5 A (Witness complies.)
- 6 Q And can you just draw an arrow the direction you walked
- 7 when you went toward that park?
- 8 A Yeah, it would have been -- I don't remember exactly, but
- 9 it would have been something like this, I believe.
- 10 Q You can stop there.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q And then where were you perched by the library?
- 13 A By the library, I was right there.
- 14 \parallel Q What did the scene look like by the time you got -- first,
- 15 let me ask you: Again, what time was that approximately?
- 16 A Around 10:30 or 11.
- 17 \parallel Q And what did that scene look like? Were you able to see
- 18 | the park itself?
- 19 A Yes, I could see the park well. The scene, it looked like
- 20 ∥there were -- you could -- so the park was pretty well-occupied
- 21 by groups that were in consistent uniforms, khaki pants and
- 22 white shirts, a lot of individuals wearing just black, but
- 23 uniformed individuals.
- Outside of the park was a diversity of groups or
- 25 | individuals, just community members, and I did see some people

who had their arms locked.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

20

And also smaller groups of protesters, again, in similar uniforms -- khaki pants, white shirts, all black -- coming into the park in kind of smaller groups.

- Q Was there police on the scene?
- 6 A There were some police on the scene. I remember there was
- 7 a police car also directly -- almost directly in front of me.
 - Q Could you put a small X where that police car was?
 - A Yeah. I believe it was around here, somewhere at that intersection.
- 11 Q What happened after you arrived? What did you observe?
- 12 A So when I arrived, again, the park was full of protesters.
- 13 I saw smaller groups of protesters coming in. As they were
- 14 coming in, especially the ones with the shields, were just
- 15 smashing through the counter-protesters.
- Once -- once the park was really kind of full, and there
 weren't a lot of protesters coming in, a lot of -- a lot of the
 protesters were then charging out of the park, literally
 yelling "charge" out of the park, and smashing into the
- 21 Q Let me ask you about the first thing you said, the people 22 coming into the park. You described that as smaller groups of
- 23 protesters; is that right?

counter-protesters.

- 24 A Yes.
- 25 \parallel Q You earlier said that there were some people that you saw

- 1 with their arms locked. Were those counter-protesters?
- 2 A Yes, they would have been.
- 3 Q Okay. There has been some testimony about protesters
- 4 coming in and being blocked by counter-protesters. Did you
- 5 observe a scene that looked like that to you?
- 6 A I wouldn't characterize it as blocking.
- 7 Q How would you characterize it?
 - A I guess it was maybe more of a gesture. They were just
- 9 individuals that had their arms locked across the street, not
- 10 I fully blocking the street.
- 11 | Q Did you observe them threatening the protesters?
- 12 A No.

- 13 \mathbb{Q} Could you see whether the protesters could get around the
- 14 | counter-protesters?
- 15 A They could have gotten around them on the sidewalk. I'd
- 16 | walked around them to get where I was standing.
- 17 \blacksquare Q Could you observe whether they even tried to get around
- 18 the counter-protesters?
- 19 $\|A\|$ No. They absolutely did not try. They intentionally ran
- 20 | through them. They did not try to go around them.
- 21 Q And how close were you to this scene that you observed?
- 22 \blacksquare A It would have been about 40 or 50 feet or so.
- 23 Q What happened? You then described that there were some
- 24 \parallel incidents within the park?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Would you describe that for the jury, please? 2 Yes. Most notably, what I remember was in smaller groups 3 the protesters would charge out of the park, sometimes actually yelling "charge," and they would run into the 5 counter-protesters, hit them with their shields, also their flagpoles, whatever else that they had on them, and then they 6 7 would actually run back. They would run back into the park. So they would just come out, have these quick attacks and then 9 run back into the park. 10 The counter-protesters, one, when that would happen, some 11 would run away. Some would stand and defend themselves and hit 12 back, but that was really one of the more -- the most 13 memorable. And that happened -- that happened often. 14 Consistently, they were running out of the park and running back into the park, "they" being the protesters. 15 16 About how many times did you observe this type of charging 17 out of the park by the protesters? 18 A dozen, maybe. About a dozen sounds fair. 19 Did you ever see the counter-protesters charge into the 20 park? 21 Α No.
- 24 A No.

22

23

25 \parallel Q Did you see anything else occurring in the park?

formations like that and attack anybody?

Did you ever see the counter-protesters group up in

- A No, I didn't see anything else occurring in the park.
- 2 Skirmishes like that, like I just described, and similar
- 3 skirmishes, attacks to do that.
- 4 **||**Q Did you ever see anything being thrown that day?
- 5 A I did, yes.
- 6 Q What did you see?
- 7 A Especially with the cop car in front of me; it was getting
- 8 pelted with items from inside of the park. Like, I can't
- 9 dentify what some of those were.
- 10 I know some of them were balloons. There were some other
- 11 | objects. I'm not entirely sure. But I did see a lot of
- 12 throwing, yes.
- 13 Q This was the white police car that you've marked on this
- 14 | map?

- 15 A Yeah, that's right.
- 16 \parallel Q And how could you tell that those things were coming from
- 17 ∥inside -- the projectiles were coming from inside the park?
- 18 A It was just blatantly clear in which direction and
- 19 ₩where -- in which direction these items were coming from and
- 20 where they were originating from, and that was from inside the
- 21 **||**park.
- 22 Q Where was your focus? What were you looking at primarily
- 23 | that morning?
- 24 A I was focused on -- I was very much focused on the inside
- 25 of the park. I don't remember counter-protesters throwing

things into the park. I can't deny that that happened, but it was very clear that the aggression was so one-sided. You know, I did not want to be in any sort of confrontation that day. So my focus was very much on where I had perceived the aggression coming from, and that was from inside the park. If the counter-protesters, even in self-defense, had -- you know, were defending themselves, it did not even remotely justify the level of violence and aggression that was coming from the protesters inside the park. Were you there when the police declared an unlawful assembly that morning? Yes, I was there. How did you know that was happening? I believe I even heard it on speakerphones, megaphones, but it was declared an unlawful assembly. I remember there was a line of police inside of the park in the north and pushed -were kind of pushing from north to south. Could you draw an arrow on the map that you have in front of you the direction that the police were? Α Yes. (Witness complies.) The violence that you observed, the protesters charging out of the park, did that occur before or after the unlawful assembly was declared?

25 A Before.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q By the way, Mr. Baker, did you take pictures that day?
- 2 A I did take pictures that day.
- 3 Q Do you have an iPhone or a camera?
- 4 A I did. It was -- later on in the day, when I was hit by
- 5 the car, it had shattered my phone. Actually, the FBI tried to
- 6 get images off my phone. They couldn't retrieve them.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} After the unlawful assembly was declared, where did you
- 8 go?

- 9 A After the unlawful assembly, I -- I had watched that
- 10 happen. So I stayed at the library for a little while and had
- 11 observed that happening. I had never seen something like this
- 12 before, so it was interesting to just kind of observe it.
- But then I just started to walk around town and see what
- 14 was going on.
- 15 I had seen, you know, protesters gathering in groups and
- 16 \parallel leaving. So I was curious what the -- kind of the dynamics
- 17 were. So I was just walking around town.
- 18 Q Let me bring you to a point on Water Street. Did you end
- 19 up on Water Street at some point?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 Q And did you see people there?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q Let's go back to the map. And can you identify where
- 24 Water Street is and the direction you were walking?
- 25 A Yes, I can identify it.

1 Okay. Can you just draw an arrow in the direction you 2 were walking? 3 Yes. 4 (Witness complies.) 5 Once I was on Water Street I started to walk in this direction, down towards Fourth Street. 6 7 Thank you. 8 And about what time is it that you're walking down Water 9 Street in this direction? 10 It must have been sometime around 1:30, quarter after 1, 11 sometime around there. 12 Did you join the group of individuals that were walking 13 down Water Street? Yes, I did. 14 15 Now, earlier you said that you were avoiding crowds and 16 people. Why would you join this crowd? 17 This was a very different crowd. They were joyous. 18 was celebratory. It was peaceful, and it was a group of people 19 that I felt safe and comfortable being around. 20 At this point I also believed that the protesters had 21 left. So generally, I felt safe, and this group felt like a 22 good group of people. 23 What were they doing? 24 There were some banners and signs and some chants, but in

general it was an environment of joy and celebration and

- 1 kindness.
- 2 Q What were they celebrating? Could you tell?
- 3 A I can only -- I'm guessing it was similar to what I felt
- 4 at that time, which was happiness that the protesters had left
- 5 and that this violence wasn't -- these protesters, these
- 6 outsiders, had left and this violence had left our city, so it
- 7 | felt safe again.
- 8 Q Did you know anybody in that crowd?
- 9 A No, I did not.
- 10 Q Did you see anyone with weapons in that crowd?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Did you see anyone threatening anyone in that crowd?
- 13 A No. And actually, in particular, I remember one of the --
- 14 lone of the defining moments for me being okay with joining this
- 15 crowd was there were other cars coming down Fourth Street in
- 16 \parallel the opposite direction that we were walking, and the
- 18 to let the cars come through. So I specifically remember
- 19 Ifeeling it was safe. It was joyous, celebratory. No one was
- 20 | doing anything illegal.
- 21 Q So what happened next?
- 22 \blacksquare A So what happened next was we took a left up Fourth Street.
- 23 Q Could you draw that?
- 24 A Walking towards the Downtown Mall.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} Could you draw that with an arrow?

1 Α Yes. 2 (Witness complies.) 3 I believe Fourth Street is here, and we were starting to 4 walk up that way. 5 Once you started walking up Fourth Street, was this the same crowd that you had described before? 7 Yes. 8 Were these the same people that you had seen as 9 counter-protesters at the Emancipation Park area? 10 Yes. It was the same people. 11 And can you just tell the jury what happened next? 12 So took a left up Fourth Street. At this point I was 13 nearing the front of this group of people. And it wasn't long 14 after walking up Fourth Street that I had heard -- you don't forget the sound, no other way to describe it, but these 15 16 loud -- I heard thumps. I'd seen bodies in the air. And at 17 that -- I mean, this all happened in maybe a matter of a 18 second, a couple of seconds would be extremely generous. 19 was probably less than a second, that I witnessed that. And 20 then the car was directly in front of me. 21 Q What did you do? 22 It's funny. Not having time to really think --23 Go ahead. 24 So as the car was directly in front of me, I kind of like 25 tried to get light on my feet, just kind of instinctual, almost

```
to jump a bit, although I didn't jump. I'd done that, and the
 2
   car had -- I was just off-center of the car, and it hit my
 3
   lower half. And because I think I was a little light on my
   feet it then propelled my face and my chest, my torso into the
 4
 5
   windshield of the car and then it flipped me over top of the
   car, and I had landed -- I had landed on my side, on my back
 7
   and my side.
 8
        I'll show you a video without sound. It's a video marked
 9
   Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1505A. It's just a clip.
10
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1505A marked.)
11
              (Video playing.)
12
   BY MR. MILLS:
13
        Do you recognize this video?
        Yes.
14
15
        And what is that a video of?
16
        That's a video of the crowd that I was in that day, going
17
   up Fourth Street.
18
             MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I'd move to admit 1505A.
19
             THE COURT: Be admitted.
20
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1505A admitted.)
21
             MR. MILLS: Could you please play this for the jury.
22
              (Video playing.)
23
    BY MR. MILLS:
24
        Mr. Baker, you described bodies being hit by the vehicle
25
   and sounds that you heard. Could you please describe -- it's
```

```
hard to hear those sounds in the video. Could you describe
2
   those for the jury?
 3
        Yes. It's -- I can't think of any other descriptors to
   describe it. It's the sound of metal crashing into bodies.
4
5
   Very, very distinctive thumps and screams. And I can't think
   of any other word -- any other way to describe it other than
7
  metal smashing through bodies.
        I'm going to show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 287. Do you
8
9
   recognize that?
10
        (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 287 marked.)
11
        Yes, I do.
12
        What is that a picture of?
13
        That is a picture of the crowd that I was in that day,
  just as the car was crashing into it.
15
        Fair and accurate picture of that crowd just before the
16
   car struck it?
17
        Yes.
   Α
18
             MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I'd move for admission of
19
   Plaintiffs' Exhibit 287.
20
             THE COURT: Be admitted.
21
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 287 admitted.)
22
             MR. MILLS: If we could blow that up, please,
23
  Mr. Spalding.
24
    BY MR. MILLS:
25
        Can you see yourself in this picture, Mr. Baker?
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 211 of 240 Page 2#.
                            T. Baker - Direct
 1
         Yes, I can.
 2
         Can you circle yourself?
 3
         (Witness complies.)
         I'd like to show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 291. Do you see
 4
 5
    yourself -- I mean, sorry, do you recognize that photo?
         (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 291 marked.)
 6
 7
         Yes, I recognize that photo.
 8
         What's that a photo of?
 9
         That is a photo of James Fields's car that day crashing
    through the crowd of protesters.
10
11
         Is that just a couple seconds --
12
         Counter-protesters, I'm sorry.
13
         Is that just a couple seconds after the photo I just
14
    showed you?
15
         Yes, that's right.
16
         And it's a fair and accurate depiction of that?
17
         Yes.
18
              MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I'd move for the admission of
19
    Plaintiffs' Exhibit 291.
20
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
21
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 291 admitted.)
22
    BY MR. MILLS:
23
         Mr. Baker, can you see yourself in this photograph?
24
         Yes.
    Α
25
         Can you please circle yourself.
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 212 of 240 Page 212
                            T. Baker - Direct
 1
         Thank you.
 2
         I'd like to show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 300.
 3
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 300 marked.)
    BY MR. MILLS:
 4
 5
         Do you recognize that?
 6
         Yes.
 7
         What is that a photo of?
 8
         James Fields's car after hitting the group of
   counter-protesters.
10
         Is that a fair and accurate picture of the car after it
11
   hit you?
12
        Yes, it is.
              MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I'd move for admission of
13
    Plaintiffs' Exhibit 300.
14
15
              THE COURT: It will be admitted.
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 300 admitted.)
16
    BY MR. MILLS:
17
         Mr. Baker, can you see particularly where on that car your
18
19
   body hit the windshield?
20
    Α
         Yes.
21
         Can you put an X on that spot?
22
         (Witness complies.)
23
         What do you recall about hitting the windshield, if
24
   anything?
25
         Again, it's similar to the sounds. I don't know how else
```

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to describe this. It was catastrophically violent, the feeling. If you can imagine getting crushed by a speeding piece -- by a car, by speeding metal. But it's like the force doesn't leave the body. It's -- the violence that your body absorbs, it's impossible to describe, but it's like it just doesn't leave and you absorb the entirety of that impact. You say that you then were flipped over the car. What happened then? I flipped over the car. I had landed, I believe it was like on my right side and back mostly. And, you know, the first -- I was so absolutely confident that that was -- that I was going to die at that moment, so once I hit the ground it was -- you have so much adrenaline going through your body and I was in such shock that I didn't die, your kind of instincts take over. So I immediately just tried to sense if I could walk, because what I had seen was the car hit, and then it started to reverse again. And as it was reversing, I remember thinking I'm not -- I'm absolutely not going to survive getting hit a second time. So I just got up as fast as I could to get myself to safety. And, you know, again, brains and bodies are weird. So I saw this guy on the ground. I don't think he was hit by the car, but he was definitely a bit shell-shocked -- a bit. Incredibly shell-shocked. His eyes were just wide open, he was frozen. I don't know why I did this, but I just picked him up. And then right after that got myself to what I felt

```
1
   was safety.
 2
        And I tripped or I stumbled, I can't remember exactly what
 3
   happened. But I fell, and when I fell, it was, just as fast as
   the adrenaline came into my body, it left. It left just as
 5
   fast. And so I had felt just extreme indescribable pain. And
   I was collapsed on the street -- or the sidewalk at that point.
 7
        Were you taken to the hospital at that point or some point
   thereafter?
 8
 9
         Yeah, shortly thereafter I was put into someone's car and
   taken to the hospital.
10
11
        Do you know who took you?
12
        I don't.
   Α
13
        Where was your wife during this?
        She was home.
14
15
        I'd like you to take a look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 294,
   please.
16
17
        Do you recognize that photo?
18
         (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 294 marked.)
19
        Yes.
20
        What is it a photo of?
21
        That is a photo of me in the hospital the day --
22
   immediately after getting hit by the car.
23
         Is it a true and accurate depiction of what you looked
24
   like that day?
25
        It is. Yes.
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 215 of 240 Page 21.
                            T. Baker - Direct
              MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I move to admit Plaintiffs'
 1
 2
    Exhibit 294 into evidence.
 3
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 294 admitted.)
 4
 5
              MR. MILLS: Can you show two photos at once,
    Mr. Spalding? I'd like to also show, not to the jury yet,
 6
 7
    Plaintiffs' Exhibit 295.
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 295 marked.)
 8
    BY MR. MILLS:
 9
10
         Mr. Baker, can you see 295?
11
         Yes.
12
         What is that?
13
         That is a photo of me in the hospital that day after
14
   getting hit.
         Also a true and accurate picture of you in the hospital?
15
16
        Yes, it is.
17
              MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I'd like to move for
    admission of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 295.
18
19
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
20
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 295 admitted.)
21
    BY MR. MILLS:
22
         Mr. Baker, what do these photos show?
```

A It shows me sometime -- I don't know exactly how long
after getting hit by the car. Long enough after where they had
temporarily casted my arm and I was cleaned up at that point.

- I had a bandage on my nose, but I was cleaned up.
- 2 Q Does this fairly show the swelling that occurred in the
- 3 aftermath of this car attack?
- 4 A No. It does not. When I got to the hospital that day,
- 5 the swelling was so severe in my arm and my hip -- and I had
- 6 | cuts, bruises, swelling, head to toe, on my entire body. The
- 7 swelling was so severe that when they did the X-rays, they
- 8 couldn't tell if anything was broken. So I had to come back,
- 9 \parallel it was about a week after that, to have further tests.
- 10 Q By the way, did your wife take these photos?
- 11 A No, she did not.
- 12 Q Where was she?
- 13 A She was not allowed into the hospital. It was pretty
- 14 | chaotic. And actually, at the time the hospital misinformed
- 15 her that I wasn't even there. So.
- 16 Q When did you next see her?
- 17 A Six to eight hours later.
- 18 Q Could you just briefly tell the jury what injuries you
- 19 sustained as a result of this attack?
- 20 A Yes. So I had a concussion. I had lacerations all over
- 21 I the body, bruising all over the body. I had a torn ligament in
- 22 my left wrist and I had a torn labrum to my right hip.
- 23 Q You mentioned a concussion. Could you tell us briefly
- 24 about that?
- 25 A Yeah, it was, you know, a week, two weeks of fogginess,

memory was a bit foggy, some confusion. Pretty typical 2 symptoms of a severe concussion. 3 What happened to your wrist? 4 My wrist had a torn ligament near my thumb. 5 What medical care did you get for your wrist? It was casted for, it was about six weeks or so. The type 6 7 of injury, the type of ligament tear that it was, they didn't want the cast to be on for too long because you needed to get 9 mobility back in. I guess the joint tends to get, like, permanently stiff if it's left in for too long. 11 So it was about six weeks, I believe, with a cast and then 12 a sling for a short amount of time. But it was -- I don't know 13 the exact time, but it was -- it was definitely a few months for my wrist until I was able to use it functionally again. 14 You're able to use it functionally now? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 What is the prognosis for your wrist? 18 I'm told to likely experience arthritis in the future. 19 You also mentioned an injury to your hip. Can you please 20 describe that to the jury? 21 Yeah, that one was much more severe. So it was -- my 22 labrum -- the labrum is like -- it's a major ligament around 23 the hip. And it basically acts as a suction cup that keeps the 24 hip -- the femur head kind of suctioned inside the hip socket.

And that was -- it was almost completely torn off the hip.

it was about a three-quarter tear around the hip.

And actually, the injury was a lot worse, but that wasn't discovered until I ended up eventually getting surgery for this.

Q You didn't get surgery right away?

A No. So my surgeons said that sometimes the labrum can heal. In my case, it was very unlikely, but they said it can heal sometimes. And because the surgery can be so serious and its impacts of the surgery can be so serious, they recommended that maybe I try physical therapy for a while.

So I tried physical -- and the point of physical therapy for the labrum tear, actually, is to get blood flow to that area, because you don't have much blood flow in the labrum. So the more you can get blood flow into it, the faster it can heal. But that didn't work. It got progressively worse and worse. I think I did physical therapy for a month and a half or so. It got worse and worse. I tried steroid shots.

Nothing -- nothing fixed it. The pain was incredibly severe and only got worse.

- \mathbb{Q} So what happened after that did not work?
- A After that didn't work, I ended up getting surgery in December of that year.
- 23 Q What date; do you remember?
- A Yes, it was the day after my birthday. It was December 13th.

1 Can you tell the jury a little bit about that surgery? 2 Yeah. So for the surgery they had to dislocate the hip, 3 and what they found was there was additional damage done to my femur head and my hip socket. So the way they describe it is 5 plastic surgery for the hip. They had to shape it and reform 6 both the hip socket and the femur head. 7 Then they put four screws -- I believe it was four screws 8 into my hip, permanent screws with permanent sutures that keep 9 the labrum attached to the bone. 10 Was the hip socket damaged as well? 11 Yes, it was. 12 Was the surgery successful? 13 As far as it could be, yes, the surgery was successful. 14 What does that mean, as far as it could be? So the surgery worked. It did what it was supposed to do, 15 16 but I've lost significant mobility in my hip. Chronic pain. 17 Permanent, too. These are not -- these are not things that are -- that are going to be remedied. 18 19 What about the recovery process for the surgery? 20 Yes. So the recovery process, I was immobile for some 21 time. I couldn't -- I could do nothing by myself for several 22 weeks without any help. So I was on crutches for, I believe it 23 was around six weeks, give or take. Then I used a cane for a 24 while. But it was -- I also did physical therapy for months. But it wasn't until around the eight-month mark that I was 25

actually able to start getting back into a consistent physical 2 routine. 3 Does that injury cause any other issues for your body? Yes. So it's been -- in terms of a complex, a medical 4 5 complex, it's been getting quite worse. The hip is attached to a lot of other muscles and tendons. So what it has created is 6 7 I have a tilt now in my pelvis. And because of that, it then 8 creates a serious strain on my lower back. So now I have this 9 complex of a extremely tight hip, really constant pain in that. Also now in the lower back too. 10 11 You said that the pain is permanent. Can you describe 12 what that pain is? 13 It varies. And now being four years later and Yeah. 14 living with it every day, it feels pretty normal most days, but it can be severe. Sitting for long periods of time, standing 15 16 for long periods of time, that -- long periods being, you know, 17 an hour to two hours gets significant discomfort in it. So 18 constant shifting around, trying to get in new positions. But 19 it's a pain that's -- it's chronic. It's -- annoying is not 20 the way to put it. There's no way to escape it. I've been 21 told, you know, I could get medication for it or something, but 22 I've been trying physical therapy. I've been trying medical 23 massage, anything to not -- if this is going to be a permanent 24 injury, I don't want to rely on something like pharmaceuticals 25 to -- that's not a resolution.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 221 of 240 Page 021
                            T. Baker - Direct
 1
         Let me move forward, get you off the stand a little bit
 2
    more quickly.
 3
         Take a look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3328A.
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3328A marked.)
 4
 5
    BY MR. MILLS:
         Do you recognize that?
 6
 7
         Yes, I do.
 8
         What is that?
         It is a chart describing my past medical expenses and
 9
    treatments and subsequent costs of that.
10
11
         Have you reviewed the underlying exhibits that this chart
    summarizes?
12
13
         Yes.
    Α
14
         Is the summary accurate?
15
        Yes, it is.
16
              MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I'd move to admit Plaintiffs'
   Exhibit 3328A.
17
18
              THE COURT: It will be admitted.
19
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3328A admitted.)
20
     BY MR. MILLS:
21
         I'm just going to ask you about one entry so we can
22
    understand how this chart works. Let's go to the very first
23
    entry and just explain how that works to the jury.
```

Yeah, so it describes -- it shows what the treatment was,

which was an emergency room visit on the date of August 12th of

24

2017, with the exhibit numbers, which are the summary of the 2 charges that day, and then the subsequent total cost. So the 3 summary of all of those -- of all of those charges. Okay. Then I'm just going to quickly go to entry 17. Is 4 5 that the surgery on December 13th that you described to the jury? 6 7 Yes, that's right. 8 Did these -- you can take that down, thank you. 9 Do these injuries interfere with your work? Yeah, significantly. So at the time I was a 10 11 horticulturalist at a nursery where I managed -- it was my 12 responsibility to manage the health of all of the plants in the 13 greenhouse and outside. And so what that entailed was a lot of 14 independent moving of trees, heavy objects, but it included a lot of lifting, which I just -- either I wasn't able to do at 15 16 all on my own, or I had to ask a lot of the staff to help and 17 things like that. 18 I was also responsible for a lot of the shipments that 19 came in. Again, something I couldn't -- I could no longer 20 manage on my own and I had to overly rely on other staff to 21 help. 22 Did you miss work as a result? 23 Yes, I did. 24 Approximately how much from that job?

25

Α

Approximately 21 days.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 223 of 240 Page 2#3
                            T. Baker - Direct
 1
         Did you miss work from your subsequent job as well?
 2
         Yes, I did.
 3
         About how many days?
         That would have been about 15 days. Again, that's
 4
 5
    conservative, but that's pretty accurate.
         I'm going to show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3328B. Have you
 6
 7
    seen that before?
 8
         (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3328B marked.)
 9
         Yes.
10
         What are the underlying exhibits that support that
11
    summary?
12
         They would be paystubs and W2s.
13
         This is an accurate summary of the lost work wages?
14
         Yes, it is.
15
              MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit
16
    Plaintiffs' Exhibit PX-3328B.
17
              THE COURT: It'll be admitted.
18
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3328B admitted.)
19
    BY MR. MILLS:
20
         Mr. Baker, have you experienced emotional or mental trauma
21
    as a result of this attack?
```

Would you please tell the jury about that.

So, flashbacks that are triggered by almost -- you name

Generally if I'm in a -- if things are calm, I'm feeling

22

23

24

25

Α

it.

Yes.

peaceful, someone drops a book, it throws your brain back into 2 that exact moment. It's incredibly paralyzing. 3 Is there an experience you had when you were with your 4 family, with your wife? 5 Yes, the first time I ever experienced this -- prior to August 12th I had nothing like this. I can't remember what 7 this date was but it was sometime after August 12th, we were on vacation in New Jersey, walking down a boardwalk, and I 8 9 remember kids playing tag, and one of them just ran past me and 10 yelled, and I literally just got paralyzed in that moment. 11 was the first time I've ever experienced something like that. 12 So I had no clue what was going on until a few seconds. 13 The memory -- the emotional state that put me into is so 14 distinct that I was aware of what was happening, but it's been -- I couldn't even tell you the number of times events 15 16 like that have happened since. 17 Have you also had panic attacks? 18 Yes. Also something I never experienced before. First 19 time I had one I literally thought I was having a heart attack. 20 I totally mistook that. 21 The last thing I'd like to ask you about are to describe 22 your physical condition today versus what it was like prior to 23 August 12th, 2017? 24 Prior to August 12th I had -- I had no physical issues. I

was perfectly healthy. I played a lot of soccer, played a lot

of lacrosse, competitive sports, I ran a lot, did a lot of hiking, strenuous, challenging hiking. It was really fun. 2 3 these are just not -- these are just not things I can do 4 anymore. 5 Are the physical limitations that you're experiencing now, your ability to play sports and run and go hiking, are they 6 7 permanent? 8 Yes, these are permanent. 9 MR. MILLS: Thank you for your testimony today, Mr. Baker. 10 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross? 12 MR. CAMPBELL: Your Honor, I have no questions for 13 this witness. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SPENCER: 16 Good afternoon, Mr. Baker. 17 Good afternoon. 18 My name is Richard Spencer and I'm acting on my own 19 behalf. 20 So you -- in your testimony, you made mention of hearing 21 about the rally; it was the buzz around town? 22 Yes. 23 And you said that you didn't -- you weren't aware of many of the groups who were attending? 25 Α Correct.

- 1 Q But you mentioned two names who you were aware of, or
- 2 which you were aware of. And that was Mr. Kessler and myself,
- 3 Richard Spencer?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q So how did you become aware of Richard Spencer?
- 6 ∥A I can't recall specifically. Either through, you know,
- 7 the buzz around town, or maybe something on social media
- 8 somewhere. I can't recall specifically.
- 9 Q Okay. You recall you might have heard about me on social
- 10 | media?
- 11 A Perhaps that's true.
- 12 Q Okay. And how did you hear about Mr. Kessler?
- 13 A It would have been similar. Either someone around town or
- 14 \parallel social media or -- yeah, it would have been similarly.
- 15 Q And how did you understand Mr. Kessler in relationship to
- 16 the Unite the Right rally?
- 17 \blacksquare A I understood that he was a racist, a white supremacist.
- 18 \parallel Q But with regard to the rally?
- 19 A Oh, excuse me. That he was -- I would have known that he
- 20 was an organizer, a leader of that to some degree.
- 21 Q Okay. That's how you understood Mr. Kessler?
- 22 **A** Yes.
- 23 Q So you've said that you did know about Richard Spencer,
- 24 myself, in some way?
- 25 A Yeah.

- 1 Q During August 12th you've described how you went near the
- 2 park, Lee or Emancipation Park, and then you circled back
- 3 around. At any point did you see me?
- 4 A I very well may have, but I wouldn't have -- at that time
- 5 I wouldn't have recognized -- I didn't know your appearance.
- 6 So I wouldn't have been able to pick you out even.
- 7 Q Okay. So that was throughout the entirety of the day of
- 8 August 12th, you -- you had a -- is this a fair representation,
- 9 you had a vague notion of who I was?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q Right. But you did not see me throughout that entire
- 12 || process?
- 13 A No, I can't recall that I specifically saw you.
- 14 MR. SPENCER: Okay. No further questions. Thank
- 15 you.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 18 Q Hello, Mr. Baker. I'm Christopher Cantwell.
- 19 A Hello.
- 20 **|**Q Did I catch correctly -- did you say that you don't know
- 21 exactly how you found out about the rally?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Do you know who Emily Gorcenski is?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Do you know who Kristopher Goad is?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q Do you know who Thomas Keenan is?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Do you know who Lindsey Elizabeth Moers is?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Do you know who Thomas Massey is?
- 7 A No.

- Q Do you know who Dwayne Dixon is?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q You said you knew the people who were coming were bullies?
- 11 A Not an entirely accurate word, but yes.
- 12 Q I'm sorry. Is that not what you testified to?
- 13 A Yes. I also believe I testified that I didn't mean to
- 14 | minimize the actions that day by using the word "bully." So
- 15 | it's much more severe than "bully," but yes, I did say that.
- 16 $\|Q\|$ So what you mean to say is that we're worse than bullies,
- 17 | and you were trying to find an appropriate word; is that what
- 18 you mean to say?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 \parallel Q Okay. And you knew that the people who were coming had a
- 21 history of racist and antisemitic violence?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Can you speak to the specifics of that history?
- 24 A No, I can't speak to -- I mean, I knew that groups like
- 25 the KKK were involved, which has a very obvious history. But I

```
wouldn't have -- at that time, I wouldn't have known the
 2
   specifics of these details because this was -- this was done in
 3
   private. This was -- this was -- these groups and individuals
   conspired to do this. So there's no way that I would have --
 4
 5
              MR. JONES: I'll object to the foundation on that
 6
   testimony.
 7
              THE COURT: Sustained. Strike the last --
 8
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
 9
        Did you witness any conspiring?
10
              THE COURT: Excuse me.
11
              Ask the jury to disregard the last two sentences of
12
   the witness.
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
13
        You stated that you knew that these groups had a history
14
   of racist and antisemitic violence. Is it your testimony today
15
16
   that all you knew about that was the reputation of the KKK?
17
        The KKK, Jason Kessler.
18
        Okay.
19
        Yes.
20
        Is it --
21
              MR. KOLENICH: Objection. Lack of foundation.
22
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
23
        Is it your testimony today that you knew that Jason
24
   Kessler had a history of racist and antisemitic violence?
25
        Yes. At least racist -- racist rhetoric and instigations,
```

```
1
   yes.
 2
        The question was: Is it your testimony today that on
 3
   August 12th, 2017 you knew that Jason Kessler had a history of
   racist and antisemitic violence?
 4
 5
             MR. MILLS: Objection. Argumentative.
 6
             THE COURT: He can answer.
 7
             Answer the question, sir, if you can.
             THE WITNESS: Violence, I don't know, but definitely
 8
 9
   rhetoric and instigation for sure.
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
10
11
        So you knew that Jason Kessler had said racist things?
12
        Yeah. Again, specifics I can't recall, but yes.
13
        Okay. Well, we're in a courtroom, so specifics would be
   nice, especially when we're talking about violence, don't you
15
   think?
16
             MR. MILLS: Objection. Move to strike that improper
17
   question.
18
             THE COURT: Wait just a minute.
19
             Strike that. Sustain the objection.
20
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
21
        Is it now your testimony that on August 12th, 2017 you had
22
   no information linking Jason Kessler to racist or antisemitic
23
  physical violence?
24
        That might be safe to say, sure.
25
        But earlier you did say that: "These people, I knew they
```

- 1 had a history of racist, antisemitic violence. "You said that
- 2 before, right?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q Okay. Is there any other defendant in this case who you
- 5 knew on August 12th had a history of racist and antisemitic
- 6 | violence?
- 7 A Did you ask prior to August 12th?
- 8 Q Yes. That's the question. I'll follow up.
- 9 Prior to August 12th, did you know that anyone -- that
- 10 anyone attending the rally had a history of racist and
- 11 | antisemitic violence?
- 12 A Specific people, no.
- 13 Q Okay. But you knew they said racist things, right?
- 14 **A** Yes.
- 15 \mathbb{Q} Is that just about as bad?
- 16 A No, not at all.
- 17 Q You said at that time you may have known about Antifa; you
- 18 weren't sure?
- 19 A Yeah, I can't recall anything specific that I would have
- 20 | known about them at that time.
- 21 Q Okay. And you had lived in Charlottesville since May of
- 22 2017?
- 23 A Yes. That's right.
- 24 Q And you didn't bring your wife with you because she feared
- 25 the violence that might occur?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 232 of 240 Page 232
                             T. Baker - Cross
 1
         It was her choice.
 2
         It was her choice. Okay.
 3
         You said that you knew a few church groups would be
    protesting, right?
 4
 5
         Yes.
 6
         Or counter-protesting, perhaps would be the -- to keep
 7
    everybody on straight terms.
         That's right.
 8
 9
         Do you know anything about the Clergy Collective?
10
         No.
         Congregate C'ville?
11
12
    Α
         No.
         On August 12th, 2017 did you know plaintiff Seth
13
   Wispelwey?
14
15
         No.
         You said you can't deny that projectiles launched into the
16
17
    park?
18
         Correct.
19
         And you said that the FBI couldn't get images off your
20
    phone; is that right?
21
    Α
         That's right.
22
         So you had taken some pictures during that day?
23
         Yes.
24
         No video?
```

25

No, not that I recall.

- Q Not that you recall?
- 2 A Correct.

- 3 Q So you might have taken some video?
- 4 A No, I'm confident that I did not take video.
- Okay. Did you see anybody wearing red bandannas among the
- 6 | counter-protesters?
- 7 A Not that I recall.
- 8 Q Not that you recall. You said that none of the
- 9 counter-protesters were carrying weapons, though, right?
- 10 **|** A No.
- 11 Q Did you see any counter-protesters carrying flagpoles?
- 12 A Yes, I had seen flags with counter-protesters.
- 13 Q Do you recall if any of those flagpoles could have been
- 14 used as a weapon?
- 15 \parallel A I had seen protesters use flagpoles as weapons.
- 16 \parallel Q So one side has flagpoles, they're weapons; the other side
- 17 | has flagpoles, they're not, right?
- 18 MR. MILLS: Objection, argumentative.
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 21 \parallel Q On August 12th, 2017, you became aware that a flagpole
- 22 | could be used as a weapon, right?
- 23 A I had witnessed it on that day. Yes.
- 24 Q And you saw counter-protesters carrying flagpoles, right?
- 25 A I did see counter-protesters carrying --

1 But you testified that you didn't see counter-protesters 2 carrying weapons, right? 3 Correct. 4 Did you see counter-protesters carrying shields? 5 Not that I recall. 6 Not that you recall? Okay. 7 Did you see counter-protesters wearing helmets? 8 I do believe I remember seeing bicycle helmets. 9 Did you see any bicycle helmets on people that weren't riding bicycles? 10 11 They were not riding bicycles at the time. 12 Okay. Did you see anybody wearing goggles? 13 No, I don't recall that, either. 14 No, or you don't recall? 15 No, I do not remember that. 16 Did you see anybody wearing respirators? 17 Similar: No, I don't remember. 18 Do you know what I mean when I --19 Yes. 20 -- when I ask that question? 21 Α Yes. 22 And you described the atmosphere of the crowd -- I just 23 jotted down some keywords here -- as joy, kindness, happiness, 24 celebratory. Those are all fair adjectives to describe what 25 you experienced in that crowd?

```
1
        The crowd on Water Street, yes.
 2
        The crowd on Water Street. And the crowd then turned onto
 3
   Fourth Street and that's where you got hit by the car, right?
 4
        Yes.
 5
        Okay. And you didn't see anybody carrying baseball bats,
   clubs; nothing like that, right?
 6
 7
        No, not that I recall.
              MR. CANTWELL: I'm going to play for the witness --
 8
 9
   this is -- once I get this thing back up again; I'm sorry --
   Plaintiffs' Exhibit 0313.
10
11
              (Video playing.)
12
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
13
         Do you recognize this scene right here?
        Yes.
14
15
        Now, I understand it would probably be difficult to pick
16
   your face out of this, but you see about -- you expect that
17
   you're in this frame, right?
18
        Yeah, somewhere.
        Do you want to just put a dot wherever you think you are,
19
20
   approximately?
21
        Oh, a dot I couldn't do, but I could circle an area.
22
        Yeah, whatever -- you know, the basic idea.
23
        Yeah, somewhere --
24
         (Witness complies.)
25
   Q
         In that general vicinity, fair to say?
```

```
1
        Yeah.
 2
        All right. I want you to keep your eye right here, okay?
 3
        If I can get this thing to work. Of course this happens.
   Pardon my technical issues. Just a moment.
 4
 5
        Did you see that guy smack James Fields' car with a club?
 6
             MR. MILLS: Objection to the characterization.
 7
   That's not what that shows.
 8
             THE COURT: Sustained.
 9
             MR. CANTWELL: Let's bring this back out. I need a
   moment to fix something so I can put the video in slow motion.
10
11
             This is Plaintiffs' 313. I put it in, hit slow
12
   motion, slow down, three more times, and I'm just going to play
   this for the witness.
13
              (Defendant Cantwell Exhibit 313 marked.)
14
              (Video playing.)
15
16
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
17
        Is this a fair and accurate depiction of the moment that
18
   you were struck by James Fields' car?
19
             MR. MILLS: Object to foundation. This is clearly
20
   not something this witness could have seen from his vantage
21
   point.
22
             THE COURT: Well, sustained. It's obvious.
23
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
24
        Did you see anybody wearing masks?
25
        I don't specifically remember that.
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 237 of 240 Page d. Page 237 of 240 Page 240 Page
                           Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/5/2021
    1
                          You don't specifically remember that?
    2
                           I do remember seeing some -- there were people dressed as
    3
            clowns.
    4
                          Dressed as clowns. You didn't see this guy?
    5
                           I didn't see that person.
    6
                           Did you see anybody wearing leaded gloves?
    7
                          Leaded gloves?
    8
                          Like, gloves with metal on them?
    9
                          No.
 10
                          So you didn't see this guy?
 11
                          No.
 12
                          And no baseball bats, right? You didn't see any baseball
 13
          bats?
 14
                                          THE COURT: I think he's already said he did not.
 15
           BY MR. CANTWELL:
 16
                          You didn't see this guy, right?
 17
                          First time I've seen that picture.
 18
                                          MR. CANTWELL: No further questions.
 19
                                          THE COURT: Okay. Is that all, then?
 20
                                          MR. MILLS: Nothing further.
 21
                                          THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 22
                                          Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we'll take a
            weekend recess now. We'll see you back at 9 o'clock on Monday.
 23
 24
                                         Next week, next Thursday is Veteran's Day and that is
 25
            a holiday. So we will not hold court on Thursday. We will
```

THE COURT: Can you all come up with something by Monday?

MR. JONES: Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KOLENICH: Yes, sir.

MR. SPENCER: By Monday, you said?

Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1401 Filed 11/05/21 Page 240 of 240 Page 24625

Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/5/2021

CERTIFICATE

I, Lisa M. Blair, RMR/CRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

/s/ Lisa M. Blair Date: November 5, 2021